

HB0967/858370/1

BY: Judicial Proceedings Committee

AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL 967
(Third Reading File Bill)

AMENDMENT NO. 1

On page 1, in line 9, after “City;” insert “requiring an agency to mail a warning notice instead of a citation for a violation recorded by a speed monitoring system on Interstate 83 in Baltimore City during a certain time period following the activation of the speed monitoring system;”.

AMENDMENT NO. 2

On page 2, in line 2, after “(viii)” insert “and (d)(2)”.

On page 3, in line 1, after “(IV)” insert “1.”; after line 8, insert:

“2. FINES REMITTED TO THE BALTIMORE CITY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (IV)1 OF THIS PARAGRAPH ARE SUPPLEMENTAL TO AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO TAKE THE PLACE OF FUNDING THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE APPROPRIATED FOR USES DESCRIBED UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (IV)1 OF THIS PARAGRAPH.”

On page 5, after line 21, insert:

“(d) (2) (I) [An] EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, AN agency may mail a warning notice instead of a citation to the owner liable under subsection (c) of this subsection.

(II) WITH REGARD TO A SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM ESTABLISHED ON INTERSTATE 83 IN BALTIMORE CITY, AN AGENCY SHALL MAIL A WARNING NOTICE INSTEAD OF A CITATION FOR A VIOLATION RECORDED BY THE

(Over)

HB0967/858370/1 Judicial Proceedings Committee
Amendments to HB 967
Page 2 of 2

SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM DURING THE FIRST 90 DAYS THAT THE SPEED MONITORING SYSTEM IS IN OPERATION.”;

in line 23, strike “October” and substitute “June”; and in the same line, strike “4 years and 9 months” and substitute “5 years and 1 month”.