Unofficial Copy D3 1999 Regular Session (9lr0881)

ENROLLED BILL

-- Judiciary/Judicial Proceedings --

Introduced by Delegates Taylor, Vallario, Arnick, Busch, Dewberry, Doory, Guns, Harrison, Hixson, Howard, Hurson, Kopp, Menes, Owings, Rawlings, Rosenberg, and Wood

Read and Examined by Proofreaders:

Proofreader.

Proofreader.

Sealed with the Great Seal and presented to the Governor, for his approval this _____ day of _____ at _____ o'clock, ____M.

Speaker.

CHAPTER_____

1 AN ACT concerning

2

Commercial Law - Year 2000 Commerce Protection Act

3 FOR the purpose of creating the Year 2000 Commerce Protection Act to establish

4 certain remedies for Maryland government, business, commerce, and consumers

5 for damages caused by the failure of information technology products, products,

6 <u>or services</u> because of certain date data <u>problems</u>; providing for certain damages

7 under certain circumstances; providing for certain standards of liability and for

8 certain defenses; limiting certain actions under certain circumstances; clarifying

9 certain responsibilities of officers and directors of corporations and certain other

10 persons regarding due diligence; clarifying the discoverability of certain

11 information and documents a certain affirmative defense; defining certain

12 terms; providing for the construction of this Act; providing for the termination of

13 <u>this Act</u>; declaring the intent of the General Assembly; and generally relating to

14 remedies for Maryland government, business, commerce, and consumers for

15 damages caused by the failure of information technology products, products, or

- 1 <u>services</u> because of date data <u>problems under certain circumstances</u>.
- 2 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 3 Article Commercial Law
- 4 Section 11-203(12) and (13)
- 5 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 6 (1990 Replacement Volume and 1998 Supplement)
- 7 BY adding to
- 8 Article Commercial Law
- 9 Section 11-203(14); and 21-101 through <u>21-107</u> <u>21-104</u>, inclusive, to be under
- 10 the new title "Title 21. Maryland Year 2000 Commerce Protection Act"
- 11 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 12 (1990 Replacement Volume and 1998 Supplement)

13 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF

14 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article - Commercial Law

16 11-203.

15

17 This subtitle does not make illegal the activity of:

18 (12) A political subdivision of the State in furnishing services or

19 commodities; [or]

- 20 (13) A hospital, as defined in § 19-301 of the Health General Article, in
- 21 the course of a merger or consolidation or the joint ownership and operation of major

22 medical equipment, to the extent that the activity is approved by the Health

23 Resources Planning Commission under § 19-123 of the Health - General Article; OR

24 (14) GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND PERSONS IN THE COURSE OF THE

25 EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION CONCERNING MEASURES THAT HAVE BEEN TAKEN OR

- 26 ARE TO BE TAKEN IN ORDER FOR PRODUCTS OR SERVICES OF THE GOVERNMENTAL
- 27 ENTITIES OR PERSONS TO BECOME YEAR 2000-READY, AS DEFINED IN TITLE 21 OF 28 THIS ARTICLE.
- 28 THIS AR

TITLE 21. MARYLAND YEAR 2000 COMMERCE PROTECTION ACT.

30 21-101.

29

31 (A) IN THIS TITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.

32 (B) "DATE DATA" MEANS DATA THAT CONTAINS DATES OR THAT CONTAINS 33 BOTH DATES AND TIMES.

(C) "GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY" MEANS THE STATE, A COUNTY, OR A
 MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, OR ANY UNIT OF THE STATE, A COUNTY, OR A MUNICIPAL
 CORPORATION.

4 (C) <u>"COMPLIANCE PLAN" MEANS A WRITTEN PLAN TO INVENTORY, ASSESS,</u>
 5 <u>OBTAIN INFORMATION FROM CRITICAL SUPPLIERS, TEST, SCHEDULE REPAIRS,</u>
 6 <u>REMEDIATE, AND PROVIDE A CONTINGENCY PLAN OF ACTION RELATING TO, Y2K</u>
 7 <u>DATE DATA PROBLEMS IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS.</u>

 8
 (D)
 "CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT" MEANS AN

 9
 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT THE FAILURE OF WHICH MAY

 10
 RESULT IN AN IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL THREAT TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR

 11
 SAFETY.

(D) (E) "INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT" INCLUDES ANY
 SOFTWARE, FIRMWARE, MICROCODE, HARDWARE, EMBEDDED CHIPS, OR OTHER
 SYSTEM OR PRODUCT, OR ANY COMBINATION OF THOSE ITEMS, THAT CREATES,
 READS, WRITES, CALCULATES, COMPARES, SEQUENCES, OR OTHERWISE PROCESSES
 DATE DATA.

17 (E) (F) "SOLUTION PROVIDER" MEANS ANY PERSON THAT ACCEPTS
 18 COMPENSATION OR OTHER VALUABLE CONSIDERATION FROM A GOVERNMENTAL
 19 ENTITY OR PERSON TO:

20(1)ASSESS WHETHER ANY OF THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY'S OR21PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR SERVICES ARE YEAR 2000-READY; OR

22 (2) ENSURE THAT ANY OF THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S
23 PRODUCTS OR SERVICES ARE YEAR 2000-READY.

24 (F) (G) "YEAR 2000-READY" MEANS ANY PRODUCT OR SERVICE THAT:

25 (1) RELIES UPON AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM OR PRODUCT 26 THAT INCLUDES DATE DATA; AND

27 (2) BEFORE JANUARY 2, 2001, OPERATES IN A MANNER CONSISTENT
28 WITH THE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OR OTHER SPECIFICATION FOR THE PRODUCT OR
29 SERVICE; AND

30 (3) DOES NOT CAUSE A Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEM.

31 (H) "Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEM" MEANS THE FAILURE, MALFUNCTION, OR

32 INADEQUACY OF AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM, PRODUCT, OR SERVICE

33 TO RECOGNIZE, PROCESS, DISTINGUISH, INTERPRET, OR ACCEPT CORRECTLY DATE

34 DATA RELATING TO THE YEAR 2000, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE

35 FOLLOWING SPECIFIC DATES:

- 36 <u>(1)</u> <u>AUGUST 22, 1999;</u>
- 37 <u>(2)</u> <u>SEPTEMBER 9, 1999;</u>

4

- 1 <u>(3)</u> <u>DECEMBER 31, 1999;</u>
- 2 <u>(4)</u> <u>JANUARY 1, 2000;</u>
- 3 <u>(5)</u> <u>JANUARY 3, 2000;</u>
- 4 <u>(6)</u> <u>JANUARY 10, 2000;</u>
- 5 <u>(7)</u> <u>FEBRUARY 29, 2000;</u>
- 6 (8) OCTOBER 10, 2000; AND
- 7 <u>(9)</u> <u>JANUARY 1, 2001.</u>

8 21-102.

9 (A) SUBJECT TO SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE EXCLUSIVE
10 REMEDIES IN THIS STATE FOR RECOVERING FROM A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR
11 PERSON ANY DAMAGES CAUSED BY THE FAILURE OF A PRODUCT OR SERVICE
12 PROVIDED BY THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON TO BE YEAR 2000-READY
13 SHALL BE AS PROVIDED:

(1) UNDER ANY PROVISION OF A CONTRACT WITH BETWEEN THE
 GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON AND THE PLAINTIFF THAT PROVIDES SPECIFIC
 REMEDIES FOR THE FAILURE OF THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE TO BE YEAR
 2000-READY; OR

18 (2) IN CASES NOT COVERED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS19 SUBSECTION, UNDER THIS SUBTITLE.

20(B)ANY ACTION AGAINST A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY REMAINS SUBJECT TO21ALL PROCEDURES AND LIMITATIONS ON DAMAGES OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LAW.

22 21-103.

23 (A) AN ACTION AGAINST A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON WHOSE

24 PRODUCT OR SERVICE IS NOT YEAR 2000 READY ARISES ON THE DATE ON WHICH

25 THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE THAT RELIES ON AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

26 SYSTEM OR PRODUCT:

27 (1) FAILS TO OPERATE IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE DESIGN
 28 REQUIREMENTS OR OTHER SPECIFICATION FOR THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE; AND

29 (2) CAUSES DAMAGE OR LOSS.

30 (B) A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON WHOSE PRODUCT OR SERVICE IS
31 NOT YEAR 2000-READY SHALL BE LIABLE UNDER THIS TITLE ONLY WHERE THE
32 FAILURE OF THE PRODUCT OR SERVICE TO BE YEAR 2000-READY IS THE PROXIMATE
33 CAUSE OF THE DAMAGES.

34 (C) THIS TITLE DOES NOT APPLY TO:

1 (1) A PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACTION AGAINST A MANUFACTURER FOR 2 WRONGFUL DEATH OR PERSONAL INJURY; OR

3 (2) <u>A CLAIM FOR WORKER'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS.</u>

4 21 104. <u>21-103.</u>

5 (A) IT SHALL BE AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO ANY ACTION BROUGHT BY A
6 PLAINTIFF AGAINST ANY GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON WHOSE PRODUCT OR
7 SERVICE IS NOT YEAR 2000-READY THAT:

8 (1) IN THE CASE OF A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY, THE ENTITY EXERCISED
9 DUE DILIGENCE TO ENSURE THAT IT WAS YEAR 2000 READY IN A MANNER THAT
10 WOULD BE EXERCISED BY A REASONABLY PRUDENT PERSON IN ACCORDANCE WITH
11 THE STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO THE SAME TYPE AND SIZE OF GOVERNMENTAL
12 ENTITY; OR

(2) IN THE CASE OF ANY OTHER PERSON, THE PERSON DETERMINED
 AND IMPLEMENTED IN GOOD FAITH ACTIONS NECESSARY FOR THE PERSON TO
 BECOME YEAR 2000-READY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS AND
 STANDARDS SET FORTH IN § 2 405.1 OF THE CORPORATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS
 ARTICLE A MANNER THAT WOULD BE EXERCISED BY A REASONABLY PRUDENT
 PERSON UNDER THE SAME OR SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES.

(B) IN DETERMINING WHETHER A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON HAS
 MET THE STANDARD SET OUT IN SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE COURT
 SHALL CONSIDER WHETHER THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON:

22 (1) HAS INVENTORIED ITS PRODUCTS AND SERVICES TO DETERMINE 23 WHETHER THEY ARE YEAR 2000 READY;

24 (2) CAN EVIDENCE A PLAN TO MAKE ITS PRODUCTS AND SERVICES TO 25 BE YEAR 2000 READY; AND

26 (3) HAS CONTACTED ITS CRITICAL SUPPLIERS TO DETERMINE 27 WHETHER THEY HAVE YEAR 2000 READINESS PLANS.

(B) IN DETERMINING WHETHER A PERSON MEETS THE STANDARD OF CARE
 DESCRIBED UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE TRIER OF FACT SHALL
 CONSIDER, AMONG OTHER ACTIONS, WHETHER THE PERSON COMPLETED THE
 FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ITS Y2K COMPLIANCE PLAN:

32 (1) <u>INVENTORIED ITS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND</u>
 33 <u>PRODUCTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY ARE YEAR 2000-READY;</u>

34 (2) IDENTIFIED CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND
 35 PRODUCTS AND OBTAINED INFORMATION FROM ITS CRITICAL SUPPLIERS
 36 REGARDING THEIR Y2K COMPLIANCE PLANS;

6	HOUSE BILL 8
1	(3) ASSESSED POTENTIAL Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEMS AND TESTED, IF
2	PRACTICABLE, ITS CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS;
3 4	(4) INITIATED REASONABLE EFFORTS TO REMEDIATE Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEMS IN ITS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS;
5 6	(5) REASONABLY REMEDIATED Y2K DATE DATA PROBLEMS IN ITS CRITICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS AND PRODUCTS; AND
7 8	(6) DEVELOPED A CONTINGENCY PLAN THAT SPECIFIED ACTIONS THAT SHALL BE TAKEN IF REMEDIATION FAILS.
11 12 13	 (C) THE FAILURE OF A SOLUTION PROVIDER TO PROVIDE AN EXPRESS WARRANTY ON WHICH A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON MAY RELY MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED AS A FAILURE OF THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON TO COMPLY WITH THE STANDARD SET OUT UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION IF THE SOLUTION PROVIDER REPRESENTS TO THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR PERSON THAT:
15 16	(1) THE SOLUTION PROVIDER OR ANY OF ITS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS OR PRODUCTS ARE YEAR 2000-READY; OR
17 18	(2) THE SOLUTION PROVIDER WILL MAKE THE GOVERNMENTAL E ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR SERVICES YEAR 2000-READY.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	AUTHORIZED TO DIRECT OR GOVERN THE ACTIVITIES OF A PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION, OR ANY OTHER ENTITY THAT IS NOT A CORPORATION IS NOT PERSONALLY LIABLE FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE FAILURE OF THE CORPORATION OR OTHER ENTITY TO BECOME YEAR 2000 READY IF THAT DIRECTOR, OFFICER, OR OTHER PERSON HAS DETERMINED AND IMPLEMENTED ACTIONS NECESSARY FOR THE CORPORATION OR OTHER ENTITY TO BECOME YEAR 2000 READY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS SET FORTH IN § 2-405.1 OF THE CORPORATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS

28 ARTICLE.

6

29 21 105. <u>21-104.</u>

THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION AMONG GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND
PERSONS CONCERNING MEASURES THAT HAVE BEEN TAKEN OR ARE TO BE TAKEN
IN ORDER FOR THE GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES' OR PERSONS' PRODUCTS OR
SERVICES TO BECOME YEAR 2000-READY DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN ACTIVITY OR
CONDUCT IN THE RESTRAINT OF TRADE OR COMMERCE.

35 21 106.

36(A)(1)IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS37INDICATED.

(2) "READINESS REVIEW" MEANS AN AUDIT, INFORMATION
 TECHNOLOGY REVIEW, OR OTHER REVIEW OF WHETHER A GOVERNMENTAL
 ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR SERVICES ARE YEAR 2000 READY.
 (3) "READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS" MEANS ANY DOCUMENTS
 PREPARED FOR OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH A READINESS REVIEW.
 (B) THIS SECTION APPLIES TO ANY READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENT
 PREPARED AS PART OF A READINESS REVIEW TO EVALUATE AND SEEK TO IMPROVE

8 YEAR 2000 READINESS OF A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY'S OR PERSON'S PRODUCTS OR
 9 SERVICES.

10 (C) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION:

(1) READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND ARE NOT
 DISCOVERABLE OR ADMISSIBLE IN EVIDENCE IN ANY CIVIL ACTION ARISING OUT OF
 MATTERS RELATED TO THE SUBJECT OF A READINESS REVIEW; AND

14 (2) READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS DELIVERED TO A FEDERAL OR

15 STATE GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY REMAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND ARE NOT

16 DISCOVERABLE OR ADMISSIBLE IN EVIDENCE IN ANY CIVIL ACTION ARISING OUT OF

17 MATTERS RELATED TO THE SUBJECT OF A READINESS REVIEW.

18 (D) TO THE EXTENT APPLICABLE LAW EXPRESSLY AUTHORIZES ITS

19 DISCLOSURE, SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO THE

20 DISCLOSURE OF ANY INFORMATION REQUIRED BY STATUTE OR REGULATION TO BE

21 MAINTAINED BY OR PROVIDED TO A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY WHILE THE

22 INFORMATION IS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY.

23 (E) THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO LIMIT THE DISCOVERY OR

24 ADMISSIBILITY IN ANY CIVIL ACTION OF ANY DOCUMENTS THAT ARE NOT

25 READINESS REVIEW DOCUMENTS.

26 21-107.

THIS TITLE SHALL BE KNOWN AND MAY BE CITED AS THE MARYLAND YEAR 2000
 COMMERCE PROTECTION ACT.

29 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be

30 construed only prospectively to apply to causes of action arising out of a Y2K date

31 data problem, as defined in § 21-101(g) § 21-101(h) of the Commercial Law Article as

32 enacted by this Act, occurring on or before January 2, 2001 and may not be applied or

33 interpreted to have any effect on or application to any case filed before the effective 34 date of this Act.

35 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That nothing in this Act shall 36 be construed to:

Recognize, endorse, or suggest the existence or validity of any purported
 cause of action;

1 (2) Create a cause of action where none otherwise existed;

2 (3) Limit the ability of contracting parties to enter into agreements as they 3 deem appropriate on the issue of liability and damages;

4 (4) Affect the validity of existing contracts created on or before the effective 5 date of this Act regarding issues of liability and damages; or

6 (5) Be indicative of any type of industry standard relating to year 2000 7 readiness of information technology products or goods and services that rely on 8 information technology products.

9 SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That it is the intent of the

10 Maryland General Assembly that parties contemplating any litigation regarding year

11 2000 readiness should engage in alternative dispute resolution prior to entering into

12 any litigation and that the courts actively encourage parties to seek to address legal

13 issues through an alternative dispute resolution mechanism before allowing any trial

14 on year 2000 issues to go forward.

SECTION 5. <u>4.</u> AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That nothing in this Act may
 be construed to preclude or limit the effect of any legislation enacted by the United
 States relating to year 2000 readiness.

18 SECTION 6. 5. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take

19 effect June 1, 1999. It shall remain effective until January 2, 2004, and at the end of

20 January 2, 2004, with no further action required by the General Assembly, this Act

21 shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.