Unofficial Copy C4 HB 570/98 - ECM 1999 Regular Session 9lr0848

By: Delegates Kach, Mitchell, Donoghue, McClenahan, Leopold, Love, and

Krysiak

Introduced and read first time: January 28, 1999 Assigned to: Economic Matters

Committee Report: Favorable House action: Adopted Read second time: February 24, 1999

CHAPTER_____

1 AN ACT concerning

2

3

Vehicle Laws - Uninsured Motorists - Waiver of Right to Noneconomic Damages

4 FOR the purpose of establishing that an individual driving a motor vehicle that is not

- 5 covered by insurance is considered to have waived the right to recover
- 6 noneconomic loss damages under certain circumstances; exempting certain
- 7 individuals from the waiver under certain circumstances; creating a rebuttable
- 8 presumption that an individual knows or has reason to know that the motor
- 9 vehicle is not covered by insurance under certain circumstances; defining a
- 10 certain term; making stylistic changes; making technical changes; providing for
- 11 the termination of certain provisions of this Act; and generally relating to
- 12 operating a motor vehicle that does not have insurance coverage.

13 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

- 14 Article Transportation
- 15 Section 17-107
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (1998 Replacement Volume and 1998 Supplement)

18 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF

19 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

HOUSE BILL 162

Article - Transportation

2

2 17-107.

3 (a) [A person] AN INDIVIDUAL who knows or has reason to know that a motor 4 vehicle is not covered by the required security may not:

5 (1) Drive the vehicle; or

6 (2) If [he] THE INDIVIDUAL is an owner of the vehicle, knowingly permit 7 another [person] INDIVIDUAL to drive it.

8 (b) (1) In [any] A prosecution under subsection (a) of this section the 9 introduction of the official records of the [Motor Vehicle] Administration showing the 10 absence of a record that the vehicle is covered by the security required under § 17-104 11 of this subtitle shall be prima facie evidence that [a person] AN INDIVIDUAL knows or 12 has reason to know that a motor vehicle is not covered by the required security.

13 (2) The introduction of evidence of the records of the Administration may 14 not limit the introduction of other evidence bearing upon whether the vehicle was 15 covered by the required security.

16 (c) An owner or lessee of [any] A motor vehicle registered under Title 13 of
17 this article may not raise the defense of sovereign or governmental immunity as
18 described under § 5-524 of the Courts [and Judicial Proceedings] Article.

19 (D) (1) IN THIS SUBSECTION, "NONECONOMIC DAMAGES" MEANS DAMAGES
20 FOR NONPECUNIARY LOSS, INCLUDING PAIN, SUFFERING, INCONVENIENCE, AND
21 PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT.

(2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION, AN
INDIVIDUAL WHO IS INJURED IN A VEHICULAR ACCIDENT WHILE OPERATING A
MOTOR VEHICLE IN VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION AND WHO IS
THE OWNER AND DRIVER OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE IS CONSIDERED TO HAVE WAIVED
THE RIGHT TO RECOVER FOR NONECONOMIC DAMAGES IN AN ACTION AGAINST AN
INDIVIDUAL OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE THAT IS COVERED BY THE REQUIRED
SECURITY.

29 (3) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO:

30(I)AN INDIVIDUAL WHO DOES NOT KNOW OR HAVE REASON TO31KNOW THAT THE MOTOR VEHICLE IS NOT COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY; OR

32 (II) AN INDIVIDUAL WHOSE INJURIES ARE CAUSED BY AN
 33 INDIVIDUAL WHO, IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACCIDENT, IS:

34 1. CONVICTED OF OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE IN
 35 VIOLATION OF § 21-902 OF THIS ARTICLE;

HOUSE BILL 162

1 2	THE CODE;	2.	CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 27, § 388A OF
3		3.	CONVICTED OF VEHICULAR ASSAULT;
4 5	THE CODE;	4.	CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 27, § 388B OF
6 7	OF THIS ARTICLE; OR	5.	CONVICTED OF RECKLESS DRIVING UNDER § 21-901.1(A)
 FOUND TO HAVE BEEN DRIVING IN A DELIBERATELY DISCOURTEOUS, INTOLERANT, AND IMPATIENT MANNER THAT EVIDENCES A PATTERN OF DANGEROUS CONDUCT THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE LIKELIHOOD OF A COLLISION OR NECESSITATED EVASIVE ACTION BY ANOTHER DRIVER OF A MOTOR VEHICLE TO AVOID A COLLISION. 			
15 16 17 18	PRESUMPTION THAT AN COVERED BY THE REQUI HAD LAPSED OR TERMIN LEAST 60 DAYS AFTER TI ADMINISTRATION ABOU	INDIVIE RED SEG ATED A HE DATI F THE L	S OF THIS SUBSECTION, THERE IS A REBUTTABLE DUAL KNOWS THAT A MOTOR VEHICLE IS NOT CURITY IF THE SECURITY PREVIOUSLY IN EFFECT ND HAD BEEN INEFFECTIVE FOR A PERIOD OF AT E OF NOTIFICATION BY THE MOTOR VEHICLE APSE OR TERMINATION AND ABOUT SPECIFIC OR TERMINATION UNDER THIS SUBSECTION.
	17-107(d)(3)(ii)6 of the Trans	sportation	ER ENACTED, That the provisions of § Article, as enacted by this Act, shall take effect ve for a period of 1 year and, at the end of

23 September 30, 2000, with no further action required by the General Assembly, §
24 17-107(d)(3)(ii)6 of the Transportation Article, as enacted by this Act, shall be

27 this Act, this Act shall take effect October 1, 1999. It shall remain effective for a28 period of 5 years and, at the end of September 30, 2004, with no further action29 required by the General Assembly, this Act shall be abrogated and of no further force

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, subject to Section 2 of

25 abrogated and of no further force and effect.

3

26

30 and effect.