Unofficial Copy M3 2000 Regular Session 0lr0237

By: Delegate Elliott

Introduced and read first time: February 9, 2000

Assigned to: Environmental Matters

## A BILL ENTITLED

## 1 AN ACT concerning

## 2 Septic Systems - Departmental Review of County Regulations

- 3 FOR the purpose of requiring each county to provide a written notice to an applicant
- 4 for a septic permit when the county denies the application for the permit;
- 5 requiring certain information to be included in the written notice; requiring
- 6 each county to submit proposed regulations regarding septic systems to the
- 7 Department of the Environment by a certain time; requiring the counties to
- 8 provide certain information to the Department when it submits a proposed
- 9 regulation to the Department for review under certain circumstances; requiring
- 10 the Department to review all proposed regulations on septic systems that are
- submitted to it by counties for certain purposes; requiring each county to submit
- 12 existing regulations regarding septic systems to the Department of the
- 13 Environment on or before a certain date; requiring each county to provide
- certain information to the Department when it submits a proposed regulation to
- the Department for review under certain circumstances; requiring the
- Department to review the existing regulations on septic systems for certain
- purposes; requiring the Department to provide certain comments to counties
- under certain circumstances and within certain time periods; and generally
- relating to the regulation of septic systems.
- 20 BY adding to
- 21 Article Environment
- 22 Section 9-511.1 and 9-511.2
- 23 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 24 (1996 Replacement Volume and 1999 Supplement)
- 25 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 26 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 27 Article Environment
- 28 9-511.1.
- 29 WHEN A COUNTY DENIES THE APPLICATION FOR A PERMIT FOR A SEPTIC
- 30 SYSTEM, THE COUNTY SHALL NOTIFY THE APPLICANT IN WRITING STATING:

- 1 (1) THE REASONS FOR DENIAL OF THE PERMIT;
- 2 (2) WHETHER THE PERMIT APPLICATION WAS DENIED UNDER STATE 3 LAW OR REGULATION OR COUNTY REGULATION; AND
- 4 (3) INFORMATION THAT DETAILS ANY PROCESS THAT MAY EXIST TO
- 5 APPEAL THE PERMIT APPLICATION DENIAL TO THE DEPARTMENT.
- 6 9-511.2.
- 7 (A) IT IS THE POLICY OF THE STATE THAT ALL STATE LAWS AND
- 8 REGULATIONS REGARDING SEPTIC SYSTEMS BE INTERPRETED AND ADMINISTERED
- 9 UNIFORMLY BY ALL OF THE COUNTIES IN THE STATE.
- 10 (B) A COUNTY MUST SUBMIT ALL PROPOSED REGULATIONS REGARDING
- 11 SEPTIC SYSTEMS TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR ITS REVIEW AT LEAST 45 DAYS BEFORE
- 12 PROPOSING A REGULATION FOR ADOPTION.
- 13 (C) IF THE PROPOSED REGULATION SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR
- 14 REVIEW UNDER THIS SECTION IS DIFFERENT FROM STATE LAW OR REGULATION IN
- 15 DESIGN OR EFFECT, THE COUNTY SUBMITTING THE REGULATION SHALL:
- 16 (1) INCLUDE A SPECIFIC FINDING THAT IT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
- 17 THAT THE PROPOSED REGULATION DEVIATES FROM THE STATE LAW OR
- 18 REGULATION: AND
- 19 (2) INDICATE THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE COST OF COMPLIANCE WITH
- 20 THE PROPOSED REGULATION EXCEEDS THE COST OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE
- 21 LAW OR REGULATION.
- 22 (D) IN ADDITION TO ITS DUTIES SET FORTH ELSEWHERE IN THIS SUBTITLE,
- 23 THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REVIEW ALL PROPOSED REGULATIONS SUBMITTED BY
- 24 COUNTIES UNDER SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION TO DETERMINE THAT THE
- 25 PROPOSED REGULATIONS:
- 26 (1) ARE NOT MORE STRINGENT THAN STATE STATUTE OR REGULATION;
- 27 (2) ARE NOT DIFFERENT IN DESIGN OR EFFECT FROM EXISTING
- 28 REGULATIONS ON THE SAME SUBJECT FROM OTHER COUNTIES' REGULATIONS;
- 29 (3) WOULD BETTER SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST THAN STATE LAW OR 30 REGULATION; AND
- 31 (4) JUSTIFY ANY INCREASED COST FOR COMPLIANCE ASSOCIATED WITH
- 32 DEVIATING FROM STATE LAW OR REGULATION.
- 33 (E) IF, DURING ITS REVIEW UNDER SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION, THE
- 34 DEPARTMENT FINDS THAT A COUNTY'S PROPOSED REGULATION DOES NOT MEET
- 35 THE CRITERIA IN SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL
- 36 SUBMIT WRITTEN COMMENTS TO THE COUNTY IN ORDER TO:

## **HOUSE BILL 620**

- 1 (1) RESOLVE THE DISCREPANCY BETWEEN THE PROPOSED 2 REGULATION AND THE STATE LAW; OR
- 3 (2) RESOLVE THE DISCREPANCY BETWEEN THE PROPOSED 4 REGULATION AND THE EXISTING REGULATIONS OF OTHER COUNTIES.
- 5 (F) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL SUBMIT ITS COMMENTS UNDER SUBSECTION (E)
- 6 OF THIS SECTION TO THE COUNTY WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER ITS RECEIPT OF THE
- 7 COUNTY'S PROPOSED REGULATION.
- 8 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, on or before December 1,
- 9 2000, each county must submit its existing regulations regarding septic systems to
- 10 the Department of the Environment, identifying requirements that may be more
- 11 stringent than State law or regulation and providing the information required in §
- 12 9-511.2(c) of the Environment Article as enacted by this Act. The Department shall
- 13 review all the regulations submitted under this section to determine whether the
- 14 regulations meet the criteria in § 9-511(d)(1) through (4) of the Environment Article.
- 15 If, during its review under this section, the Department finds that a county's
- 16 regulation does not meet the criteria in § 9-511.2(d)(1) through (4) of the
- 17 Environment Article as enacted by this Act, the Department shall submit written
- 18 comments to the county in order to: (1) resolve the discrepancy between the county's
- 19 regulation and the State law; or (2) resolve the discrepancy between the county's
- 20 regulation and the existing regulations of other counties. The Department shall
- 21 submit its comments under this section to the county within 60 days after its receipt
- 22 of the county's regulations.
- 23 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 24 October 1, 2000.