Unofficial Copy M3 2002 Regular Session 2lr2450 CF 2lr2504

By: Delegates Conway, Taylor, Amedori, W. Baker, Barkley, Bartlett,

Bohanan, Boutin, Bozman, Brinkley, Bronrott, Cane, Conway, Crouse, Eckardt, Edwards, Elliott, Getty, Hecht, Hubbard, Hutchins, James, Kelly, Linton, McClenahan, McKee, Mitchell, Moe, O'Donnell, Owings, Parrott, Riley, Rudolph, Shank, Snodgrass, Stocksdale, Stull, Walkup, and Wood

Introduced and read first time: February 8, 2002

Assigned to: Appropriations

A BILL ENTITLED

4	AT	1 000	•
1	AN	ACT	concerning

2	Environment -	Wastewater	Infrastructure	Improvement	- Fund -	Study

- ${\small 3}\>\>\> FOR\>\> the\>\> purpose\>\> of\>\> establishing\>\> a\>\>\> Wastewater\>\> Infrastructure\>\> Improvement\>\> Fund;$
- 4 requiring a certain budget distribution; authorizing the Department of the
- 5 Environment to award grants to local jurisdictions for improving wastewater
- 6 infrastructure; establishing certain criteria for eligibility and funding priority;
- 7 requiring the Department to adopt certain regulations; requiring the
- 8 Department to conduct certain inflow and infiltration studies on wastewater
- 9 treatment systems; requiring the Department to finance a utility rate study for
- each locality with a wastewater treatment system in Maryland; providing for
- the termination of certain provisions of this Act; providing for the effective date
- of this Act; and generally relating to wastewater infrastructure improvement.
- 13 BY adding to
- 14 Article Environment
- 15 Section 9-352
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (1996 Replacement Volume and 2001 Supplement)

18 Preamble

- 19 WHEREAS, The costs of upgrading sewerage lines and wastewater treatment
- 20 facilities to meet today's environmental standards and to accommodate growth
- 21 typically far exceeds the financial resources of most smaller communities in
- 22 Maryland; and
- 23 WHEREAS, The availability of an adequate wastewater infrastructure is a vital
- 24 component of economic and community development strategies of the counties and
- 25 municipal corporations in the State; and

- 1 WHEREAS, The lack of an adequate wastewater infrastructure in many smaller
- 2 communities poses a serious challenge to the quality of life in these communities and
- 3 undermines the State's Smart Growth objectives that seek to channel future growth
- 4 and development into existing populated areas where infrastructure is already in
- 5 place to accommodate this development; now, therefore,
- 6 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 7 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 8 Article Environment
- 9 9-352.
- 10 (A) THERE IS A WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT FUND.
- 11 (B) THE GOVERNOR SHALL PROVIDE IN THE STATE BUDGET A MINIMUM OF
- 12 TEN MILLION DOLLARS IN EACH FISCAL YEAR.
- 13 (C) THE DEPARTMENT MAY DISTRIBUTE MONEY IN THE WASTEWATER
- 14 INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT FUND TO POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS OF THE STATE
- 15 THROUGH GRANTS FOR IMPROVING WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE ACCORDING
- 16 TO THIS SECTION.
- 17 (D) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ADOPT REGULATIONS THAT ESTABLISH
- 18 APPLICATION PROCEDURES AND CRITERIA FOR THE AWARD OF GRANTS UNDER THIS
- 19 SECTION. THE CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE:
- 20 (1) THE NEED TO CORRECT A SUBSTANTIAL WASTEWATER SYSTEM
- 21 DEFICIENCY RELATED TO SANITARY SYSTEM OVERFLOWS OR AN INFLOW OR
- 22 INFILTRATION PROBLEM;
- 23 (2) MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME FOR THE POLITICAL SUBDIVISION;
- 24 (3) UTILITY USER RATES FOR THE POLITICAL SUBDIVISION; AND
- 25 (4) THE COST RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT
- 26 AND THE USER RATES.
- 27 (E) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL AWARD GRANTS TO ELIGIBLE LOCAL
- 28 JURISDICTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PRIORITY RATING THAT INCORPORATES
- 29 THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:
- 30 (1) THE FISCAL INABILITY OF THE LOCAL JURISDICTION TO PAY FOR
- 31 THE PROPOSED WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT THROUGH A
- 32 COMBINATION OF OTHERWISE AVAILABLE GRANTS AND LOANS:
- 33 (2) THE NEED FOR THE PROPOSED WASTEWATER SYSTEM
- 34 IMPROVEMENT TO FURTHER AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY IDENTIFIED IN
- 35 A PLAN PRODUCED BY A REGIONAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, A

- 1 COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, OR A MUNICIPAL
- 2 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, WHERE APPLICABLE; AND
- 3 (3) THE CRITERIA USED TO MAKE LOANS UNDER THE MARYLAND
- 4 WATER QUALITY REVOLVING LOAN FUND.
- 5 (F) THE DEPARTMENT MAY NOT:
- 6 (1) AWARD MORE THAN 15% OF THE FUNDS IN THE WASTEWATER
- 7 INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT FUND TO A SINGLE LOCAL JURISDICTION DURING
- 8 ANY YEAR;
- 9 (2) MAKE MORE THAN TWO GRANT AWARDS TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS
- 10 LOCATED WITHIN A SINGLE COUNTY DURING ANY YEAR; OR
- 11 (3) MAKE MORE THAN ONE GRANT AWARD TO FUND A BIOLOGICAL
- 12 NUTRIENT REMOVAL PROGRAM DURING ANY YEAR TO A LOCAL JURISDICTION
- 13 OPERATING A WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY DESIGNED TO PRODUCE LESS
- 14 THAN 500,000 GALLONS PER DAY OF EFFLUENT OUTFLOW.
- 15 (G) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ADOPT REGULATIONS TO CARRY OUT THE
- 16 PROVISIONS OF THIS ACT.
- 17 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:
- 18 (a) The Department shall conduct a comprehensive inflow and infiltration
- 19 data study on every wastewater treatment system. The study shall involve a
- 20 statewide analysis using readily accessible existing data. This study shall determine
- 21 whether there is an inflow and infiltration problem and, if so, determine the
- 22 magnitude of the problem.
- 23 (b) The Department shall conduct at least six comprehensive in-depth inflow
- 24 and infiltration studies at selected wastewater treatment systems around the State
- 25 that are representative of the inflow and infiltration problems around the State.
- 26 (c) The Department shall finance a utility rate study for each locality with a
- 27 wastewater treatment system in Maryland. This study will compare the local
- 28 jurisdiction's utility rate to that necessary to financially support the jurisdiction's
- 29 current wastewater treatment program, while ensuring that adequate funding is set
- 30 aside by the local jurisdiction for a local capital improvement program.
- 31 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That Section 2 of this Act shall
- 32 take effect July 1, 2002. It shall remain effective for a period of 2 years and, at the end
- 33 of June 30, 2004, with no further action required by the General Assembly, Section 2
- 34 of this Act shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.
- 35 SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, except as provided in
- 36 Section 3 of this Act, this Act shall take effect July 1, 2004.