

DOUGLAS H. GANSLER
ATTORNEY GENERAL

KATHLEEN WINDHEP
Chief Deputy Attorney General

JOHN B. HOWARD, JR.
Deputy Attorney General



DAN FRIDMAN
Counsel to the General Assembly

SANDRA BENSON BEANTLEY
BONNIE A. KIRKLAND
KATHY M. ROWE
Assistant Attorneys General

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND
OFFICE OF COUNSEL TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

April 15, 2008

The Honorable Martin J. O'Malley
Governor of Maryland
State House
Annapolis, Maryland 21401-1991

RE: Senate Bill 154 and House Bill 545

Dear Governor O'Malley:

We have reviewed and hereby approve Senate Bill 154 and House Bill 545, companion bills entitled "Dorchester County - Alcoholic Beverages Act of 2008." We write to point out an inaccuracy in the title of the bill that we believe can be treated as surplusage.

Senate Bill 154 and House Bill 545 are omnibus bills relating to the alcoholic beverages laws of Dorchester County. One of the changes cuts off the issuance of Class B (on-sale and off-sale) beer and light wine licenses as of July 1, 2008. The holders of licenses issued prior to that date may continue to exercise all of the privileges under the license, and may renew it. However, the bill prohibits the transfer of "a Class B (on-sale and off-sale) beer and light wine license issued on or before June 30, 2008." This language takes effect July 1, 2008, *see* Section 2 of the bill, and prevents the Liquor Board from approving a transfer of one of the grandfathered licenses after that date. The title, however, describes this provision as "prohibiting the Board of License Commissioners from approving the transfer of a certain license on or before a certain date." This language would be correct if it referred to a license *issued* on or before a certain date, or if it referred to a prohibition on approval of transfer on or *after* a certain date. But as it stands, it is inaccurate.

The Honorable Martin J. O'Malley
April 15, 2008
Page 2

Because the generally relating clause, which states that the bill "generally relat[es] to alcoholic beverages in Dorchester County," is sufficient to cover the provision in question, it is our view that the inaccurate portion of the title can be treated as surplusage. *Neuenschwander v. WSSC*, 187 Md. 67 (1946). It would nevertheless also be appropriate for inclusion in next year's corrective bill.

Very truly yours,


Douglas F. Gansler
Attorney General

DFG/KMR/kk

cc: The Honorable Richard F. Colburn
The Honorable Adelaide C. Eckardt
The Honorable Dennis C. Schnepfe
Joseph Bryce
Karl Aro