

Department of Legislative Services
Maryland General Assembly
2010 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

Senate Bill 474 (Senator Stone)

Judicial Proceedings

**Criminal Procedure - Discharge from Commitment of Person Previously Found
Not Criminally Responsible - Judicial Hearing**

This bill authorizes a court to hold a *de novo* hearing within 30 days after the court receives a report from the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) containing recommendations as to whether a person previously found not criminally responsible is eligible for discharge or conditional release from commitment. The *de novo* hearing may be held on the court's own initiative or on motion by either party, and the court may receive evidence, hear witnesses, and engage in its own fact-finding at the hearing. The committed person is entitled to be present at the hearing and be represented by counsel.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: General fund expenditures increase by \$178,800 in FY 2011 for the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) and the Office of the Public Defender (OPD) to hire additional personnel to testify and provide legal representation at *de novo* hearings. Out-years reflect annualization and assume a stable caseload. Revenues are not affected.

(in dollars)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GF Expenditure	178,800	219,200	229,900	241,000	252,800
Net Effect	(\$178,800)	(\$219,200)	(\$229,900)	(\$241,000)	(\$252,800)

Note:() = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; SF = special funds; - = indeterminate effect

Local Effect: Although the bill may increase the number of *de novo* hearings conducted in circuit courts, it is assumed that the circuit courts and State's Attorneys can handle the bill's requirements with existing resources.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The bill also authorizes the court to continue its own hearing for the purpose of taking additional evidence. The bill clarifies that within 15 days after a judicial hearing on OAH recommendations ends or is waived, the court must (1) determine whether the evidence on the record that was made before OAH indicates as a matter of law that the committed person proved by a preponderance of evidence eligibility for release, with or without conditions, in accordance with applicable statutes; or (2) determine whether the evidence taken by the court indicates as a matter of fact and law that the committed person proved by a preponderance of the evidence eligibility for release, with or without conditions, in accordance with applicable statutes.

Current Law: Under Maryland law, a defendant is not criminally responsible for criminal conduct if, at the time of that conduct, the defendant, because of a mental disorder or mental retardation, lacks substantial capacity to appreciate the criminality of that conduct or to conform that conduct to the requirements of law. The law further clarifies that a mental disorder does not mean an abnormality manifested only by repeated criminal behavior or other antisocial misconduct.

After a verdict of not criminally responsible, a court ordinarily is required to commit a defendant to the custody of DHMH for institutional inpatient care or treatment. However, the court may release a defendant after a not criminally responsible verdict if (1) DHMH issues a report within 90 days prior to the verdict stating that the defendant would not be a danger if released; and (2) the State's Attorney and the defendant agree to the release and any conditions the court decides to impose.

A committed defendant is eligible for release only if the defendant proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant will not be a danger due to mental illness if released. Within 50 days after the finding of not criminally responsible and commitment to DHMH, unless waived by the defendant, DHMH is required to hold a hearing at the facility before an administrative law judge on the issue of whether the individual is eligible for discharge or conditional release or requires continued commitment to DHMH. At the hearing, the formal rules of evidence do not apply and the defendant is entitled to legal representation. In addition, DHMH and the State's Attorney are entitled to participate in the hearing. Within 10 days after the hearing, OAH must submit a written report with a summary of the evidence presented at the hearing and a recommendation as to whether the committed person has proven that he/she is eligible for conditional release or discharge. Any party may file exceptions to OAH's recommendations within 10 days after receiving the report.

The court may hold a hearing on its own initiative within 30 days after the court receives the OAH report. The court must hold a hearing within this 30-day timeframe if timely

exceptions are filed, unless the committed person and the State's Attorney waive the hearing. The committed person is entitled to be present at the hearing and have legal representation. The court may continue the hearing and remand to OAH to take additional evidence. If the court holds a hearing or if a hearing is waived, the court has 15 days from the end of the hearing or the waiver to (1) determine whether the evidence indicates that the committed person proved by a preponderance of the evidence that he/she is eligible for release; and (2) enter an appropriate order containing a concise statement of the court's findings, the reasons behind the court's findings and an order for continued commitment, conditional release, or discharge from commitment. The conditions of release are for a period of five years or less. However, the court may extend the conditions of release upon recommendation from the department.

If timely exceptions are not filed and the court determines that OAH recommendations are supported by the evidence and a hearing is not necessary, the court must enter an order in accordance with the OAH's recommendations within 30 days of receiving OAH's report. The court must notify the Criminal Justice Information System Central Repository whenever it orders conditional release or discharge of a committed person.

If the court orders continued commitment, the defendant may apply for release not earlier than one year after the initial release hearing ends or is waived, and annually thereafter. For these subsequent applications for release, the defendant has the option to pursue the administrative procedure applicable to the initial application for release or a court procedure, including the option to pursue a jury trial. In addition, DHMH may apply at any time to the court to order the defendant's conditional release.

Background: In *Byers v. State*, 184 Md. App. 499, 966 A.2d 982 (2009), the Maryland Court of Special Appeals held that a circuit court erred when it denied an OAH recommendation for release of a committed person after reviewing the facts *de novo*, rather than basing its decision on a review of the administrative decision. The court opined that the circuit court did not have the authority to take additional evidence in cases pertaining to initial requests for release from commitment after a finding of not criminally responsible. In these cases, the circuit court must remand the case to OAH to obtain additional evidence.

State Expenditures: General fund expenditures increase by \$178,840 in fiscal 2011, which accounts for the bill's October 1, 2010 effective date. This estimate reflects the cost of hiring one-half assistant public defender to represent committed individuals at hearings and one-half assistant Attorney General, one social worker, and one psychologist to represent DHMH at *de novo* judicial hearings. It includes salaries, fringe benefits, one-time start-up costs, and ongoing operating expenses.

Positions	3
Salaries and Fringe Benefits	\$160,512
Operating Expenses	18,328
Total FY 2011 State Expenditures	\$178,840

Future year expenditures reflect full salaries with 4.4% annual increases and 3% employee turnover; and 1% annual increases in ongoing operating expenses.

Both the Mental Health Administration (MHA) and the Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) participate in not criminally responsible hearings. However, MHA is involved in the overwhelming majority of these cases. MHA advises that it participates in approximately 300 administrative hearings for not criminally responsible individuals each year. The bill authorizes either party to request a *de novo* hearing or for a court to hold one on its own initiative. Assuming that 50% of the 300 hearings result in *de novo* hearings, DHMH would participate in 150 *de novo* hearings each year. *De novo* hearings are more involved and lengthier than the judicial hearings currently conducted in these cases. At a *de novo* judicial hearing, a psychologist would provide testimony on the committed person's mental status, a social worker would testify on the recommended conditions of release (if applicable), and an assistant Attorney General would provide legal representation for DHMH. Administrative hearings are held at the DHMH facility to which the defendant was committed. MHA advises that each administrative hearing typically takes one-half of a workday. A *de novo* judicial hearing will likely consume the same amount of time. However, in some instances, additional time may be needed for travel to jurisdictions located in more remote areas of the State.

The Office of the Public Defender advises that each hearing would require five hours of work. Assuming that 150 *de novo* hearings occur each year, the bill would result in an additional workload of 750 hours. Pursuant to the Case Weighting Study by the National Center for State Courts in 2005, each Maryland assistant public defender works 1,378 hours per year on case-related tasks, resulting in the need for one-half assistant public defender to cover the additional workload.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Allegany and Montgomery counties, Baltimore City, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Office of Administrative Hearings, Office of the Public Defender, State's Attorneys' Association, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 15, 2010
ncs/kdm

Analysis by: Amy A. Devadas

Direct Inquiries to:
(410) 946-5510
(301) 970-5510