

SB0160/798879/1

BY: Judicial Proceedings Committee

AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL 160

(First Reading File Bill)

AMENDMENT NO. 1

On page 1, in the sponsor line, strike “and Stone” and substitute “Stone, and Manno”; in line 6, after “dog;” insert “providing that the presumption may not be rebutted as a matter of law; providing that the presumption may be rebutted by certain clear and convincing evidence;”.

On page 2, in line 20, strike “No. 53, September Term 2011” and substitute “427 Md. 627 (2012)”.

AMENDMENT NO. 2

On page 1, in line 22, after “(A)” insert “(1)”.

On page 2, after line 2, insert:

“(2) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER LAW OR RULE, THE PRESUMPTION MAY NOT BE REBUTTED AS A MATTER OF LAW.

“(3) THE PRESUMPTION MAY BE REBUTTED BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE OWNER DID NOT KNOW AND SHOULD NOT HAVE KNOWN THAT THE DOG HAD VICIOUS OR DANGEROUS PROPENSITIES.”