HOUSE BILL 585

By: Delegates Reznik, Bromwell, Costa, Cullison, Donoghue, Elliott, Frank, Frick, Healey, Hubbard, A. Kelly, Kipke, Murphy, Nathan–Pulliam, Oaks, Pena–Melnyk, Ready, B. Robinson, Tarrant, and V. Turner

Introduced and read first time: January 31, 2013 Assigned to: Health and Government Operations and Economic Matters

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Procurement – Subcontractor Equal Access to Bonding Act of 2013

- 3 FOR the purpose of prohibiting a prime contractor from requiring certain bonding 4 from a subcontractor on certain procurement contracts that is more stringent $\mathbf{5}$ than certain bonding requirements for prime contractors on certain 6 procurement contracts under a certain circumstance; requiring certain prime 7contractors and the State to be dual obligees on certain bonds under a certain 8 circumstance; establishing certain underwriting requirements for certain bonds 9 that are provided by subcontractors; requiring a subcontractor to provide 10 certain evidence with a certain bond under certain circumstances; requiring a 11 procurement officer to make a certain determination and to obtain advice from 12the Office of the Attorney General under certain circumstances; and generally relating to bonding requirements for subcontractors on procurement contracts 1314with the State.
- 15 BY adding to
- 16 Article State Finance and Procurement
- 17 Section 13–227
- 18 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 19 (2009 Replacement Volume and 2012 Supplement)
- 20 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 21 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 22

Article – State Finance and Procurement

23 **13–227.**

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

P2

HOUSE BILL 585

1 (A) IF A PRIME CONTRACTOR REQUIRES A SUBCONTRACTOR TO 2 PROVIDE A BID, PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BOND ON A PROCUREMENT 3 CONTRACT FOR SERVICES, SUPPLIES, OR CONSTRUCTION-RELATED SERVICES 4 WITH THE STATE:

5 (1) THE PRIME CONTRACTOR MAY NOT REQUIRE BID, 6 PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BONDING FROM A SUBCONTRACTOR THAT IS 7 MORE STRINGENT THAN THE BONDING REQUIREMENTS IN §§ 13–207 AND 8 13–216 OF THIS SUBTITLE AND § 17–104 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND

9 (2) THE PRIME CONTRACTOR AND THE STATE SHALL BE DUAL 10 OBLIGEES ON THE BOND.

11 (B) A BID, PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BOND THAT IS PROVIDED BY A 12 SUBCONTRACTOR UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION SHALL BE 13 UNDERWRITTEN BY:

14(1) A SURETY COMPANY AUTHORIZED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE15STATE;

16(2) AN INDIVIDUAL SURETY THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF17THIS SECTION; OR

18(3) THE MARYLAND SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT19FINANCING AUTHORITY ESTABLISHED IN TITLE 5, SUBTITLE 5 OF THE20ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ARTICLE.

(C) IF A SUBCONTRACTOR ELECTS TO PROVIDE A BOND UNDERWRITTEN
BY AN INDIVIDUAL SURETY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (B)(2) OF THIS
SECTION:

24(1) THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE EVIDENCE WITH THE25BOND THAT IS SATISFACTORY TO THE UNIT THAT:

(I) THE SUBCONTRACTOR HAS BEEN DENIED CREDIT BY A
SURETY COMPANY WITHIN THE PAST 3 YEARS FROM THE DATE THE BOND WAS
SUBMITTED, BASED ON A GOOD FAITH APPLICATION BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR;
AND

30 (II) THE INDIVIDUAL SURETY TRANSACTS BUSINESS ONLY
31 THROUGH AN INSURANCE AGENCY LICENSED BY THE MARYLAND INSURANCE
32 ADMINISTRATION; AND

 $\mathbf{2}$

HOUSE BILL 585

(I) DETERMINE THE ACCEPTABILITY OF AN INDIVIDUAL
PROPOSED AS A SURETY AND ENSURE THAT THE SURETY'S PLEDGED ASSETS
ARE SUFFICIENT TO COVER THE BOND REQUIRED BY THE SOLICITATION; AND
(II) OBTAIN THE ADVICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL AS TO THE ADEQUACY OF THE DOCUMENTS PLEDGING THE ASSETS
BEFORE ACCEPTING THE BOND.

THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER SHALL:

(2)

1

8 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 9 October 1, 2013.