# HOUSE BILL 585

#### By: Delegates Reznik, Bromwell, Costa, Cullison, Donoghue, Elliott, Frank, Frick, Healey, Hubbard, A. Kelly, Kipke, Murphy, Nathan–Pulliam, Oaks, Pena–Melnyk, Ready, B. Robinson, Tarrant, and V. Turner Introduced and read first time: January 31, 2013

Assigned to: Health and Government Operations and Economic Matters

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 20, 2013

## CHAPTER \_\_\_\_\_

## 1 AN ACT concerning

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

P2

# Procurement – Subcontractor Equal Access to Bonding Act of 2013

3 FOR the purpose of prohibiting a prime contractor from requiring certain bonding 4 from a subcontractor on certain procurement contracts that is more stringent  $\mathbf{5}$ than certain bonding requirements for prime contractors on certain 6 procurement contracts under a certain circumstance; requiring certain prime 7 contractors and the State to be dual obligees on certain bonds under a certain 8 circumstance: establishing certain underwriting requirements for certain bonds 9 that are provided by subcontractors; requiring a subcontractor to provide certain evidence with a certain bond under certain circumstances; requiring a 10 procurement officer to make a certain determination and to obtain advice from 11 the Office of the Attorney General under certain circumstances: requiring a 12 contractor to accept certain bonding from a subcontractor under certain 13 circumstances; requiring certain agencies to provide certain notice on bid 14security to certain bidders; and generally relating to bonding requirements for 1516 subcontractors on procurement contracts with the State.

#### 17 BY adding to

- 18 Article State Finance and Procurement
- 19 Section 13–227
- 20 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 21 (2009 Replacement Volume and 2012 Supplement)

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

Underlining indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



	2 HOUSE BILL 585
$\frac{1}{2}$	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
3	<b>Article – State Finance and Procurement</b>
4	13–227.
5 6 7 8	(A) IF A PRIME CONTRACTOR REQUIRES A SUBCONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE A BID, PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BOND ON A PROCUREMENT CONTRACT FOR SERVICES, SUPPLIES, OR CONSTRUCTION-RELATED SERVICES WITH THE STATE#
9 10 11 12	(1), THE PRIME CONTRACTOR MAY NOT REQUIRE BID, PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BONDING FROM $\frac{1}{2}$ THE SUBCONTRACTOR THAT IS MORE STRINGENT THAN THE BONDING REQUIREMENTS IN §§ 13–207 AND 13–216 OF THIS SUBTITLE AND § 17–104 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND
13 14	(2) THE PRIME CONTRACTOR AND THE STATE SHALL BE DUAL OBLIGEES ON THE BOND.
15 16 17 18	(B) A BID, PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BOND THAT IS PROVIDED BY A SUBCONTRACTOR UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION SHALL BE UNDERWRITTEN ACCEPTED BY THE PRIME CONTRACTOR IF THE BOND WOULD BE ACCEPTED BY THE STATE AND PROVIDED BY:
19 20	(1) A SURETY COMPANY AUTHORIZED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE STATE; <u>OR</u>
$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	(2) AN INDIVIDUAL SURETY THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION; OR
$23 \\ 24 \\ 25$	(3) (2) THE MARYLAND SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY ESTABLISHED IN TITLE 5, SUBTITLE 5 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ARTICLE.
26 27 28	(C) IF A SUBCONTRACTOR ELECTS TO PROVIDE A BOND UNDERWRITTEN BY AN INDIVIDUAL SURETY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (B)(2) OF THIS SECTION:
29 30	(1) THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE EVIDENCE WITH THE BOND THAT IS SATISFACTORY TO THE UNIT THAT:
$\frac{31}{32}$	(I) THE SUBCONTRACTOR HAS BEEN DENIED CREDIT BY A SURETY COMPANY WITHIN THE PAST 3 YEARS FROM THE DATE THE BOND WAS

## HOUSE BILL 585

1	SUBMITTED, BASED ON A GOOD FAITH APPLICATION BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR;
2	AND
3	(II) THE INDIVIDUAL SURETY TRANSACTS BUSINESS ONLY
4	THROUGH AN INSURANCE AGENCY LICENSED BY THE MARYLAND INSURANCE
<b>5</b>	ADMINISTRATION; AND
6	(2) THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER SHALL:
7	(I) DETERMINE THE ACCEPTABILITY OF AN INDIVIDUAL
8	PROPOSED AS A SURETY AND ENSURE THAT THE SURETY'S PLEDGED ASSETS
9	ARE SUFFICIENT TO COVER THE BOND REQUIRED BY THE SOLICITATION; AND
10	(II) OBTAIN THE ADVICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
11	GENERAL AS TO THE ADEQUACY OF THE DOCUMENTS PLEDGING THE ASSETS
12	BEFORE ACCEPTING THE BOND.
13	(C) IN A SOLICITATION OR PRE-BID CONFERENCE FOR A
14	PROCUREMENT CONTRACT FOR SERVICES, SUPPLIES, OR CONSTRUCTION
15	RELATED SERVICES WITH THE STATE, THE PROCUREMENT AGENCY SHALL
16	PROVIDE NOTICE TO ALL BIDDERS THAT BID SECURITY SHALL BE:
17	(1) A BOND PROVIDED BY A SURETY COMPANY AUTHORIZED TO
18	DO BUSINESS IN THIS STATE;
10	
19	(2) <u>A BOND PROVIDED BY AN INDIVIDUAL SURETY THAT MEETS</u>
20	THE REQUIREMENTS OF §§ 13–207 AND 13–216 OF THIS SUBTITLE AND § 17–104
21	OF THIS ARTICLE;
22	<u>(3)</u> <u>CASH; OR</u>
23	(4) ANOTHER FORM OF SECURITY:
24	(I) AUTHORIZED BY FEDERAL OR STATE REGULATION; OR
25	(II) THAT IS SATISFACTORY TO THE UNIT AWARDING THE
$\frac{25}{26}$	CONTRACT.
40	
27	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect

28 <del>October</del> July 1, 2013.