R3 3lr2246

By: Delegates McDermott, Afzali, Cluster, Dumais, Hogan, Hough, McComas, Mitchell, and Ready

Introduced and read first time: February 6, 2013

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

| 1 | AN A | CT conc | erning |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|
| _ | T T T T T | C I COLIC | CILLIII |

2

Drugged Driving - Drug Recognition Expert - Testimony

- 3 FOR the purpose of establishing that a police officer who is certified as a drug 4 recognition expert may be qualified to testify under certain circumstances; 5 establishing that the opinion of a certain police officer as to certain matters 6 concerning drugs and controlled dangerous substances may be admissible at 7 trial; establishing that a certain drug evaluation and classification protocol is 8 deemed to be generally accepted within the scientific community and based on 9 generally accepted scientific principles; removing certain limitations on a police 10 officer requiring or directing a test for drug or controlled dangerous substance content under certain circumstances; and generally relating to evidence in 11 drugged driving trials. 12
- 13 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 14 Article Transportation
- 15 Section 16–205.1(i)
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (2012 Replacement Volume)
- 18 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 19 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article - Transportation

21 16–205.1.

20

22 (i) **(1)** Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a test for drug or controlled dangerous substance content under this section:



| 1 2 3 4 5 | [(1)] (I) May not be requested as described under subsection (b) of this section[, required as described under subsection (c) of this section, or directed as described under subsection (d) of this section,] by a police officer unless the law enforcement agency of which the officer is a member has the capacity to have such tests conducted; |
|----------------------------|---|
| 6 7 8 9 | [(2)] (II) May only be requested as described under subsection (b) of this section[, required as described under subsection (c) of this section, or directed as described under subsection (d) of this section,] by a police officer who is a trainee, has been trained, or is participating directly or indirectly in a program of training that is: |
| 10 11 | [(i)] 1. Designed to train and certify police officers as drug recognition experts; and |
| 12 13 14 | [(ii)] 2. Conducted by a law enforcement agency of the State, or any county, municipal, or other law enforcement agency in the State described in [items (3)(i)1 through 12 of this subsection] ITEM (III) OF THIS PARAGRAPH: |
| 15 16 | [1.] A. In conjunction with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; or |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | [2.] B. As a program of training of police officers as drug recognition experts that contains requirements for successful completion of the training program that are the substantial equivalent of the requirements of the Drug Recognition Training Program developed by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; and |
| 22 23 24 | [(3)] (III) May only be requested as described under subsection (b) of this section[, required as described under subsection (c) of this section, or directed as described under subsection (d) of this section]: |
| 25 26 27 28 | [(i)] 1. In the case of a police officer who is a trainee, or who is participating directly or indirectly in a program of training described in [paragraph (2) of this subsection] ITEM (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, if the police officer is a member of, and is designated as a trainee or a participant by the head of: |
| 29 | [1.] A. The Department of State Police; |
| 30 | [2.] B. The Baltimore City Police Department; |
| 31 32 | [3.] C. A police department, bureau, or force of a county; |
| 33 34 | [4.] D. A police department, bureau, or force of an incorporated city or town; |

CLASSIFICATION

| 1 | | [5.] E. | The | Maryla | nd | Transit | Admi | nistratio | n Po | olice |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| 2 | Force; | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 4 | of the Department of Tra | [6.] F. nsportation; | The | Marylar | nd I | Port Adm | inistra | ition Poli | .ce F | orce |
| 5 6 | Force; | [7.] G. | The | Marylar | nd ' | Γranspor | tation | Authorit | ту Ро | olice |
| 7 8 | or Morgan State Univers | [8.] H. ity; | The | Police F | 'orc | e of the | Univer | rsity of M | [ary] | land |
| 9 | under the direction and c | [9.] I. control of the | | - | | | | versity o | r col | lege |
| $egin{array}{c} 1 \ 2 \end{array}$ | Baltimore City; | [10.] J. | A s | heriff's | de | partmen | t of | any co | unty | or |
| 13 | Forest and Park Service | [11.] K. Police Force o | | | | | | ce Force sources; | | the |
| 15 16 | Services; or | [12.] L. | The | security | for | ce of the | Depar | tment of | Gen | ieral |
| 17 18 19 20 | [(ii)] 2. In the case of a police officer who has been trained as a drug recognition expert, if the police officer is a member of, and certified as a drug recognition expert by the head of one of the law enforcement agencies described in [items (3)(i)1 through 12 of this subsection] ITEMS 1A THROUGH L OF THIS ITEM. | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 | (2) (I) SUBSECTION TO REQU SUBSTANCE CONTENT, RECOGNITION EXPERT EVALUATION MAY BE TESTIMONY MAY BE RE | A POLICE AT THE TI QUALIFIED | FOI OFF ME T | R DRUG ICER W HE POI | O VHC LIC | R CONT) IS CE E OFFIC | ROLLI RTIFII ER CO | ED DANG ED AS A ONDUCT | GER A DI ED ' | RUG THE |
| 27 28 29 30 | (II) SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF UNDER THE INFLUENCE SUBSTANCES AND AS DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE | E OF ONE OF TO THE CA | RAGRA R MOF ATEGO | APH AS RE DRUG DRY OF | T0 GS (| O WHET OR CONT HAT DR | HER A | A PERSO ED DANO | ON V | WAS OUS |

(III) THE DRUG EVALUATION AND

PROTOCOL UTILIZED BY A DRUG RECOGNITION EXPERT IS DEEMED TO BE

32

33

- 1 GENERALLY ACCEPTED WITHIN THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY AND BASED ON 2 GENERALLY ACCEPTED SCIENTIFIC PRINCIPLES.
- 3 (3) THE ADMISSION INTO EVIDENCE OF THE RESULTS OF A TEST
- 4 FOR DRUG OR CONTROLLED DANGEROUS CONTENT OR A POLICE OFFICER'S
- 5 TESTIMONY DOES NOT LIMIT THE ADMISSIBILITY OF OTHER EVIDENCE BEARING
- 6 ON WHETHER A PERSON WAS IMPAIRED BY A DRUG OR CONTROLLED
- 7 DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE.
- 8 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 9 October 1, 2013.