SENATE BILL 599

P2

3lr2926 CF HB 585

By: Senator Conway

Introduced and read first time: February 1, 2013 Assigned to: Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Procurement – Subcontractor Equal Access to Bonding Act of 2013

3 FOR the purpose of prohibiting a prime contractor from requiring certain bonding 4 from a subcontractor on certain procurement contracts that is more stringent $\mathbf{5}$ than certain bonding requirements for prime contractors on certain 6 procurement contracts under a certain circumstance; requiring certain prime 7 contractors and the State to be dual obligees on certain bonds under a certain 8 circumstance; establishing certain underwriting requirements for certain bonds 9 that are provided by subcontractors; requiring a subcontractor to provide certain evidence with a certain bond under certain circumstances; requiring a 10 procurement officer to make a certain determination and to obtain advice from 11 12the Office of the Attorney General under certain circumstances; and generally 13 relating to bonding requirements for subcontractors on procurement contracts with the State. 14

- 15 BY adding to
- 16 Article State Finance and Procurement
- 17 Section 13–227
- 18 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 19 (2009 Replacement Volume and 2012 Supplement)
- 20 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 21 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 22

Article – State Finance and Procurement

23 **13–227.**

24(A)IF A PRIME CONTRACTOR REQUIRES A SUBCONTRACTOR TO25PROVIDE A BID, PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BOND ON A PROCUREMENT

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 CONTRACT FOR SERVICES, SUPPLIES, OR CONSTRUCTION-RELATED SERVICES 2 WITH THE STATE:

3 (1) THE PRIME CONTRACTOR MAY NOT REQUIRE BID, 4 PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BONDING FROM A SUBCONTRACTOR THAT IS 5 MORE STRINGENT THAN THE BONDING REQUIREMENTS IN §§ 13–207 AND 6 13–216 OF THIS SUBTITLE AND § 17–104 OF THIS ARTICLE; AND

7 (2) THE PRIME CONTRACTOR AND THE STATE SHALL BE DUAL 8 OBLIGEES ON THE BOND.

9 (B) A BID, PERFORMANCE, OR PAYMENT BOND THAT IS PROVIDED BY A 10 SUBCONTRACTOR UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION SHALL BE 11 UNDERWRITTEN BY:

12 (1) A SURETY COMPANY AUTHORIZED TO DO BUSINESS IN THE 13 STATE;

14(2) AN INDIVIDUAL SURETY THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF15THIS SECTION; OR

16 (3) THE MARYLAND SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT 17 FINANCING AUTHORITY ESTABLISHED IN TITLE 5, SUBTITLE 5 OF THE 18 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ARTICLE.

19 (C) IF A SUBCONTRACTOR ELECTS TO PROVIDE A BOND UNDERWRITTEN 20 BY AN INDIVIDUAL SURETY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION (B)(2) OF THIS 21 SECTION:

22 (1) THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE EVIDENCE WITH THE 23 BOND THAT IS SATISFACTORY TO THE UNIT THAT:

(I) THE SUBCONTRACTOR HAS BEEN DENIED CREDIT BY A
SURETY COMPANY WITHIN THE PAST 3 YEARS FROM THE DATE THE BOND WAS
SUBMITTED, BASED ON A GOOD FAITH APPLICATION BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR;
AND

(II) THE INDIVIDUAL SURETY TRANSACTS BUSINESS ONLY
THROUGH AN INSURANCE AGENCY LICENSED BY THE MARYLAND INSURANCE
ADMINISTRATION; AND

31 (2) THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER SHALL:

 $\mathbf{2}$

1(I) DETERMINE THE ACCEPTABILITY OF AN INDIVIDUAL2PROPOSED AS A SURETY AND ENSURE THAT THE SURETY'S PLEDGED ASSETS3ARE SUFFICIENT TO COVER THE BOND REQUIRED BY THE SOLICITATION; AND

4 (II) OBTAIN THE ADVICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY 5 GENERAL AS TO THE ADEQUACY OF THE DOCUMENTS PLEDGING THE ASSETS 6 BEFORE ACCEPTING THE BOND.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
October 1, 2013.