## **Department of Legislative Services**

Maryland General Assembly 2013 Session

#### FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 872 Judiciary

(Delegate Carter)

# Family Law - Modification of Child Custody or Denial of Visitation - Expedited Hearings

This bill specifies that if a party petitions the court for modification of a child custody order or an order denying visitation, the court must hold a hearing on the petition within 14 days after the petition is filed.

### **Fiscal Summary**

**State Effect:** Significant operational impact and potentially significant general fund expenditure increase for the Judiciary to accommodate hearings within the specified timeframe.

**Local Effect:** Significant operational impact and minimal increase in expenditures for circuit courts to accommodate hearings within the specified timeframe.

**Small Business Effect:** None

## **Analysis**

**Current Law:** The court maintains continuing jurisdiction over custody cases and may modify custody if there is a material change in circumstances which adversely impacts or may adversely impact the welfare of the child. (*See McCready v. McCready*, 323 Md. 476 (1991).) Statutory provisions do not require a hearing within 14 days.

**State/Local Fiscal Effect:** Information regarding the number of modifications is not readily available. However, the Department of Legislative Services agrees with the assessment of the Judiciary that the bill has a significant operational impact on the courts.

Circuit courts are already utilizing the services of retired judges to ensure that cases are adjudicated in a timely manner, and the compressed timeframe between the filing of a petition and a required hearing under this bill's provisions likely necessitates additional use of these judges. For illustrative purposes only and based on a conservative estimate, if five of the larger jurisdictions require the use of a retired judge four days per month to accommodate the hearings, general fund expenditures increase by \$102,800 in fiscal 2014, which accounts for the bill's October 1, 2013 effective date and by approximately \$137,000 annually thereafter (based on a \$571 per diem). On the other hand, if the workload is such that even one additional judge is needed, annual expenditures for the Judiciary increase by approximately \$200,000 in fiscal 2014, which assumes a January 1, 2014 start date for the judge, and by over \$400,000 annually. These costs include salaries and fringe benefits for a judge, a law clerk, and a court clerk. Although the State is responsible for these costs, the counties are responsible for additional required expenditures for secretarial staff, supplies, and equipment for circuit court judges.

#### **Additional Information**

**Prior Introductions:** None.

**Cross File:** None.

**Information Source(s):** Judiciary (Administrative Office of the Courts), Department of

Legislative Services

**Fiscal Note History:** First Reader - February 26, 2013

ncs/kdm

Analysis by: Jennifer K. Botts Direct Inquiries to:

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510