Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2013 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 346

(Harford County Delegation)

Appropriations Judicial Proceedings

Harford County - Correctional Officers' Bill of Rights

This bill codifies specified rights relating to employment, investigation, and discipline to correctional officers in Harford County under the jurisdiction of the Office of the Sheriff of Harford County as the "managing official" of the county correctional facility. The bill's provisions are similar to the provisions of the Correctional Officers' Bill of Rights applicable in Cecil, Garrett, and St. Mary's counties. However, specified provisions relating to hearing board formation and procedures and the finality of hearing board decisions applicable in those other counties are not applicable in Harford County.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: None.

Local Effect: None. This bill codifies current practice in Harford County. Any future impacts arising from decisions of hearing boards cannot be reliably predicted.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Bill Summary: Similar to the Correctional Officers' Bill of Rights (COBOR) for State correctional officers (Chapter 194 of 2010, SB 887), this bill does not limit the authority of the Sheriff of Harford County to regulate the competent and effective operation and management of the local correctional facility by reasonable means including the transfer and reassignment of employees if (1) that action is not punitive in nature and (2) the appointing authority determines that action to be in the best interests of the internal management of the correctional facility.

Under both COBOR and this bill, a correctional officer may not be required or requested to disclose an item of the correctional officer's property, income, assets, source of income, debts, or personal or domestic expenditures, including those of a member of the correctional officer's family or household, unless the disclosure is required by federal or State law or the information is necessary to investigate a possible conflict of interest with respect to the performance of the correctional officer's duties. Although, under both COBOR and this bill, a correctional officer may waive in writing any rights granted under the statute, the right of a correctional officer to bring suit arising out of the officer's duties may not be abridged.

However, unlike the State provisions, this bill does not enumerate or define "misconduct." This bill specifies procedures for complaints of brutality and COBOR does not, *per se*. However, COBOR does specify that an appointing authority may not recommend disciplinary action against a correctional officer for excessive use of force against an inmate based solely on the uncorroborated statement of the inmate unless the appointing authority determines that there exists any indicia of reliability to support the inmate's allegation. Under COBOR, at least 24 hours before an interrogation, the correctional officer under investigation must be informed of the name, rank, and command of (1) the person in charge of the investigation; (2) the interrogating officer; and (3) each individual who will be present during the interrogation. Under this bill, there is no specified timeframe for that notification.

Under COBOR and this bill, a correctional officer is entitled to counsel and results of a polygraph examination may not be used as evidence in an administrative hearing without agreement from both parties. Timeframes for notification of all charges and witnesses against a correctional officer differ. Under COBOR, it must be made at least 20 days prior to a hearing. Under this bill, notification must be made at least 10 days before a hearing.

Though the details differ, procedures for the issuance of subpoenas exist under both COBOR and this bill. Any decision, order, or action taken by a hearing board must be in writing and accompanied by findings of fact. Under the bill, in Harford County, the managing official does not have the ability to agree to the finality of a hearing board decision with a recognized and certified bargaining representative of the county correctional officers. The decision of the hearing board is final if the managing official is an eyewitness to the underlying incident.

A finding of not guilty terminates the action. For a finding of guilty, the procedures for determination of penalty differ. However, an appeal from a decision may be taken to the circuit court for the appropriate county under Maryland Rule 7-202. A decision by the circuit court may be appealed to the Court of Special Appeals. Provisions for emergency suspensions, with or without pay, are included under the bill and COBOR.

Current Law: Chapter 689 of 2008 (HB 1245) provided for rights of a correctional officer relating to the employment, investigation, and discipline of correctional officers in Cecil County. Chapter 128 of 2011 (HB 522) and Chapter 190 of 2012 (SB 205) included correctional officers in St. Mary's County and Garrett County, respectively, under these same provisions. A separate Correctional Officers' Bill of Rights in Charles County was enacted by Chapter 441 of 2012 (HB 1457).

Chapter 194 of 2010 (SB 887) provides for rights of a State correctional officer relating to the employment, investigation, and discipline of correctional officers who are employees of the Division of Correction working in a State correctional facility. COBOR established exclusive procedures for the investigation and discipline of a State-employed correctional officer for alleged misconduct.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

Cross File: SB 216 (Senator Glassman, *et al.*) - Judicial Proceedings.

Information Source(s): Harford County, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - January 28, 2013

ncs/lgc

Analysis by: Guy G. Cherry Direct Inquiries to:

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510