Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2013 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE

House Bill 1218

(Delegates Szeliga and Aumann)

Economic Matters

Consumer Protection - Payment by Credit Card - Prohibition of Surcharge

This emergency bill prohibits a merchant that accepts payment by credit card from imposing a surcharge on a buyer who elects to pay by credit card instead of by cash, check, or similar means. The bill does not prohibit a merchant from offering a discount for the purpose of inducing payment by cash, check, or similar means not involving the use of a credit card if the discount is offered to all prospective buyers.

Violation of the bill is an unfair or deceptive trade practice under the Maryland Consumer Protection Act (MCPA), subject to MCPA's civil and criminal penalty provisions.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: The bill's imposition of existing penalty provisions does not have a material impact on State finances or operations. If the Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General receives fewer than 50 complaints per year stemming from the bill, the additional workload can be handled with existing resources.

Local Effect: The bill's imposition of existing penalty provisions does not have a material impact on local government finances or operations.

Small Business Effect: Meaningful.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The bill defines "surcharge" as any additional amount that (1) is imposed by a merchant at the time of a sales transaction for the privilege of using a credit card to make payment and (2) increases the sales cost to a buyer.

Current Law: An unfair or deceptive trade practice under MCPA includes, among other acts, any false, falsely disparaging, or misleading oral or written statement, visual description, or other representation of any kind which has the capacity, tendency, or effect of deceiving or misleading consumers. The prohibition against engaging in any unfair or deceptive trade practice encompasses the offer for or actual sale, lease, rental, loan, or bailment of any consumer goods, consumer realty, or consumer services; the extension of consumer credit; the collection of consumer debt; or the offer for or actual purchase of consumer goods or consumer realty from a consumer by a merchant whose business includes paying off consumer debt in connection with the purchase of any consumer goods or consumer realty from a consumer.

The Consumer Protection Division is responsible for enforcing MCPA and investigating the complaints of aggrieved consumers. The division may attempt to conciliate the matter, hold a public hearing, seek an injunction, or bring an action for damages. A merchant who violates MCPA is subject to a fine of up to \$1,000 for the first violation and up to \$5,000 for each subsequent violation. In addition to any civil penalties that may be imposed, any person who violates MCPA is guilty of a misdemeanor and, on conviction, is subject to a fine of up to \$1,000 and/or imprisonment for up to one year.

Background: In July 2012, Visa and MasterCard announced a settlement with retailers. The settlement addresses complaints from retailers that the two credit card issuers prohibited retailers from imposing a surcharge on customers using the issuers' credit cards. As part of the settlement, Visa and MasterCard agreed to pay the retailers more than \$6 billion as well as allow the retailers to impose a surcharge on credit transactions, subject to a cap.

The retailers must meet several requirements before a surcharge can be imposed, including providing notice at the store entrance, point of sale, or on receipts of the imposition of the surcharge. Any notice on a receipt must show the fee amount and state that the amount is equal to the credit card issuer's processing fee. The surcharge may also not be imposed on debit cards. According to the Kiplinger *Personal Finance Newsletter*, the National Retail Federation has stated that those merchants that add the surcharge for Visa and MasterCard would have to add a surcharge to other cards with an equal or higher surcharge fee, such as American Express. Since American Express prohibits retailers from charging swipe fees, businesses that accept all three cards would not be able to impose the fee.

The settlement does not affect 10 states that already prohibit credit card surcharges. Those 10 states are California, Connecticut, Colorado, Florida, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, New York, Oklahoma, and Texas. Also, existing Visa and MasterCard rules require companies to employ the same credit card surcharge policy in all stores. As a result, national retailers with stores in the 10 states that prohibit credit card surcharges

would not be able to impose the surcharge in any of their stores. Additionally, in 2013, legislation was introduced in New Jersey to prohibit retailers from charging a surcharge on credit card purchases.

Small Business Effect: The bill has a meaningful detrimental impact on sellers that impose a surcharge on credit card transactions. A retailer typically pays credit card issuers a processing fee anywhere from 1.5% to 3% of a total purchase in a credit card transaction. As of January 27, 2013, the aforementioned settlement allows a retailer to charge only the amount it pays to process a card, up to a maximum of 4%.

While it is reasonable to assume that a retailer may impose the surcharge to recoup the processing fee charged by the credit card issuer, there are several reasons why a retailer might not choose to pass the cost onto the customer. As noted above, there are disclosure requirements. A retailer may not want to communicate to a customer that the retailer is voluntarily choosing to impose a surcharge on the customer. Additionally, a retailer that chooses to impose the surcharge may have difficulty competing with one that does not.

Finally, the settlement also requires that if a retailer imposes a surcharge on Visa or MasterCard transactions, the retailer must also impose a surcharge on all other transactions involving a credit card issuer that charges a processing fee that is equal to or higher than the fee charged by Visa or MasterCard. One such credit card issuer is American Express. However, American Express prohibits a retailer from charging customers an extra fee to use their cards. Therefore, the retailer will not be able to impose a surcharge on any credit card transaction.

Because there are restrictions on a retailer's ability to impose a surcharge and incentives why a retailer may choose to not do so, the number of retailers that will impose a surcharge on the applicable credit card transactions is unknown. The bill has a meaningful detrimental impact on those that otherwise would choose to impose a surcharge.

Additional Comments: HB 1167 similarly prohibits a merchant from imposing a surcharge on credit card transactions. However, HB 1167 also prohibits the offer of a discount for the purpose of inducing payment by cash, check, or similar means not involving the use of a credit card.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

Cross File: None.

HB 1218/ Page 3

Information Source(s): Office of the Attorney General (Consumer Protection Division), *Kiplinger*, *Reuters*, National Public Radio, www.NorthJersey.com, Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 20, 2013

ncs/kdm

Analysis by: Michael F. Bender Direct Inquiries to:

(410) 946-5510 (301) 970-5510