D4 4lr1319 CF SB 28

By: Delegates Clippinger, Anderson, Arora, Cluster, Dumais, Glass, Lee, McDermott, McIntosh, Mitchell, Swain, Valderrama, Valentino-Smith, Waldstreicher, and M. Washington

Introduced and read first time: January 22, 2014

Assigned to: Judiciary

2

## A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

Peace Orders and Protective Orders – Burden of Pr	oof
---	-----

- FOR the purpose of altering the standard of proof by which a judge in certain peace order hearings must make certain findings before the judge may issue a final peace order or mutual peace orders; altering the standard of proof by which a judge in certain protective order hearings must make certain findings before the judge may grant a final protective order or mutual protective orders or extend the term of a protective order; and generally relating to the standard of proof in certain peace order and protective order hearings.
- 10 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 11 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 12 Section 3–1505(c)
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 14 (2013 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement)
- 15 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 16 Article Family Law
- 17 Section 4–506(c) and 4–507(a)(3)
- 18 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 19 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement)
- 20 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 21 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 22 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 23 3-1505.

33

34

- If the respondent appears for the final peace order hearing, has 1 (c) (1)2 been served with an interim peace order or a temporary peace order, or the court 3 otherwise has personal jurisdiction over the respondent, the judge: 4 (i) May proceed with the final peace order hearing; and If the judge finds [clear 5 (ii) by and convincing 6 PREPONDERANCE OF THE evidence that the respondent has committed, and is likely 7 to commit in the future, an act specified in § 3-1503(a) of this subtitle against the 8 petitioner, or if the respondent consents to the entry of a peace order, the court may 9 issue a final peace order to protect the petitioner. 10 A final peace order may be issued only to an individual who has filed a petition under § 3–1503 of this subtitle. 11 12 (3)In cases where both parties file a petition under § 3–1503 of this 13 subtitle, the judge may issue mutual peace orders if the judge finds by [clear and 14 convincing A PREPONDERANCE OF THE evidence that each party has committed, 15 and is likely to commit in the future, an act specified in § 3-1503(a) of this subtitle 16 against the other party. 17 Article - Family Law 4-506.18 19 (1) If the respondent appears before the court at a protective order hearing or has been served with an interim or temporary protective order, or the court 20 21otherwise has personal jurisdiction over the respondent, the judge: 22may proceed with the final protective order hearing; and (i) 23 finds (ii) if the iudge by clear and convincing 24PREPONDERANCE OF THE evidence that the alleged abuse has occurred, or if the 25 respondent consents to the entry of a protective order, the judge may grant a final protective order to protect any person eligible for relief from abuse. 26 27 (2) A final protective order may be issued only to a person who has 28 filed a petition under § 4–504 of this subtitle. 29 Subject to the provisions of subparagraph (ii) of this 30 paragraph, in cases where both parties file a petition under § 4–504 of this subtitle, the judge may issue mutual protective orders if the judge finds by [clear and 31 32 convincing A PREPONDERANCE OF THE evidence that mutual abuse has occurred.
  - (ii) The judge may issue mutual final protective orders only if the judge makes a detailed finding of fact that:

1		1.	both parties acted primarily as aggressors; and
2		2.	neither party acted primarily in self-defense.
3	4–507.		
4 5 6 7 8 9	named in the protective person eligible for relief r	PRE order named	ring the term of a protective order, a judge finds by <b>PONDERANCE OF THE</b> evidence that the respondent has committed a subsequent act of abuse against a in the protective order, the judge may extend the term iod not to exceed 2 years from the date the extension is
10 11	and the respondent; and	1.	giving notice to all affected persons eligible for relief
12		2.	a hearing.
13 14 15	(ii) under subparagraph (i) factors:		termining the period of extension of a protective order s paragraph, the judge shall consider the following
16 17	abuse;	1.	the nature and severity of the subsequent act of
18 19 20	between the respondent order;	2. and a	the history and severity of abuse in the relationship any person eligible for relief named in the protective
21 22	respondent; and	3.	the pendency and type of criminal charges against the
23 24	caused by the respondent	4.	the nature and extent of the injury or risk of injury
25 26	SECTION 2. AND October 1, 2014.	BE IT	FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect