## HOUSE BILL 1458

 $\mathbf{E4}$ 

4lr0081

### By: Chair, Appropriations Committee (By Request – Departmental – Public Safety and Correctional Services)

Introduced and read first time: February 19, 2014 Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations Re–referred to: Appropriations, February 27, 2014

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 11, 2014

CHAPTER \_\_\_\_\_

### 1 AN ACT concerning

# 2 Correctional Services - Charges Recommending Discipline - Investigative 3 Period

- FOR the purpose of establishing that a certain time period after which a certain
  appointing authority in the Department of Public Safety and Correctional
  Services may not bring charges recommending the imposition of discipline
  against a correctional officer does not apply to certain charges of criminal
  activity under certain circumstances; and generally relating to disciplinary
  procedures for correctional officers.
- 10 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 11 Article Correctional Services
- 12 Section 10–907
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 14 (2008 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement)

### 15 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 16 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

17

### Article – Correctional Services

18 10–907.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



(a) The appointing authority may not bring charges recommending the imposition of discipline more than 90 days after the Internal Investigative Unit or the appointing authority acquires knowledge of the action that gives rise to the discipline.
(b) THE 90-DAY LIMITATION ESTABLISHED UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO CHARGES THAT RELATE TO CRIMINAL ACTIVITY IF:

(1) THE CRIMINAL ACTIVITY:
(1) RELATES TO THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER'S OFFICIAL DUTIES;

10(H) (2)ARISES FROM EVENTS THAT OCCUR AT A11CORRECTIONAL FACILITY; OR

12(HI) (3)INVOLVES AN INMATE OR DETAINEE AT A13CORRECTIONAL FACILITY; AND

14 (2) AT THE TIME THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHARGES ARE BROUGHT 15 AGAINST THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER, THE DIRECTOR OF THE INTERNAL 16 INVESTIGATIVE UNIT OR THE DIRECTOR'S DESIGNEE CERTIFIES IN WRITING 17 THAT THE 90 DAY LIMITATION WAS EXTENDED AS A RESULT OF THE 18 CIRCUMSTANCES IN ITEM (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION.

19 (C) An appointing authority may not recommend disciplinary action against 20 a correctional officer for excessive use of force against an inmate based solely on the 21 uncorroborated statement of the inmate unless the appointing authority determines 22 that there exists any indicia of reliability to support the inmate's allegation.

[(c)] (D) This section does not limit the right of the Department to
 investigate claims of excessive force against inmates to ensure the safety and security
 of its correctional facilities, or for any other legitimate purpose.

26 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 27 October 1, 2014.

#### HOUSE BILL 1458

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

6 7

8

9

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$