SENATE BILL 534

F1, F3 (4lr1039)

ENROLLED BILL

— Budget and Taxation/Ways and Means and Appropriations —

Introduced by Senators Edwards and Hershey, Hershey, Brinkley, Getty, and Kittleman

Read and	Examined by Proofreaders:
	Proofreader.
	Proofreader.
Sealed with the Great Seal and	presented to the Governor, for his approval this
day of	at o'clock,M.
	President.
	CHAPTER
AN ACT concerning	
	Counties With Small and Declining Student Aid <u>Small and Declining Student Enrollment</u>
certain State grant; establication receive a certain State grant eertain State grant eertain eligible county be eircumstances; declaring the Governor to transfer certain a certain year under certain provided in a certain fiscal year	digibility for a county board of education to receive a shing eligibility for a county board of education to the serious and certain grant to be provided to eligible coards in certain fiscal years under certain the intent of the General Assembly; requiring the funds for a certain fiscal year to eligible counties in ain circumstances; requiring certain funds to be rear if certain funds are not transferred; altering the adequacy study; and generally relating to State

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

1

2 3

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

Italics indicate opposite chamber/conference committee amendments.



1 2 3 4 5	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, Article – Education Section 5–202(i) Annotated Code of Maryland (2008 Replacement Volume and 2013 Supplement)
6	Preamble
7 8 9 10 11	WHEREAS, The Maryland State Department of Education is required by Chapter 288 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2002, as amended by Chapter 397 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2011, to contract with a public or private entity to conduct a study of the adequacy of education funding in the State by June 30, 2014; and now, therefore, and
12 13 14	WHEREAS, Small school districts in which enrollment is declining are in a unique situation that is not addressed in the current State education funding calculations; and
15 16 17 18 19	WHEREAS, Although the adequacy study provides an opportunity to study the effect on State education funding resulting from declining enrollments in small school districts, the study will not be completed until December 1, 2016, and any changes to the State education funding calculations resulting from the study will not be effective until fiscal year 2018; now, therefore,
20 21 22	WHEREAS, Small school districts in which enrollment is declining are in a unique situation that is not addressed in the current State education funding ealculations; and
23 24 25 26 27	WHEREAS, Although the adequacy study provides an opportunity to study the effect on State education funding resulting from declining enrollments in small school districts, the study will not be completed until December 1, 2016, and any changes to the State education funding calculations resulting from the study will not be effective until fiscal year 2018; now, therefore,
28 29	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
30	Article - Education
31	5–202.
32 33 34	(i) (1) In this subsection, "total direct education aid" means the total financial assistance provided by the State to a county board under the following programs:
35 36	(i) Funding for the foundation program including funds for the Geographic Cost of Education under this section;

3	subtitle;		
4 5	§ 5–208 of this sub	(iv) otitle;	Funding for students with limited English proficiency under
6 7	subtitle;	(v)	Funding for special education students under \S 5–209 of this
8 9	of this subtitle; an	(vi)	Funding for the guaranteed tax base program under \S 5–210
10		(vii)	Funding for grants provided under this subsection.
11 12 13 14	(2) For fiscal year 2012 only, if a county board's total direct education aid in the current fiscal year is less than the prior fiscal year by more than 6.5%, then the State shall provide a grant to the county board in an amount necessary to ensure that a decrease in total direct education aid is not more than 6.5%.		
15 16 17 18	(3) For fiscal year 2013 only, if a county board's total direct education aid in the current fiscal year is less than the prior fiscal year by more than 5%, then the State shall provide a grant to the county board in an amount necessary to ensure that a decrease in total direct education aid is not more than 5%.		
19 20 21 22	aid in the current fiscal year is less than the prior fiscal year by more than 1%, then the State shall provide a grant to the county board equal to 25% of the decrease in		
23	(5)	(I)	FOR FISCAL YEARS 2015 THROUGH 2017, A COUNTY
24		LE FO	r a State grant under this paragraph, if a county
25	BOARD'S:		
26 27	THAN 5,000;		1. FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT ENROLLMENT IS LESS
0.0			2. FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT ENROLLMENT IN THE
28	•		
28 29	CURRENT FISCAL	- YEAR	TIS LESS THAN THE FRIOR FISCAL TEAM, AND
	CURRENT FISCAL	- YEAR	3. TOTAL DIRECT EDUCATION AID IN THE CURRENT

1	(H) THE STATE SHALL PROVIDE A GRANT TO A COUNTY		
2	BOARD THAT IS ELIGIBLE UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH.		
0	(III) THE CRANT CHAIL DE POUAL TO 500/ OF THE		
3	(HI) THE GRANT SHALL BE EQUAL TO 50% OF THE		
4	DECREASE IN TOTAL DIRECT EDUCATION AID FROM THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR TO		
5	THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.		
6	(5) FOR FISCAL YEARS 2015 THROUGH 2017, IF A COUNTY		
7	BOARD'S TOTAL DIRECT EDUCATION AID IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR IS LESS		
8	THAN THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR, THEN THE STATE SHALL PROVIDE A GRANT TO		
9	THE COUNTY BOARD EQUAL TO 50% OF THE DECREASE IN TOTAL DIRECT		
10	EDUCATION AID FROM THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR TO THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.		
11	(5) (I) FOR FISCAL YEARS 2015 THROUGH 2017, A COUNTY		
12	BOARD IS ELIGIBLE FOR A STATE GRANT UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH IF A COUNTY		
13	BOARD'S:		
14	1. FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT ENROLLMENT IS LESS		
15	THAN 5,000;		
10	111AN 9,000,		
16	2. FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT ENROLLMENT IN THE		
17	CURRENT FISCAL YEAR IS LESS THAN THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR; AND		
18	3. TOTAL DIRECT EDUCATION AID IN THE CURRENT		
19	FISCAL YEAR IS LESS THAN THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR BY MORE THAN 1%.		
	()		
20	(II) THE STATE SHALL PROVIDE A GRANT TO A COUNTY		
21	BOARD THAT IS ELIGIBLE UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH.		
22	(III) THE GRANT SHALL BE EQUAL TO 50% OF THE DECREASE		
23	IN TOTAL DIRECT EDUCATION AID FROM THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR TO THE		
$\frac{26}{24}$	CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.		
4 T	COMENT FISCHE TEME		
25	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That it is the intent of the		
26	General Assembly that the Governor transfer funds to a county board of education for		
27	fiscal year 2015 in accordance with this Act if funds are restricted for this purpose in		
28	the 2015 budget bill (S.B. 170/H.B. 160) (Chapter, Acts of the General Assembly		
29	of 2014). If funds are restricted in the budget bill and the Governor does not transfer		
30	the fiscal 2015 funds in accordance with this Act, then that amount shall be provided		
31	to the county board in fiscal year 2016. If a county board also qualifies for a grant for		
32	fiscal 2016 under this Act, then the total grant owed to a county board in fiscal 2016		
33	shall be equal to the fiscal 2016 amount calculated under this Act plus the fiscal 2015		
34	amount calculated under this Act.		

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That it is the intent of the 1 2 General Assembly that the impact of declining enrollment on small school districts be 3 reviewed as part of the adequacy study originally required by Chapter 288, Acts of the 4 General Assembly of 2002. The review shall include an evaluation of the 5 transportation costs in a school district that is geographically large but with small 6 enrollment as well as an evaluation of incorporating a small-size factor into the 7 calculation of State education aid. Further, the adequacy study shall also include a 8 review of the definition of wealth as it is applied to the calculation of State education 9 aid with a focus on geographically large counties with small populations that have a 10 greater proportion of their wealth in property assessments than other Maryland 11 counties.

SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June 1, 2014.

12

13

Approved:	
	Governor.
	President of the Senate.

Speaker of the House of Delegates.