HOUSE BILL 859

C3

EMERGENCY BILL

5lr1381 CF SB 437

By: Delegate Bromwell Delegates Bromwell, Hammen, Angel, Barron, Cullison, Hayes, Hill, Kelly, Kipke, Krebs, McDonough, McMillan, Miele, Morgan, Morhaim, Oaks, Pena–Melnyk, Pendergrass, Reznik, Sample–Hughes, West, and K. Young

Introduced and read first time: February 13, 2015 Assigned to: Health and Government Operations

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 15, 2015

CHAPTER _____

1 AN ACT concerning

 $\frac{2}{3}$

Nonprofit Health Service Plans – Hearing and Order – Impact of Law or Regulatory Action by Another State

FOR the purpose of requiring, instead of authorizing, the Maryland Insurance 4 $\mathbf{5}$ Commissioner to hold a certain hearing relating to the impact of a law of another 6 state on a nonprofit health service plan operating in this State; authorizing the 7 Commissioner to conduct an examination instead of holding a hearing authorizing 8 the Maryland Insurance Commissioner to conduct an examination relating to the impact of a law of an<u>other state on a nonprofit health service plan operating in this</u> 9 10 State: adding a regulatory action by another state to the circumstances that require 11 in which the Commissioner to may hold a hearing or conduct an examination; adding 12a requirement by another state that a nonprofit health service plan operating in this 13 State distribute or reduce its surplus to the circumstances that require in which the 14 Commissioner to may hold a hearing or conduct an examination; authorizing an 15order issued by the Commissioner to include certain actions; prohibiting a nonprofit 16 health service plan from distributing or reducing its surplus under certain 17circumstances except with certain the approval of the Commissioner; making certain 18 conforming changes; making this Act an emergency measure; and generally relating 19to the impact of a law or regulatory action by another state on a nonprofit health 20service plan operating in this State and actions by the Maryland Insurance 21Commissioner.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

Underlining indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



- 1 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 2 Article Insurance
- 3 Section 14–124
- 4 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 5 (2011 Replacement Volume and 2014 Supplement)

6 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
7 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

8

Article – Insurance

9 14-124.

10 (a) (1) The Commissioner may conduct any investigation or hearing that the 11 Commissioner considers necessary to enforce this subtitle.

12 (2) In conducting a hearing or investigation under this section, the 13 Commissioner has the same powers with respect to nonprofit health service plans as are 14 granted to the Commissioner under Titles 2 and 4 of this article with respect to any other 15 activity regulated under this article.

(3) If another state enacts a law OR TAKES A REGULATORY ACTION that
requires a nonprofit health service plan operating in this State to provide a program or
benefits for the residents of the other state OR TO DISTRIBUTE OR REDUCE ITS SURPLUS
ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE SURPLUS IS EXCESSIVE IN WHOLE OR IN PART, the
Commissioner [may] SHALL hold a quasi-legislative hearing or a hearing under Title 2 of
this article OR CONDUCT AN EXAMINATION to review and evaluate the impact of the law
OR REGULATORY ACTION on the nonprofit health service plan, including the impact on:

- 23 (i) surplus;
- 24

- · · · · ·
- (ii) premium rates for policies issued or delivered in this State; and
- 25 (iii) solvency.

(4) Based on the review and evaluation under paragraph (3) of this
subsection, the Commissioner shall determine whether the impact on the nonprofit health
service plan is harmful to the interests of subscribers covered by policies issued or delivered
in this State.

30 (5) (i) If the Commissioner determines the program or benefits for the 31 residents of another state **OR THE SURPLUS DISTRIBUTION OR REDUCTION** have an 32 impact on the nonprofit health service plan that is harmful to the interests of subscribers 33 covered by policies issued or delivered in this State, the Commissioner shall issue an 34 appropriate order to protect the subscribers.

 $\mathbf{2}$

HOUSE BILL 859

1 2	(ii) The order issued under subparagraph (i) of this paragraph may include:
$\frac{3}{4}$	1. a prohibition on the nonprofit health service plan subsidizing the program or benefits for the residents of another state through:
5 6	[1.] A. premiums charged to subscribers under policies issued or delivered in this State; or
7 8	[2.] B. use of any surplus earned through policies issued or delivered in this State;
9 10 11	2. A PROHIBITION ON THE NONPROFIT HEALTH SERVICE PLAN DISTRIBUTING OR REDUCING ITS SURPLUS FOR THE BENEFIT OF RESIDENTS OF ANOTHER STATE; OR
12 13 14	3. ANY OTHER ACTION THE COMMISSIONER CONSIDERS NECESSARY TO PROTECT THE INTERESTS OF THE SUBSCRIBERS COVERED BY POLICIES ISSUED OR DELIVERED IN THIS STATE.
15 16 17 18 19	(6) A NONPROFIT HEALTH SERVICE PLAN MAY NOT DISTRIBUTE OR REDUCE ITS SURPLUS UNDER A LAW OR REGULATORY ACTION THE IMPACT OF WHICH IS SUBJECT TO A HEARING OR AN EXAMINATION UNDER PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION, EXCEPT WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE COMMISSIONER AFTER THE HEARING IS HELD OR THE EXAMINATION IS CONDUCTED.
20	(b) The Commissioner may adopt regulations to carry out this subtitle.
$\begin{array}{c} 21\\ 22\\ 23 \end{array}$	(c) The Commissioner may commence a delinquency proceeding against a corporation operating under this subtitle for any of the reasons set forth in § $9-211(a)$ and (b) of this article.
$\frac{24}{25}$	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act is an emergency measure is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health or safety has

measure, is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health or safety, has been passed by a yea and nay vote supported by three—fifths of all the members elected to each of the two Houses of the General Assembly, and shall take effect from the date it is enacted.