By: Delegates Dumais, McComas, Anderson, Angel, Atterbeary, D. Barnes, Barron, Carr, Clippinger, Cullison, Fraser-Hidalgo, Frick, Frush, Gilchrist, Glenn, Haynes, Healey, Hill, Impallaria, Kaiser, Kelly, Kramer, Krimm, Lierman, McCray, McIntosh, A. Miller, Moon, Pendergrass, Proctor, B. Robinson, S. Robinson, Rosenberg, Smith, Stein, Turner, Valderrama, Waldstreicher, M. Washington, and C. Wilson
Introduced and read first time: February 13, 2015
Assigned to: Judiciary

### A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

D4

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

### Child Custody – Legal Decision Making and Parenting Time

3 FOR the purpose of repealing references to the terms "child custody" and "visitation" in 4 certain instances and substituting the terms "legal decision making" and "parenting  $\mathbf{5}$ time" in certain instances; requiring the court, in determining the appropriate 6 allocation of legal decision making or parenting time between the parties to consider 7 certain factors; authorizing the court to consider certain factors; specifying that 8 certain factors are not relevant, except under certain circumstances; requiring the 9 court to articulate certain findings of fact on the record; authorizing the court to award joint legal decision making to both parties under certain circumstances; 10 11 prohibiting a party from changing certain circumstances of a child without 12agreement of the other party or order of the court under certain circumstances; 13authorizing the court to modify a child custody or visitation order or a legal decision 14making or parenting time order under certain circumstances; specifying that a 15party's proposal to relocate the residence of the party or the child in a certain manner 16constitutes a material change in circumstances for purposes of a modification of an 17order; specifying the purposes of this Act; repealing certain provisions relating to a 18 petition for visitation of a grandchild by a grandparent; authorizing a certain de facto 19parent and a certain individual who has established an ongoing personal 20relationship with a child to file a certain petition or motion in certain proceedings 21under certain circumstances; requiring a court to determine whether it is in the best 22interest of a child to grant legal decision making or parenting time to a de facto 23parent under certain circumstances, or visitation rights to a certain individual who 24has established an ongoing personal relationship with a child under certain 25circumstances; authorizing the court to consider certain factors in determining 26whether a certain decision of a legal parent is contrary to the best interest of the

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



child and whether it is in the best interest of the child to grant certain relief to a
certain individual; requiring the court to make certain findings of fact under certain
circumstances; repealing certain provisions relating to the relevancy of a disability
of a party in a child custody or visitation proceeding; making certain clarifying and
conforming changes; defining certain terms; and generally relating to child custody,
visitation, legal decision making, and parenting time.

7 BY repealing

- 8 Article Family Law
- 9 Section 5–203(d), 9–102, and 9–107
- 10 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 11 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2014 Supplement)
- 12 BY adding to
- 13 Article Family Law
- 14Section 9–101, 9–102, and 9–106 to be under the amended title "Title 9. Custodial15Arrangements for Children" and the amended subtitle "Subtitle 1. Definitions;16General Provisions"; and 9–201 through 9–204 to be under the amended17subtitle "Subtitle 2. Legal Decision Making and Parental Responsibility –18Judicial Determinations"
- 19 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 20 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2014 Supplement)
- 21 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 22 Article Family Law
- 23 Section 9–101, 9–101.1, 9–101.2, 9–103, 9–104, 9–105, 9–106, and 9–108
- 24 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 25 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2014 Supplement)
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
   That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 28

# Article – Family Law

29 5-203.

30 [(d) (1) If the parents live apart, a court may award custody of a minor child to 31 either parent or joint custody to both parents.

32 (2) Neither parent is presumed to have any right to custody that is superior 33 to the right of the other parent.]

- 34 Title 9. [Child Custody and Visitation] CUSTODIAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR CHILDREN.
  - Subtitle 1. [In General] **DEFINITIONS; GENERAL PROVISIONS**.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

1 **9–101.** 

2 (A) IN THIS TITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 3 INDICATED.

4 (B) "CHILD" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL UNDER THE AGE OF 18 YEARS.

5 (C) "DISABILITY" MEANS A PHYSICAL OR MENTAL IMPAIRMENT THAT 6 SUBSTANTIALLY LIMITS ONE OR MORE OF THE MAJOR LIFE ACTIVITIES OF AN 7 INDIVIDUAL, A RECORD OF THAT IMPAIRMENT, OR BEING REGARDED AS HAVING 8 THAT IMPAIRMENT, CONSISTENT WITH THE FEDERAL AMERICANS WITH 9 DISABILITIES ACT AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008, 42 U.S.C. § 12102.

10 (D) (1) "LEGAL DECISION MAKING" MEANS THE RIGHT AND OBLIGATION 11 TO MAKE DECISIONS INVOLVING HEALTH, EDUCATION, RELIGION AND CULTURE, 12 MEDICAL CARE, AND OTHER MATTERS OF MAJOR SIGNIFICANCE CONCERNING THE 13 CHILD'S LIFE AND WELFARE.

14

(2) "LEGAL DECISION MAKING" IS ALSO KNOWN AS LEGAL CUSTODY.

15 (E) (1) "PARENTING TIME" MEANS:

16(I)THE TIME THE CHILD IS IN A PARENT'S CARE ACCORDING TO17AN AGREEMENT OR COURT-ORDERED SCHEDULE; AND

18 (II) THE RIGHT AND OBLIGATION OF A PARENT TO PROVIDE A
19 HOME FOR THE CHILD, ADDRESS THE CHILD'S NEEDS, AND MAKE THE DAY-TO-DAY
20 DECISIONS REQUIRED DURING THE TIME THE CHILD IS WITH THAT PARENT.

21 (2) "PARENTING TIME" IS ALSO KNOWN AS PHYSICAL CUSTODY, 22 VISITATION, OR ACCESS.

23 **9–102.** 

(A) IF THE PARENTS LIVE APART, A COURT MAY AWARD LEGAL DECISION
MAKING OR PARENTING TIME TO EITHER PARENT OR JOINTLY TO BOTH PARENTS.

(B) NEITHER PARENT IS PRESUMED TO HAVE ANY RIGHT TO LEGAL
 DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME THAT IS SUPERIOR TO THE RIGHT OF THE
 OTHER PARENT.

29 **[**9–101.**] 9–103.** 

1 (a) In any [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING 2 TIME proceeding, if the court has reasonable grounds to believe that a child has been 3 abused or neglected by a party to the proceeding, the court shall determine whether abuse 4 or neglect is likely to occur if [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR 5 PARENTING TIME rights are granted to the party.

6 (b) Unless the court specifically finds that there is no likelihood of further child 7 abuse or neglect by the party, the court shall deny [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION 8 MAKING OR PARENTING TIME rights to that party, except that the court may approve a 9 supervised [visitation] PARENTING TIME arrangement that assures the safety and the 10 physiological, psychological, and emotional well-being of the child.

11 **[**9–101.1.**]** 9–104.

12 (a) In this section, "abuse" has the meaning stated in § 4–501 of this article.

13 (b) In a [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME 14 proceeding, the court shall consider[, when deciding custody or visitation issues,] evidence 15 of abuse by a party against:

- 16 (1) the other parent of the party's child;
- 17 (2) the party's spouse; or

18 (3) any child residing within the party's household, including a child other 19 than the child who is the subject of the [custody or visitation] proceeding.

(c) If the court finds that a party has committed abuse against the other parent
of the party's child, the party's spouse, or any child residing within the party's household,
the court shall make arrangements for [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING
OR PARENTING TIME that best protect:

- 24 (1) the child who is the subject of the proceeding; and
- 25 (2) the victim of the abuse.
- 26 [9–101.2.] **9–105.**

(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, unless good cause for the
award of [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME is
shown by clear and convincing evidence, a court may not award [custody of a child or
visitation with a child] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME:

(1) to a parent who has been found by a court of this State to be guilty of first degree or second degree murder of the other parent of the child, another child of the parent, or any family member residing in the household of either parent of the child; or

1 (2) to a parent who has been found by a court of any state or of the United 2 States to be guilty of a crime that, if committed in this State, would be first degree murder 3 or second degree murder of the other parent of the child, another child of the parent, or any 4 family member residing in the household of either parent of the child.

5 (b) If it is in the best interest of the child, the court may approve a supervised 6 [visitation] **PARENTING TIME** arrangement that assures the safety and the physiological, 7 psychological, and emotional well-being of the child.

8 [9–102.

9 An equity court may:

10 (1) consider a petition for reasonable visitation of a grandchild by a grandparent; 11 and

12 (2) if the court finds it to be in the best interests of the child, grant visitation 13 rights to the grandparent.]

14 **9–106.** 

15 (A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 16 INDICATED.

17 (2) "DE FACTO PARENT" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS A 18 RELATIONSHIP WITH A CHILD THAT:

(I) EXISTED BEFORE THE FILING OF A PETITION OR MOTION
UNDER THIS SECTION, IN WHICH THE INDIVIDUAL PROVIDED FOR THE PHYSICAL
NEEDS OF THE CHILD BY SUPPLYING FOOD, SHELTER, AND CLOTHING AND
PROVIDED THE CHILD WITH NECESSARY CARE, EDUCATION, AND DISCIPLINE;

(II) EXISTED ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS THROUGH INTERACTION,
 COMPANIONSHIP, AND MUTUALITY THAT FULFILLED THE CHILD'S PSYCHOLOGICAL
 NEED FOR A PARENT AND THE CHILD'S PHYSICAL NEEDS; AND

26 (III) MET THE CHILD'S NEED FOR CONTINUITY OF CARE BY 27 PROVIDING PERMANENCY OR STABILITY IN RESIDENCE, EDUCATION, AND 28 ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE OF THE HOME.

(3) "ONGOING PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP" MEANS A RELATIONSHIP
 BETWEEN AN INDIVIDUAL AND A CHILD WITH SUBSTANTIAL CONTINUITY FOR AT
 LEAST 1 YEAR BEFORE THE FILING OF A PETITION OR MOTION UNDER THIS SECTION

1 CHARACTERIZED BY INTERACTION, COMPANIONSHIP, AND MUTUALITY THAT HAS 2 MET SIGNIFICANT EMOTIONAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL NEEDS OF A CHILD.

3 (B) (1) AN INDIVIDUAL WHO ALLEGES THAT THE INDIVIDUAL IS A DE
4 FACTO PARENT MAY FILE A PETITION FOR LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING
5 TIME OR A MOTION FOR INTERVENTION IN A LEGAL DECISION MAKING, PARENTING
6 TIME, OR VISITATION PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE CHILD.

7 (2) AN INDIVIDUAL WHO ALLEGES THAT THE INDIVIDUAL HAS
8 ESTABLISHED AN ONGOING PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH A CHILD MAY FILE A
9 PETITION FOR VISITATION OR A MOTION FOR INTERVENTION IN A LEGAL DECISION
10 MAKING, PARENTING TIME, OR VISITATION PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE CHILD.

IF THE COURT DETERMINES BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE 11 **(C)** (1) 12EVIDENCE THAT AN INDIVIDUAL IS A DE FACTO PARENT AND THAT THE DECISION OF 13A LEGAL PARENT OF THE CHILD CONCERNING LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME IS CONTRARY TO THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD, THE COURT 14SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD TO GRANT 15LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME TO THE INDIVIDUAL IN ACCORDANCE 16 WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SUBTITLE 2 OF THIS TITLE. 17

18(2) **(I)** SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, IF THE COURT DETERMINES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING 19 20EVIDENCE THAT AN INDIVIDUAL HAS ESTABLISHED AN ONGOING PERSONAL 21RELATIONSHIP WITH A CHILD AND THAT THE DECISION OF A LEGAL PARENT OF THE 22CHILD CONCERNING VISITATION IS CONTRARY TO THE BEST INTEREST OF THE 23CHILD, THE COURT SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF  $\mathbf{24}$ THE CHILD TO GRANT VISITATION RIGHTS TO THE INDIVIDUAL IN ACCORDANCE 25WITH SUBTITLE 2 OF THIS TITLE.

(II) THE COURT SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS IN THE BEST
INTEREST OF THE CHILD TO GRANT VISITATION RIGHTS TO THE INDIVIDUAL UNDER
SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH ONLY IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THE
LEGAL PARENT IS UNFIT OR EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST.

30 **(D) (1)** IN DETERMINING WHETHER THE DECISION OF A LEGAL PARENT 31 CONCERNING LEGAL DECISION MAKING, PARENTING TIME, OR VISITATION IS 32 CONTRARY TO THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD AND WHETHER IT IS IN THE BEST 33 INTEREST OF THE CHILD TO GRANT RELIEF TO AN INDIVIDUAL WHO FILED A 34 PETITION OR MOTION UNDER THIS SECTION, IN ADDITION TO OTHER FACTORS THE 35 COURT CONSIDERS APPROPRIATE, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER WHETHER:

1 THE INDIVIDUAL WHO FILED A PETITION OR MOTION UNDER **(I)**  $\mathbf{2}$ THIS SECTION IS OR RECENTLY HAS BEEN THE CHILD'S PRIMARY CARETAKER; 3 A LEGAL PARENT HAS FOSTERED, ENCOURAGED, OR (II) 4 CONSENTED TO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CHILD AND THE INDIVIDUAL;  $\mathbf{5}$ (III) CIRCUMSTANCES DETRIMENTAL TO THE CHILD EXIST IF 6 **RELIEF IS DENIED TO THE INDIVIDUAL;** 7 (IV) A LEGAL PARENT HAS UNREASONABLY DENIED OR LIMITED 8 CONTACT BETWEEN THE CHILD AND THE INDIVIDUAL; OR 9 **(**V**)** GRANTING THE RELIEF TO INDIVIDUAL WOULD 10 SUBSTANTIALLY INTERFERE WITH THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CHILD AND A 11 LEGAL PARENT. 12(2) IF THE COURT FINDS THAT A LEGAL PARENT'S DECISION IS CONTRARY TO THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD, THE COURT SHALL MAKE 13FINDINGS OF FACT SUPPORTING ITS CONCLUSION. 1415**[**9–103.**] 9–107.** A child who is 16 years old or older and who is subject to a custody order or 16 (a) 17decree OR A LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME ORDER OR DECREE may 18 file a petition to change custody OR LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME. 19 A petitioner under this section may file the proceeding in the petitioner's own (b) 20name and need not proceed by guardian or next friend. 21(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this article, if a petitioner under this 22section petitions a court to amend a custody order or decree OR A LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME ORDER OR DECREE, the court: 2324(1)shall hold a hearing; and 25may amend the order or decree and [place the child in the custody of] (2)26**GRANT LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME TO** the parent designated by 27the child. **[**9–104.**] 9–108.** 2829

Unless otherwise ordered by a court, access to medical, dental, and educational records concerning the child may not be denied to a parent [because the parent does not have physical custody of the child].

## 1 **[**9–105.**]** 9–109.

[In any custody or visitation proceeding, if] **IF** the court determines that a party to a custody or visitation order **OR LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME ORDER** has unjustifiably denied or interfered with [visitation] **THE RIGHTS** granted by [a custody or visitation] **THE** order, the court may, in addition to any other remedy available to the court and in a manner consistent with the best interests of the child, take any or all of the following actions:

8

(1) order that the [visitation] **PARENTING TIME** be rescheduled;

9 (2) modify the custody or visitation OR LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR 10 PARENTING TIME order to require additional terms or conditions designed to ensure future 11 compliance with the order; or

12 (3) assess costs or counsel fees against the party who has unjustifiably 13 denied or interfered with [visitation] **PARENTING TIME** rights.

14 **[**9–106.**]** 9–110.

(a) (1) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, in any [custody or
visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME proceeding the court [may]
SHALL include as a condition of a [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR
PARENTING TIME order a requirement that either party provide advance written notice of
at least 90 days to the court, the other party, or both, of the intent to relocate the permanent
residence of the party or the child either within or outside the State.

21

(2) The court may prescribe the form and content of the notice requirement.

(3) If the court orders that notice be given to the other party, a mailing of
the notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the last known address of the other
party shall be deemed sufficient to comply with the notice requirement.

(4) If either party files a petition regarding a proposed relocation within 20
days of the written notice of the relocation required by paragraph (1) of this subsection, the
court shall set a hearing on the petition on an expedited basis.

(b) On a showing that notice would expose the child or either party to abuse as
defined in § 4–501 of this article or for any other good cause the court shall waive the notice
required by this section.

31 (c) If either party is required to relocate in less than the 90-day period specified 32 in the notice requirement, the court may consider as a defense to any action brought for a 33 violation of the notice requirement that:

1 (1) relocation was necessary due to financial or other extenuating 2 circumstances; and

3 (2) the required notice was given within a reasonable time after learning 4 of the necessity to relocate.

5 (d) The court may consider any violation of the notice requirement as a factor in 6 determining the merits of any subsequent proceeding involving [custody or visitation] 7 LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME.

8 [9–107.

- 9 (a) (1) In this section, "disability" means:
- 10 (i) a physical disability, infirmity, malformation, or disfigurement 11 that is caused by bodily injury, birth defect, or illness, including epilepsy;
- 12

(ii) a mental impairment or deficiency;

(iii) a record of having a physical or mental impairment as defined
 under this subsection; or

- 15 (iv) being regarded as having a physical or mental impairment as 16 defined under this subsection.
- 17 (2) "Disability" includes:
- 18 (i) any degree of paralysis or amputation;
- 19 (ii) blindness or visual impairment;
- 20 (iii) deafness or hearing impairment;
- 21 (iv) muteness or speech impediment;
- (v) physical reliance on a service animal or a wheelchair or other
   remedial appliance or device; and

(vi) intellectual disability, as defined in § 7–101 of the Health –
 General Article, and any other mental impairment or deficiency that may have necessitated
 remedial or special education and related services.

(b) In any custody or visitation proceeding, a disability of a party is relevant only
to the extent that the court finds, based on evidence in the record, that the disability affects
the best interest of the child.]

30 **[**9–108.**] 9–111.** 

(a) In this section:

(1) "deployment" means compliance with military orders received by a
member of the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard, National
Guard, or any other Reserve component to report for combat operations or other active
service for which the member is required to report unaccompanied by any family member
or that is classified by the member's branch as remote; and

7 (2) "deployment" does not include National Guard or Reserve annual 8 training, inactive duty days, or drill weekends.

9 (b) Any order or modification of an existing child custody or visitation order **OR** 10 **LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME ORDER** issued by a court during a term 11 of a deployment of a parent shall specifically reference the deployment of the parent.

12 (c) (1) A parent who petitions the court for an order or modification of an 13 existing child custody or visitation order OR LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING 14 TIME ORDER after returning from a deployment shall specifically reference the date of the 15 end of the deployment in the petition.

16 (2) (i) If the petition under paragraph (1) of this subsection is filed 17 within 30 days after the end of the deployment of the parent, the court shall set a hearing 18 on the petition on an expedited basis.

(ii) If the court finds that extenuating circumstances prohibited the
filing of the petition within 30 days after the end of the deployment of the parent, the court
may set a hearing on the petition on an expedited basis whenever the petition is filed.

22 (d) Any [custody or visitation] LEGAL DECISION MAKING OR PARENTING TIME 23 order issued based on the deployment of a parent shall require that:

(1) the other parent reasonably accommodate the leave schedule of the
 parent who is subject to the deployment;

(2) the other parent facilitate opportunities for telephone and electronic
 mail contact between the parent who is subject to the deployment and the child during the
 period of deployment; and

(3) the parent who is subject to the deployment provide timely information
 regarding the parent's leave schedule to the other parent.

# Subtitle 2. [Maryland Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act] LEGAL DECISION MAKING AND PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY – JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS.

33 **9–201.** 

1 THE PURPOSES OF THIS SUBTITLE ARE TO:  $\mathbf{2}$ (1) PROMOTE STABILITY AND LONG-TERM HEALTH AND WELFARE 3 FOR CHILDREN BY: 4 **(I)** ASSURING THAT CHILDREN HAVE FREQUENT, REGULAR,  $\mathbf{5}$ AND CONTINUING CONTACT WITH PARENTS WHO HAVE SHOWN THE ABILITY TO ACT 6 IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THEIR CHILDREN; 7 ENCOURAGING PARENTS TO SHARE IN THE RIGHTS AND **(II)** 8 **RESPONSIBILITIES OF RAISING THEIR CHILDREN WHEN THE PARENTS DO NOT** 9 **RESIDE TOGETHER; AND** 10 (III) FOSTERING CHILDREN'S RELATIONSHIPS WITH SIBLINGS 11 AND WITH SIGNIFICANT ADULTS IN THE CHILDREN'S LIVES; 12(2) PROVIDE CHILDREN WITH PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL SECURITY 13 AND PROTECTION FROM EXPOSURE TO CONFLICT AND VIOLENCE; AND 14(3) PROVIDE FOR AN EXPEDITIOUS, THOUGHTFUL, AND CONSISTENT 15PROCESS FOR DECISION MAKING BY COURTS TO PROTECT THE BEST INTERESTS OF 16 CHILDREN. 17 **9–202.** 18 (A) SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF §§ 9–104, 9–105, 9–106, AND 9–112 OF 19 THIS TITLE, IN DECIDING THE APPROPRIATE ALLOCATION OF LEGAL DECISION 20MAKING OR PARENTING TIME BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THE COURT SHALL CONSIDER 21THE FOLLOWING FACTORS: 22(1) THE ABILITY OF EACH OF THE PARTIES TO MEET THE CHILD'S 23**DEVELOPMENTAL NEEDS, INCLUDING:** 24**(I) ENSURING PHYSICAL SAFETY;** 25**(II)** SUPPORTING EMOTIONAL SECURITY AND POSITIVE 26SELF-IMAGE; 27(III) PROMOTING INTERPERSONAL SKILLS; AND (IV) PROMOTING INTELLECTUAL AND COGNITIVE GROWTH; 28

HOUSE E	BILL 1083
---------	-----------

1 (2) THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CHILD AND THE PARTIES, THE 2 CHILD'S SIBLINGS, OTHER RELATIVES, AND ANY OTHER PERSON WHO HAS A 3 SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CHILD;

- 4 (3) THE ABILITY OF EACH PARTY TO MEET THE DAY-TO-DAY NEEDS 5 OF THE CHILD, INCLUDING:
- 6 (I) EDUCATION;
- 7 (II) SOCIALIZATION;
- 8 (III) CULTURE AND RELIGION;
- 9 (IV) FOOD;
- 10 (V) SHELTER;
- 11 (VI) CLOTHING; AND
- 12 (VII) MENTAL AND PHYSICAL HEALTH;
- 13 (4) THE ABILITY OF EACH PARTY TO:
- 14 (I) CONSIDER AND ACT ON THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD, AS 15 OPPOSED TO THE NEEDS OR DESIRES OF THE PARTY;
- 16(II)PROTECT THE CHILD FROM THE ADVERSE EFFECTS OF ANY17CONFLICT BETWEEN THE PARTIES; AND
- (III) MAINTAIN RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE OTHER PARTY,
  SIBLINGS, OTHER RELATIVES, OR OTHER INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE A SIGNIFICANT
  RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CHILD;
- 21 (5) ANY EVIDENCE OF EXPOSURE OF THE CHILD TO DOMESTIC 22 VIOLENCE, CHILD ABUSE, OR CHILD NEGLECT;
- 23 (6) THE AGE AND GENDER OF THE CHILD; AND
- 24 (7) MILITARY DEPLOYMENT OF A PARTY.

25 (B) SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF §§ 9–104, 9–105, 9–106, AND 9–112 OF 26 THIS TITLE, IN DECIDING THE APPROPRIATE ALLOCATION OF LEGAL DECISION

1 MAKING OR PARENTING TIME BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER  $\mathbf{2}$ THE FOLLOWING FACTORS: 3 (1) EVIDENCE OF ANY PRIOR COURT ORDERS OR AGREEMENTS 4 BETWEEN THE PARTIES, INCLUDING PRIOR AGREEMENTS CONCERNING THE CHILD'S CUSTODIAL ARRANGEMENTS OR PARENTING RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 CHILD: 7 (2) THE PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND THE PARTICULAR 8 PARENTING TASKS CUSTOMARILY PERFORMED BY EACH PARTY, INCLUDING: 9 **(I)** TASKS AND RESPONSIBILITIES PERFORMED BEFORE THE 10 **INITIATION OF LITIGATION;** 

11(II)TASKS AND RESPONSIBILITIES PERFORMED DURING THE12PENDING LITIGATION;

13(III) TASKS AND RESPONSIBILITIES PERFORMED AFTER THE14ISSUANCE OF ORDERS OF COURT; AND

15 (IV) THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE TASKS HAVE OR WILL BE 16 UNDERTAKEN BY THIRD PARTIES;

17(3) THE PROXIMITY OF THE PARTIES' HOMES AS IT RELATES TO THEIR18ABILITY TO COORDINATE PARENTING TIME, SCHOOL, AND ACTIVITIES;

19 (4) THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PARTIES, INCLUDING:

20(I) THE ABILITY OF EACH PARTY TO EFFECTIVELY21COMMUNICATE WITH THE OTHER PARTY; AND

22 (II) THE ABILITY OF EACH PARTY TO CO–PARENT THE CHILD 23 WITHOUT DISRUPTION TO THE CHILD'S SOCIAL AND SCHOOL LIFE;

24 (5) THE EXTENT TO WHICH EITHER PARTY HAS INITIATED OR 25 ENGAGED IN FRIVOLOUS OR VEXATIOUS LITIGATION, AS DEFINED IN THE 26 MARYLAND RULES;

27 (6) THE CHILD'S PREFERENCE IF:

28(I)THE CHILD IS OF SUFFICIENT AGE AND CAPACITY TO FORM29A PREFERENCE; AND

1(II) THE COURT CONSIDERS THE CHILD'S POSSIBLE2SUSCEPTIBILITY TO MANIPULATION BY A PARTY OR BY OTHERS; AND

3 (7) ANY OTHER FACTOR THAT THE COURT CONSIDERS APPROPRIATE
4 IN DETERMINING HOW TO BEST SERVE THE PHYSICAL, DEVELOPMENTAL, AND
5 EMOTIONAL NEEDS OF THE CHILD.

6 (C) (1) EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS 7 SUBSECTION, IN DECIDING THE APPROPRIATE ALLOCATION OF LEGAL DECISION 8 MAKING OR PARENTING TIME BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THE FOLLOWING ARE NOT 9 RELEVANT UNLESS THE COURT FINDS A RISK OF HARM TO THE CHILD:

10(I)THE SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, OR GENDER IDENTITY OF A11PARTY;

12 (II) THE AGE OF A PARTY;

13 (III) THE RACE, COLOR, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN OF A PARTY;

14 (IV) THE RELIGIOUS AFFILIATION, BELIEF, CREED, OR OPINION 15 OF A PARTY;

16 (V) THE MARITAL STATUS OF A PARTY;

17 (VI) THE MENTAL OR PHYSICAL DISABILITY OF A PARTY;

18 (VII) THE PARTIES' RELATIVE ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES; OR

19 (VIII) THE EXTRAMARITAL SEXUAL CONDUCT OF A PARTY.

20 (2) THE PARTIES' RELATIVE ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES ARE 21 RELEVANT IF:

# 22(I)THE COMBINED FINANCIAL RESOURCES OF THE PARTIES23SET PRACTICAL LIMITS ON THE CUSTODIAL ARRANGEMENTS;

(II) A PARTY IS VOLUNTARILY IMPOVERISHED; OR

(III) A PARTY IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH A COURT ORDER FOR
 ECONOMIC SUPPORT AND THE NONCOMPLIANCE AFFECTS THE WELFARE OF THE
 CHILD.

1 (D) THE COURT SHALL ARTICULATE ITS FINDINGS OF FACT ON THE 2 RECORD, INCLUDING:

3 (1) THE CONSIDERATION OF EACH FACTOR LISTED IN SUBSECTION
4 (A) OF THIS SECTION;

5 (2) THE CONSIDERATION OF ANY FACTOR LISTED IN SUBSECTION (B) 6 OF THIS SECTION;

7 (3) THE CONSIDERATION ANY OTHER FACTOR THAT THE COURT 8 CONSIDERED; AND

9 (4) THE WEIGHT THE COURT GAVE TO EACH FACTOR THAT THE COURT 10 CONSIDERED.

11 **9–203.** 

12 (A) IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT THE PARTIES ARE ABLE TO 13 COMMUNICATE AND REACH JOINT DECISIONS CONCERNING SOME OR ALL OF THE 14 CHILD'S NEEDS DESCRIBED IN § 9–202(A) OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE COURT MAY 15 AWARD:

16 (1) JOINT LEGAL DECISION MAKING TO BOTH PARTIES;

17 (2) JOINT LEGAL DECISION MAKING TO BOTH PARTIES, DESIGNATING
 18 ONE PARTY TO MAKE FINAL DECISIONS IF THE PARTIES ARE UNABLE TO AGREE
 19 AFTER A THOROUGH DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES; OR

20 (3) JOINT LEGAL DECISION MAKING TO BOTH PARTIES, ALLOCATING
 21 RESPONSIBILITY FOR SPECIFIC ISSUES TO EACH PARTY, IF THE PARTIES ARE
 22 UNABLE TO AGREE AFTER A THOROUGH DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES.

(B) IF THE COURT AWARDS JOINT LEGAL DECISION MAKING AUTHORITY
 UNDER SUBSECTION (A)(1) OF THIS SECTION, NEITHER PARTY, WITHOUT
 AGREEMENT OF THE OTHER PARTY, OR ORDER OF THE COURT, MAY UNILATERALLY
 CHANGE THE CHILD'S:

- 27 (1) EDUCATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS;
- 28 (2) RELIGION;
- 29 (3) HEALTH CARE OR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS; OR

HOUSE	BILL	1083
-------	------	------

(4) DAY CARE PROVIDER.

2 **9–204.** 

3 (A) THE COURT MAY MODIFY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF 4 THIS SUBTITLE, A CHILD CUSTODY OR VISITATION ORDER OR A LEGAL DECISION 5 MAKING OR PARENTING TIME ORDER IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT THERE HAS 6 BEEN A MATERIAL CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCES SINCE THE ISSUANCE OF THE 7 ORDER THAT RELATES TO THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD OR THE ABILITY OF THE 8 PARTIES TO MEET THOSE NEEDS.

9 (B) A PARTY'S PROPOSAL TO RELOCATE THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OR 10 THE CHILD IN A WAY THAT WOULD CAUSE PARENTING TIME TO BE IMPRACTICABLE 11 CONSTITUTES A MATERIAL CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCES.

12 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 13 October 1, 2015.

16