R6 6lr1094 CF SB 232

By: Delegates Stein, Beidle, Fraser-Hidalgo, Holmes, Jackson, Jacobs, Knotts, McCray, O'Donnell, Otto, and Szeliga

Introduced and read first time: January 26, 2016 Assigned to: Environment and Transportation

Committee Report: Favorable

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 1, 2016

CHAPTER _____

- 1 AN ACT concerning
- 2 Motor Vehicles Lamps and Lights Use When Windshield Wipers Operating
- 3 FOR the purpose of requiring that a driver of a vehicle on a highway use the vehicle's tail
- 4 lamps when the driver continuously operates the vehicle's windshield wipers under
- 5 certain circumstances; repealing the authority of a driver to use a vehicle's fog lights
- 6 instead of the vehicle's headlamps during the continuous operation of the vehicle's
- windshield wipers under certain circumstances; and generally relating to the use of
- 8 vehicle lamps and lights when the windshield wipers are operating.
- 9 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 10 Article Transportation
- 11 Section 22–201.2
- 12 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 13 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2015 Supplement)
- 14 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
- 15 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 16 Article Transportation
- 17 22-201.2.
- 18 (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subtitle, if a driver of a vehicle on
- 19 a highway operates the vehicle's windshield wipers for a continuous period of time because

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



$\frac{1}{2}$	of impaired visibility resulting from unfavorable atmospheric conditions, the driver shall light the vehicle's headlamps [or fog lights] AND TAIL LAMPS.
3 4	(b) A violation of this section is not considered a moving violation for purposes of $\S~16402$ of this article.
5	(c) (1) If a person is convicted under this section, the conviction may not:
6	(i) Be considered evidence of negligence;
7	(ii) Be considered evidence of contributory negligence;
8	(iii) Limit liability of a party or an insurer; or
9 10	(iv) Diminish recovery for damages arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or operation of a motor vehicle.
11 12	(2) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of this subsection, a party, witness, or counsel may not make reference to a violation of this section.
13 14 15 16	(3) Nothing contained in this subsection may be construed to prohibit the right of a person to institute a civil action for damages against a dealer, manufacturer, distributor, factory branch, or other appropriate entity arising out of an incident that involves a defectively installed or defectively operating headlamp or [fog light] TAIL LAMP.
17 18	(d) A person who is convicted of a violation of subsection (a) of this section is subject to a fine not to exceed \$25.
19 20 21	(e) A police officer may enforce the provisions of this section only as a secondary action when the police officer detains a driver of a motor vehicle for a suspected violation of another provision of the Code.
22 23	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 1, 2016.
	Approved:
	Governor.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.

President of the Senate.