SENATE BILL 235

E2, E1

6lr1016

By: **Senators Brochin, Cassilly, Raskin, and Ready** Introduced and read first time: January 22, 2016 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

$\mathbf{2}$

Courts – Evidence of Prior Sexual Offense – Admissibility

- 3 FOR the purpose of prohibiting, in a prosecution for certain sexual offenses, the court from 4 barring the introduction of certain evidence that the defendant committed a certain $\mathbf{5}$ prior sexual offense on the ground that the admission is unfairly prejudicial unless 6 the court makes a certain finding; prohibiting a court from finding that certain 7 evidence is unduly prejudicial solely because it involves a prior sexual offense; 8 prohibiting a State's Attorney from introducing certain evidence under certain 9 circumstances; prohibiting certain evidence from being disclosed to a jury or introduced at trial unless the court has held a certain hearing and made certain 10 11 determinations; requiring a court to state the reasons for a certain decision in a 12certain manner; providing that this Act does not limit the admission or consideration 13 of certain evidence; defining a certain term; and generally relating to the admissibility of evidence. 14
- 15 BY adding to
- 16 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 17 Section 10–923
- 18 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 19 (2013 Replacement Volume and 2015 Supplement)
- 20 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, 21 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 22

Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings

23 **10–923.**

24 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "PRIOR SEXUAL OFFENSE" MEANS AN ACT THAT 25 WOULD CONSTITUTE:

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



SENATE BILL 235

1 (1) A SEXUAL CRIME UNDER TITLE 3, SUBTITLE 3 OF THE CRIMINAL 2 LAW ARTICLE;

3 (2) SEXUAL ABUSE OF A MINOR UNDER § 3–602 OF THE CRIMINAL 4 LAWARTICLE;

5 (3) SEXUAL ABUSE OF A VULNERABLE ADULT UNDER § 3–604 OF THE 6 CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE;

7

(4) A VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. CHAPTER 109A; OR

8 (5) A VIOLATION OF A LAW OF ANOTHER STATE, THE UNITED STATES,
9 OR A FOREIGN COUNTRY THAT IS EQUIVALENT TO AN OFFENSE UNDER ITEM (1), (2),
10 (3), OR (4) OF THIS SUBSECTION.

11 IN A CRIMINAL TRIAL FOR A SEXUAL OFFENSE LISTED IN **(B)** (1) SUBSECTION (A)(1), (2), OR (3) OF THIS SECTION, A COURT MAY NOT BAR THE 12INTRODUCTION OF OTHERWISE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANT 13 COMMITTED A PRIOR SEXUAL OFFENSE ON THE GROUND THAT THE EVIDENCE IS 1415UNFAIRLY PREJUDICIAL TO A DEFENDANT UNLESS THE COURT MAKES A SPECIFIC FINDING ON THE RECORD AFTER CONSIDERING THE FACTORS LISTED IN 16 SUBSECTION (D)(1) OF THIS SECTION. 17

18 (2) A COURT MAY NOT FIND THAT EVIDENCE IS UNFAIRLY 19 PREJUDICIAL UNDER THIS SUBSECTION SOLELY BECAUSE THE EVIDENCE INVOLVES 20 A PRIOR SEXUAL OFFENSE.

(C) A STATE'S ATTORNEY MAY NOT INTRODUCE EVIDENCE UNDER THIS
 SECTION UNLESS THE EVIDENCE WAS DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENDANT AT LEAST 30
 DAYS BEFORE THE TRIAL OR AT A LATER TIME IF AUTHORIZED BY THE COURT FOR
 GOOD CAUSE SHOWN.

25(D)(1)EVIDENCE OF A PRIOR SEXUAL OFFENSE MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED26TO A JURY OR INTRODUCED AT TRIAL UNLESS:

(I) THE COURT HAS FIRST HELD A CLOSED HEARING AND
 DETERMINED THAT THE EVIDENCE OF THE PRIOR SEXUAL OFFENSE WAS PROVEN BY
 CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE;

30(II) THE COURT HAS USED THE BALANCING TEST REQUIRED31UNDER MARYLAND RULE 5-403; AND

 $\mathbf{2}$

SENATE BILL 235

(III) THE COURT HAS CONSIDERED: 1 $\mathbf{2}$ 1. THE SIMILARITY BETWEEN THE PRIOR SEXUAL 3 OFFENSE AND THE SEXUAL OFFENSE FOR WHICH THE DEFENDANT IS ON TRIAL; 2. THE CLOSENESS IN TIME OF THE PRIOR SEXUAL 4 OFFENSE AND THE SEXUAL OFFENSE FOR WHICH THE DEFENDANT IS ON TRIAL; 56 3. THE PRESENCE OR LACK OF INTERVENING EVENTS 7BETWEEN THE PRIOR SEXUAL OFFENSE AND THE SEXUAL OFFENSE FOR WHICH THE 8 **DEFENDANT IS ON TRIAL;** 9 **4**. THE NEED FOR THE EVIDENCE; AND 105. ANY OTHER FACTOR THAT THE COURT CONSIDERS 11 **RELEVANT.** AT THE CONCLUSION OF A HEARING UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, 12(2) THE COURT SHALL STATE THE REASONS FOR THE COURT'S DECISION ON THE 13 RECORD IN OPEN COURT AND OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. 1415**(E)** THIS SECTION DOES NOT LIMIT THE ADMISSION OR CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE UNDER ANY MARYLAND RULE OR OTHER PROVISION OF LAW. 16

17 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 18 October 1, 2016.