# **SENATE BILL 942**

#### D3, P3, P4

6lr3554 CF 6lr2108

#### By: **Senators Ramirez, Lee, and Manno** Introduced and read first time: February 5, 2016 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

## A BILL ENTITLED

#### 1 AN ACT concerning

# State Government - Administrative Procedure Act - Contested Cases Judicial Review

- FOR the purpose of altering the circumstances under which a court may reverse or modify
  the decision of an agency on judicial review under the Administrative Procedure Act
  in a case in which an employee is disciplined, suspended, or terminated from
  employment; and generally relating to judicial review of contested cases under the
  Administrative Procedure Act.
- 9 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 10 Article State Government
- 11 Section 10–222
- 12 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 13 (2014 Replacement Volume and 2015 Supplement)
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
   That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 16

## Article – State Government

17 10-222.

18 (a) (1) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a party who is 19 aggrieved by the final decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review of the 20 decision as provided in this section.

(2) An agency, including an agency that has delegated a contested case to
the Office, is entitled to judicial review of a decision as provided in this section if the agency
was a party before the agency or the Office.



 $\mathbf{2}$ 

#### **SENATE BILL 942**

1 (b) Where the presiding officer has final decision-making authority, a person in  $\mathbf{2}$ a contested case who is aggrieved by an interlocutory order is entitled to judicial review if:

3 (1)the party would qualify under this section for judicial review of any related final decision: 4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

(2)

the interlocutory order:

6

- (i) determines rights and liabilities; and
- 7 (ii) has immediate legal consequences; and

8 (3)postponement of judicial review would result in irreparable harm.

9 Unless otherwise required by statute, a petition for judicial review shall be (c) 10 filed with the circuit court for the county where any party resides or has a principal place 11 of business.

12(d) (1)The court may permit any other interested person to intervene in a proceeding under this section. 13

14(2)If the agency has delegated to the Office the authority to issue the final administrative decision pursuant to \$10-205(a)(3) of this subtitle, and there are 2 or more 1516 other parties with adverse interests remaining in the case, the agency may decline to 17participate in the judicial review. An agency that declines to participate shall inform the 18 court in its initial response.

19 The filing of a petition for judicial review does not automatically stay (e) (1)the enforcement of the final decision. 20

21(2)Except as otherwise provided by law, the final decision maker may 22grant or the reviewing court may order a stay of the enforcement of the final decision on 23terms that the final decision maker or court considers proper.

24Judicial review of disputed issues of fact shall be confined to the record (f)(1)25for judicial review supplemented by additional evidence taken pursuant to this section.

26The court may order the presiding officer to take additional evidence on (2)27terms that the court considers proper if:

28(i) before the hearing date in court, a party applies for leave to offer 29additional evidence; and

- 30 (ii) the court is satisfied that:
- 311. the evidence is material; and

#### SENATE BILL 942

$\frac{1}{2}$	evidence in	the pro	oceedir	2. there were good reasons for the failure to offer the ng before the presiding officer.	
$\frac{3}{4}$	(3) On the basis of the additional evidence, the final decision maker may modify the findings and decision.				
$5\\6$	the record:	(4)	The f	final decision maker shall file with the reviewing court, as part of	
7			(i)	the additional evidence; and	
8			(ii)	any modifications of the findings or decision.	
9	(g)	(1)	The c	court shall conduct a proceeding under this section without a jury.	
10 11	(2) A party may offer testimony on alleged irregularities in procedure before the presiding officer that do not appear on the record.				
12		(3)	On re	equest, the court shall:	
13			(i)	hear oral argument; and	
14			(ii)	receive written briefs.	
15	(h)	In a p	oroceed	ling under this section, the court may:	
16		(1)	rema	nd the case for further proceedings;	
17		(2)	affirn	n the final decision; or	
18 19	may have be	(3) een pre		rse or modify the decision if any substantial right of the petitioner ed:	
20			<b>(</b> I <b>)</b>	because a finding, conclusion, or decision:	
21				[(i)] <b>1.</b> is unconstitutional;	
$\begin{array}{c} 22\\ 23 \end{array}$				[(ii)] <b>2.</b> exceeds the statutory authority or jurisdiction of the	
24				[(iii)] <b>3.</b> results from an unlawful procedure;	
25				[(iv)] <b>4.</b> is affected by any other error of law;	
$\begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 27 \end{array}$	substantial	eviden	ce in li	[(v)] <b>5.</b> is unsupported by competent, material, and ight of the entire record as submitted; or	

1	[(vi)] 6. is arbitrary or capricious; AND
2	(II) IN A CASE INVOLVING A FINAL DECISION BY WHICH AN
3	EMPLOYEE OF AN AGENCY IS DISCIPLINED, SUSPENDED, OR TERMINATED FROM
4	EMPLOYMENT, BECAUSE THE DECISION:
<b>5</b>	1. FAILS TO REASONABLY STATE THE BASIS FOR THE
6	AGENCY'S DETERMINATION OF EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT;
$\overline{7}$	2. IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH THE AGENCY'S PAST
8	PRACTICES, RULES, OR REGULATIONS;
9	<b>3.</b> FAILS TO INCLUDE FINDINGS OF FACT OR TO EXPLAIN
10	THE RATIONALE FOR THE SANCTION ADOPTED; OR
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
11	4. <b>PROVIDES FOR A SANCTION THAT IS NOT CONSISTENT</b>
12	OR IN PROPORTION WITH THE EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT FOUND OR WITH PENALTIES
13	IMPOSED BY THE AGENCY PREVIOUSLY FOR SIMILAR EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT.
14	SECTION 2 AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED That this Act shall take effect

14 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 15 October 1, 2016.

4