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Workers' Compensation - Heart Disease and Hypertension Presumption - State Correctional Officers

This bill extends to all State correctional officers an occupational disease presumption for heart disease or hypertension that is more severe than the individual’s prior condition and that results in partial or total disability or death. The bill also alters the definition of “public safety employee” to include State correctional officers, making these officers eligible for enhanced workers’ compensation benefits.

Provisions related to designation as a “public safety employee” must be construed to apply only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have any effect on, or application to, any claims arising before the bill’s October 1, 2016 effective date.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (DPSCS) general fund expenditures increase significantly due to the designation of State correctional officers as public safety employees who are eligible for enhanced workers’ compensation benefits. DPSCS expenditures may further increase – likely minimally in FY 2017 and grow exponentially in future years – to reflect increased workers’ compensation benefits paid as a result of the bill’s occupational disease presumption. Revenues are not affected. Any additional hearings before the Workers’ Compensation Commission can be handled with existing budgeted resources.

Local Effect: None.

Small Business Effect: None.
Analysis

**Current Law:** Workers’ compensation law establishes a presumption of compensable occupational disease to certain public employees who are exposed to unusual hazards in the course of their employment. It is assumed that these injuries or diseases are due to the employees’ work and, therefore, require no additional evidence in the filing of a claim for workers’ compensation. As shown below, generally presumptions are based on particular occupations and their associated health risks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Personnel/Occupation</th>
<th>Type of Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer and career firefighters, firefighting instructors, rescue squad members, and advanced life support unit members; fire marshals employed by an airport authority, a county, a fire control district, a municipality, or the State</td>
<td>Heart disease, hypertension, or lung disease that results in partial or total disability or death Specified cancers under specified conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy sheriffs, police officers, and correctional officers of specified counties</td>
<td>Heart disease or hypertension that results in partial or total disability or death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Natural Resources paid law enforcement employees and park police officers of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission</td>
<td>Lyme disease under specified conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under these circumstances, a covered employee is entitled to workers’ compensation benefits in addition to any benefits that the individual is entitled to receive under the retirement system. The weekly total of workers’ compensation and retirement benefits may not exceed the weekly salary paid to the individual.

Although statute is silent on the issue, occupational disease presumptions have long been considered rebuttable presumptions. Two court decisions address the use of “is presumed” in reference to occupational diseases in current law, specifying that the term “without contrary qualification, should be read to be a presumption, although rebuttable, of fact.” (See *Board of County Commissioners v. Colgan*, 274 Md. 193, 334 A.2d 89 (1975); and *Montgomery County Fire Board v. Fisher*, 53 Md. App. 435, 454 A.2d 394, aff’d, 298 Md. 245, 468 A.2d 625 (1983).) However, the Court of Special Appeals has stated that, “after the last injurious exposure to a hazard and the conclusion of employment the nexus between an occupational disease and an occupation becomes increasingly remote.” (See *Montgomery County, Maryland v. Pirrone*, 109 Md. App. 201, 674 A.2d 98 (1996)).
Normally an employee who is awarded compensation for a period less than 75 weeks is eligible to receive weekly benefits of one-third of his or her average weekly wage, but that amount may not exceed 16.7% of the State average weekly wage. However, a public safety employee is eligible for enhanced workers’ compensation benefits if awarded compensation for less than 75 weeks. In such a case, the employer or its insurer must pay the public safety employee at a compensation rate set for an award period of greater than 75 weeks but less than 250 weeks. Thus, a public safety employee is eligible to receive approximately double the weekly benefits – two-thirds of his or her average weekly wage, but that amount may not exceed one-third of the State average weekly wage. The State average weekly wage for 2016 is $1,027.

**Background:** Correctional officers are generally responsible for overseeing individuals who have been arrested and are awaiting trial or have been sentenced to serve a term in prison or jail. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) reports that, in the United States, correctional officers have one of the highest rates of injury and illness when compared to other occupations, due primarily to confrontations with inmates. BLS also reports that, because security must be maintained 24 hours a day, officers work all hours of the day and night, as well as weekends and holidays.

**State Expenditures:** The Chesapeake Employers’ Insurance Company (Chesapeake) administers workers’ compensation benefits for State employees; however, all benefits are paid by the State.

**Occupational Disease Presumption Expenditures**

DPSCS reports that there are currently 6,502 State correctional officers. The number of State correctional officers who may benefit from the compensable occupational disease presumption established by the bill cannot be reliably estimated at this time. However, considering the prevalence of heart disease and hypertension in society at large and the stressful nature of the correctional officer occupation, it is likely that the State will be responsible for numerous claims annually, and payment of such claims may continue for several years. As such, expenditures increase minimally in fiscal 2017 but grow exponentially in future years as existing claims are paid and new claims are made.

Data on claims for State police officers is instructive as they are also entitled to an occupational disease presumption for heart disease or hypertension under the same provisions as those established by the bill for State correctional officers. Chesapeake reports that the average cost per heart disease or hypertension presumption claim for State police officers is about $43,000, generally spread over multiple years. Chesapeake also reports that there are about 1,500 State police officers and there were 10 heart disease or hypertension presumption claims for State police officers in 2014. Due to the presumption, a claimant almost always receives benefits. *For illustrative purposes only*, if this ratio and
cost is applied to the 6,502 State correctional officers, there may be 43 additional claims per year, with a total cost of approximately $1.85 million (43 claims x $43,000) spread over multiple years. Chesapeake is still collecting claims data for 2015, but thus far, there are seven heart disease or hypertension claims reported for that year. Any difference between this estimate and the estimate for similar bills from prior years is due to updated information from Chesapeake.

Enhanced Benefits Presumption

State correctional officers are also entitled to enhanced workers’ compensation benefits under the bill’s provisions, due to their designation as public safety employees. This benefit is for any claim, not just those related to heart disease and hypertension. While the number of claims made subject to enhancement in any given year cannot be reliably estimated, any expenditure increase due to this designation is expected to be significant based on prior-year claims data.

Chesapeake reports that, in 2015, approximately $1.2 million was paid for 222 State correctional officers awarded compensation for less than 75 weeks. Normally, an employee is entitled to one-third of his or her average weekly wage per week, up to 16.7% of the State weekly wage when awarded compensation for less than 75 weeks. A public safety employee is entitled to two-thirds of his or her average weekly wage per week, up to one-third of the State average weekly wage ($335 in 2015, increasing to $343 in 2016) when awarded compensation for the same period of time. For illustrative purposes only, if State correctional officers were eligible for enhanced compensation benefits in 2015, general fund expenditures would have increased by as much as $1.2 million in that year. The compounding effect of this benefit with the new occupational disease presumption further increases costs.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: HB 563 of 2015 was reported unfavorable by the House Economic Matters Committee. HB 411 of 2014 was reported unfavorable by the House Economic Matters Committee. Its cross file, SB 494, received a hearing in the Senate Finance Committee, but no further action was taken.

Cross File: None.

Information Source(s): Chesapeake Employers’ Insurance Company, National Council on Compensation Insurance, Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, Subsequent Injury Fund, Workers’ Compensation Commission, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Legislative Services