SENATE BILL 626

P2, K3

7lr3094 CF 7lr3508

By: **Senator Benson** Introduced and read first time: February 3, 2017 Assigned to: Finance

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Prevailing Wage Rates – Public Work Contracts – Suits by Employees

3 FOR the purpose of authorizing certain employees to sue to recover the difference between 4 certain prevailing wage rates and certain amounts under certain circumstances; $\mathbf{5}$ providing that a certain determination by the Commissioner of Labor and Industry 6 does not preclude certain employees from filing certain actions; providing that 7 certain actions are suits for certain wages; providing for the force and effect of certain 8 judgments; providing for the failure of certain employees to protest certain 9 circumstances; requiring a court to order the payment of certain damages under certain circumstances; providing for the awarding of certain counsel fees and costs 10 11 under certain circumstances; and generally relating to private rights of action under 12the State prevailing wage law.

13 BY adding to

- 14 Article State Finance and Procurement
- 15 Section 17–224.1
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (2015 Replacement Volume and 2016 Supplement)
- 18 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
 19 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
 - **Article State Finance and Procurement**
- 21 **17–224.1.**

20

22(A)(1)IF AN EMPLOYEE UNDER A PUBLIC WORK CONTRACT IS PAID LESS23THAN THE PREVAILING WAGE RATE FOR THAT EMPLOYEE'S CLASSIFICATION FOR24THE WORK PERFORMED, THE EMPLOYEE IS ENTITLED TO SUE TO RECOVER THE

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PREVAILING WAGE RATE AND THE AMOUNT RECEIVED 2 BY THE EMPLOYEE.

3 (2) A DETERMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER THAT A CONTRACTOR
4 IS REQUIRED TO MAKE RESTITUTION DOES NOT PRECLUDE AN EMPLOYEE FROM
5 FILING AN ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION.

6 (B) (1) AN ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION IS CONSIDERED TO BE A SUIT 7 FOR WAGES.

8 (2) A JUDGMENT IN AN ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL HAVE 9 THE SAME FORCE AND EFFECT AS ANY OTHER JUDGMENT FOR WAGES.

10 (C) THE FAILURE OF AN EMPLOYEE TO PROTEST ORALLY OR IN WRITING 11 THE PAYMENT OF A WAGE THAT IS LESS THAN THE PREVAILING WAGE RATE IS NOT 12 A BAR TO RECOVERY IN AN ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION.

13 (D) (1) A COURT SHALL ORDER THE PAYMENT OF TREBLE DAMAGES 14 UNDER THIS SECTION IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THE EMPLOYER WITHHELD WAGES 15 OR FRINGE BENEFITS WILLFULLY AND KNOWINGLY OR WITH DELIBERATE 16 IGNORANCE OR RECKLESS DISREGARD OF THE EMPLOYER'S OBLIGATIONS UNDER 17 THIS SUBTITLE.

18 (2) IN AN ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION, THE COURT SHALL AWARD A 19 PREVAILING PLAINTIFF REASONABLE COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS.

(3) IF THE COURT FINDS THAT AN EMPLOYEE SUBMITTED A FALSE OR
 FRAUDULENT CLAIM IN AN ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION, THE COURT MAY ORDER
 THE EMPLOYEE TO PAY THE EMPLOYER REASONABLE COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS.

23 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 24 October 1, 2017.