State Of Maryland 2017 Bond Bill Fact Sheet

1. Senate LR #	Bill#	House LR#	Bill#	2. Name Of Project			
lr1922	sb0413	lr3400		Intentional Community Building Collective Co- Housing Development			
3. Senate Bill Sponsors				House Bill Sponsors			
Robinson				Conaway			
4. Jurisdict	t ion (County	y or Baltimo	ore City)	5. Requested Amount			
Baltimore C	City			\$140,000			
6. Purpose of Bill							
the acquisition, planning, design, construction, repair, renovation, reconstruction, site improvement, and capital equipping of the Intentional Community Building Collective cohousing development							
7. Matching Fund							
Requirements:				Type:			
Grant							
8. Special Provisions							
[] Historical Easement				[X] Non-Sectarian			
9. Contact Name and Title				Contact Ph#	Email Address		
Nneka N'namdi			kiyannamdi@yahoo.com				
10 Description and Durness of Organization (Limit langth to visible area)							

10. Description and Purpose of Organization (Limit length to visible area)

The core mission of Intentional Community Building Collective (ICBC) is to create a modern village in West Baltimore using cooperative management, resident leadership and advanced technology. Born over a dinner table conversation more than five years ago, the initial concept was for three families to enjoy the convenience of walking next door after shared Sunday dinner. This evolved into a Limited Liability Corporation now consisting of nine families committed to developing co-housing. This housing model is to be developed and managed through a participatory, non-hierarchical decision making process where residents will define the housing requirements including green and sustainable design, common use space, rental space with resident profit sharing and other revenue generating ventures.

11. Description and Purpose of Project (Limit length to visible area)

The project would redevelop the 13 city owned properties/lots on the odd side the 800 Blk of Edmondson Ave. A co-housing development would replace the existing vacant and dilapidated structures. This cohousing development would include a community house which would be the focal point of activity within the community as well as a mix of for sale and rental housing, shared office space, and retail storefronts. Features planned for the development also include farm plots, solar power, a community house computer lab which would broadcast WIFI to the development, electric vehicle charging and bike share stations. It is the intention to include the current residents of Edmondson Ave. in the ICBC Residents Association. This resident led and owned association would manage the rentals, retail and community spaces.

Round all amounts to the nearest \$1,000. The totals in Items 12 (Estimated Capital Costs) and 13 (Proposed Funding Sources) must match. The proposed funding sources must not include the value of real property unless an equivalent value is shown under Estimated Capital Costs.

12. Estimated Capital Costs	
Acquisition	\$140,000
Design	\$20,000
Construction	\$2,800,000
Equipment	\$10,000
Total	\$2,970,000
13. Proposed Funding Sources - (List all funding source	es and amounts.)
ICBC	\$10,000
Project C.O.R.E	\$1,000,000
Affordable Housing Trust Fund	\$460,000
Affordable Housing Loan and Community and Economic	\$1,500,000
Total	\$2,970,000

14. Project Schedule (Enter a date or one of the following in each box. N/A, TBD or Complete)									
Begin Desig	n	Complete Design			Begin Construction			Complete Construction	
TBD		TBD			TBD			TBD	
15. Total Private Funds and Pledges Raised				16. Current Numl People Served An Project Site		nually at Serve		umber of People to be d Annually After the ct is Complete	
10000.00			10)			200		
18. Other State Capital Grants to Recipients in the Past 15 Years									
Legislative	Sess	ion	Ar	Amount		Purpose			
19. Legal Na	ame a	and Ad	ddres	ss of Gran	ıtee	Project Addi	ress (It	f Different)	
Intentional Community Building Collective 808 George St Baltimore, MD 21201 20. Legislative District in Which Project is Located 40 - Baltimore 0						800 Blk of Edmondson Ave - Odd Side City			
21. Legal Status of Grantee (Please Check One)									
Local Govt. Fo		For P	or Profit		Non Profit		Federal		
[]		[]	[X]		[]		[]		
22. Grantee Legal Representative						23. If Match Includes Real Property:			
Name:						Has An Appraisal Been Done?		Yes/No	
Phone:							1	No	
Address:						If Yes, List Appraisal Dates and Value			

24. Impact of Project on Staffing and Operating Cost at Project Site								
Current # of Employees	Projected # of Employees	Curr	ent Operating Budget	_	Projected Operating Budget			
0	TBD		7500.00	28	330000.00			
25. Ownership of	f Property (Info Requ	Treasurer's Offic	Treasurer's Office for bond purposes)					
A. Will the grantee own or lease (pick one) the property to be improved?								
B. If owned, does the grantee plan to sell within 15 years?								
C. Does the grantee intend to lease any portion of the property to others?								
D. If property is ov	wned by grantee any sp	pace is to	be leased, provide	the follow	ing:			
	Lessee		Terms of Lease	Cost Covered by Lease	0			
Ret	tail - Storefront		3yrs	Space	1400			
	Office		Varies	Space	2800 -shared			
A	artist Housing		1 yr	Apt	700			
	Apartments		1yr	Apt	900			
E. If property is le	ased by grantee - Provi	ide the fo	llowing:					
Na	ame of Leaser	Length of Lease	Options to Renew					
26. Building Square Footage:								
Current Space G	Current Space GSF 25,025 GSF							
Space to be Renovated GSF 13								
New GSF		30,000 GSF						

27. Year of Construction of Any Structures Proposed for Renovation, Restoration or Conversion

1920's

28. Comments

Typically, Co-housing is a housing community developed and managed through a participatory, non-hierarchical decision making process, that meets resident defined housing requirements to include resident focused design, common and or shared space with little to no shared community economy. The common ideology is a desire to live in a community where residents take collective ownership of the condition of the community as a whole. It is important to note that co-housing is not a concept created by ICBC. The actual term cohousing, short for collaborative housing, was adopted in 1988. However, Sir Thomas Moore published Utopia in 1516 detailing his recommendation for a group of 30 families to create small villages to share common facilities, dinners, and child care. Dissatisfied with the isolation of typical communities, Denmark was the home of the first documented community in the 1960s. The concept spread to other European countries before emerging in the US with hundreds of communities thriving here today. Co-housing groups have the flexibility to craft their communities in various ways from modifying existing structures to building communities from scratch; suburban cul-de-sacs to apartment buildings; 4 families to 30 or more. However the following core elements also define the top strengths of the concept that most of these communities have in common:

- -self-sufficient residences
- a common house for group activities
- participation by residents in decision-making on matters affecting the group
- Community participation is defined as the planning, operating, maintaining and governing the
 - neighborhood.
- This may involve monthly dues, regular cooking, utilizing personal skills such as plumbing or

carpentry and upkeep duties.

Other beneficial assets of these communities are lower turnover than conventional living. Lower out of pocket costs as participants enjoy bulk food, item, and home purchases. Residents also share big ticket items such as appliances or every items like toys. The cost expensive facilities is also shared so facilities like swimming pools that may not have otherwise been affordable is possible. The social network that naturally forms in the intentional community enables the It takes a village proverb to manifest into a way of life for the children that live there. Chuck Durrett, known for helping to bring cohousing to the US, believes the relationships between peopleolder teaching younger generations, parents helping parents also village like. There is a sense of security and identity that is instilled in all members as they each take responsibility in performing tasks necessary for the success of that community.

Modern cohousing communities also tend to focus on green and sustainable building practices. The use of solar power, recycled/repurposed building materials and permaculture help to ensure that co-housing developments create walkable, less toxic and energy efficient communities. These design elements in combination with the social support system and access to cost savings achieved by sharing community assets (gardens, laundry rooms, computer labs, workshops, guest accommodations, etc..) making co housing an attractive prospect for modern urban living.