Department of Legislative Services

Maryland General Assembly 2017 Session

FISCAL AND POLICY NOTE First Reader

Senate Bill 665

(Senator Kasemeyer, et al.)

Budget and Taxation

Attorney General - Powers - Maryland Defense Act of 2017

This bill expands the powers of the Attorney General by authorizing the Attorney General to investigate, commence, and prosecute or defend any civil or criminal suit or action that is based on the federal government's action or inaction that threatens the public interest and welfare of the State's residents, as specified. The bill sets forth a process by which the Attorney General must provide notice to the Governor. The bill requires that the Governor's proposed budget for fiscal 2019 and each subsequent year appropriate at least \$1.0 million for the Attorney General to use only for carrying out the bill's provisions and employing five attorneys.

The bill takes effect June 1, 2017.

Fiscal Summary

State Effect: General fund expenditures for the Office of the Attorney General (OAG) increase by \$1.0 million annually beginning in FY 2019, which reflects the bill's mandated appropriation. OAG can use existing resources until FY 2019 to handle any litigation initiated as a result of the bill. **This bill establishes a mandated appropriation beginning in FY 2019.**

(\$ in millions)	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GF Expenditure	0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Net Effect	\$0.0	(\$1.0)	(\$1.0)	(\$1.0)	(\$1.0)

Note:() = decrease; GF = general funds; FF = federal funds; SF = special funds; - = indeterminate increase; (-) = indeterminate decrease

Local Effect: None.

Small Business Effect: None.

Analysis

Bill Summary: The bill authorizes the Attorney General to investigate, commence, and prosecute or defend any civil or criminal suit or action that is based on the federal government's action or inaction that threatens the public interest and welfare of the residents of the State with respect to (1) protecting the health of the residents of the State and ensuring the availability of affordable health care; (2) safeguarding public safety and security; (3) protecting civil liberties; (4) preserving and enhancing the economic security of workers and retirees; (5) protecting financial security of the residents of the State, including their pensions, savings, and investments, and ensuring fairness in mortgages, student loans, and the marketplace; (6) protecting the residents of the State against fraud and other deceptive and predatory practices; (7) protecting the natural resources and environment of the State; (8) protecting the residents of the State against illegal and unconstitutional federal immigration and travel restrictions; or (9) otherwise protecting as parens patriae, the State's interest in the general health and well-being of its residents.

Before commencing a suit or action, the Attorney General must provide the Governor with written notice and an opportunity for review and comment, unless the Attorney General determines that emergency circumstances require immediate action. If the Governor objects to the intended suit or action, then the Governor must provide in writing to the Attorney General the reasons for the objection within 10 days after receiving the notice. Unless there are emergency circumstances that require the immediate commencement of the suit or action, the Attorney General must consider the Governor's objection before proceeding. If the Attorney General determines that emergency circumstances require the immediate commencement of a suit or action to protect the public interest and welfare of the residents of the State, as specified, the Attorney General must provide the Governor notice of the suit or action as soon as reasonably practicable.

The following findings of the General Assembly are expressed in the bill: (1) the federal government's action or failure to take action may pose a threat to the health and welfare of the residents of the State and (2) the State should investigate and obtain relief from any arbitrary, unlawful, or unconstitutional federal action or inaction and prevent such action or inaction from harming the residents of the State.

The bill must be construed to expand the powers of the Attorney General and may not be construed to limit in any way the constitutional and statutory authority of the Attorney General that existed before the bill's enactment.

The bill also establishes that its provisions are severable, and that if any provision of the bill or its application is held invalid for any reason in a court of competent jurisdiction, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or any other application that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

Current Law/Background: The Attorney General is an elected official who serves a four-year term of office. Pursuant to the State Constitution, the Attorney General has enumerated responsibilities, including investigating, commencing, and prosecuting or defending any civil or criminal suit or actions, as specified, which the General Assembly, by law or joint resolution, or the Governor, directs to be investigated, commenced, and prosecuted or defended. The Attorney General also has and must perform any other duties and possess any other powers as the General Assembly prescribes by law.

According to the National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG), the common law is the origin of an attorney general's authority to represent, defend, and enforce the legal interests of the public and is a critical source of power for attorneys general seeking to protect public interests in developing areas of the law. NAAG notes that, in the vast majority of states, the attorney general retains common law authority in addition to the power and duties specifically enumerated by state constitutions and statutory language. However, Maryland is one of only a handful of states in which the attorney general expressly lacks common law authority and has only the powers as are vested by the State Constitution and various enactments of the General Assembly. See, *e.g.*, *State v. Burning Tree Club*, 301 Md. 9, 481 (1984).

Recently, attorneys general from several states have used common law or prescribed authority on behalf of individuals impacted as a result of President Trump's Executive Order of January 27, 2017, restricting travel into the United States by refugees and visitors from seven countries. The attorneys general in Washington and Minnesota initiated action against the President on behalf of their states and a federal judge issued a temporary restraining order banning enforcement of the executive order. In a *per curiam* order filed February 9, 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit denied the U.S. Government's motion for an emergency stay of the temporary restraining order while the case proceeds through the appellate process. These actions follow a trend over the past several decades of attorneys general joining together to take proactive measures deemed to be on behalf of the public interests of states, such as lawsuits against the tobacco and cable television industries.

State Expenditures: General fund expenditures increase by \$1.0 million annually beginning in fiscal 2019, which reflects the bill's minimum mandated appropriation. This funding is anticipated to support the salaries and fringe benefits for the five attorneys required by the bill (estimated at \$731,690 in fiscal 2019 and a minimum of \$760,000 annually thereafter), one-time start-up costs, and ongoing operating expenses.

The actual cost to comply with the bill's provisions is likely to be less than the mandated appropriation each year for at least the next five years. For example, the estimated cost for salaries, fringe benefits, and associated operating requirements for five assistant attorneys

general at the grade and step requested by OAG is \$770,515 in fiscal 2019, increasing to \$839,765 in fiscal 2022. However, beyond fiscal 2022, increasing personnel and associated costs could equate to and exceed the specified minimum mandated appropriation in the bill.

Also, as the bill only *authorizes* the Attorney General to initiate an action or defend against an action, as specified, and does not *require* the Attorney General to take a specific action, there may be years in which no legal actions occur. As such, additional funds (outside of personnel and associated costs incurred) may not be used. Because the mandated appropriations may only be used for the functions specified in the bill, any unused funds revert to the general fund at the end of each fiscal year, as required by State law, although this impact has not been accounted for in this analysis.

Additional Information

Prior Introductions: None.

Cross File: HB 913 (Delegate Rosenberg, et al.) - Health and Government Operations.

Information Source(s): Office of the Attorney General; Governor's Office; National Association of Attorneys General; Washington State Office of Attorney General; Department of Legislative Services

Fiscal Note History: First Reader - February 13, 2017

md/kdm

Analysis by: Jennifer K. Botts Direct Inquiries to: (410) 946-5510

(301) 970-5510