8lr0664 CF SB 827

By: Delegates Sydnor, Barron, Hayes, Ali, Anderson, Angel, Atterbeary, D. Barnes, Branch, Brooks, Conaway, Davis, Fennell, Gibson, Glenn, Haynes, Hettleman, Hill, Holmes, C. Howard, Jackson, Knotts, J. Lewis, R. Lewis, McCray, McIntosh, A. Miller, Mosby, Otto, Patterson, Pena-Melnyk, Proctor, Queen, Sample-Hughes, Sanchez, Turner, Vallario, Walker, A. Washington, M. Washington, Wilkins, Wilson, and P. Young

Introduced and read first time: February 7, 2018

Assigned to: Appropriations

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

24

Historically Black Colleges and Universities – Appointment of a Special Advisor
– Development of a Remedial Plan
(HBCU Equity Act of 2018)

FOR the purpose of requiring the State Bar Association to submit certain names to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Delegates on or before a certain date; requiring the President and the Speaker to select a certain individual to serve as a Special Advisor within a certain period of time; requiring the Special Advisor to develop a certain remedial plan, consult with certain persons, incorporate certain elements into the remedial plan, and propose certain types of programs at certain institutions; authorizing the Special Advisor to consult with certain witnesses and consultants; requiring the remedial plan to include certain funding and a certain system of reporting and monitoring; prohibiting the Special Advisor from recommending certain program transfers or closings of institutions without certain agreement; requiring the Special Advisor to submit findings and recommendations to the Governor, the Department of Legislative Services, and the General Assembly on or before a certain date; requiring the Department to draft certain legislation on or before a certain date; making this Act an emergency measure; and generally relating to the appointment of a Special Advisor to develop a remedial plan relating to historically black colleges and universities in the State.

21 Preamble

WHEREAS, Maryland has been identified by the federal Office for Civil Rights (OCR) in the United States Department of Education as 1 of 10 states (in 1969) and later as 1 of 6 states (in 1985) that has failed to desegregate its formerly de jure system of

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

32

1 segregated higher education; and

WHEREAS, Despite multiple desegregation plans having been developed and implemented in Maryland over the last 40–50 years, OCR has not formally released Maryland from its obligations to dismantle its formerly de jure system of segregated higher education; and

WHEREAS, The Supreme Court decided United States v. Fordice in 1992, which set forth a three—step analysis for determining whether a state has discharged its duty to dismantle a formerly de jure system of higher education; and

WHEREAS, The Fordice analysis requires a plaintiff to show that a policy or practice is traceable to the de jure segregation, and if shown, then the State has the burden to prove that it has dismantled its de jure system of segregated higher education and that its policies and practices do not have continuing segregative effects; and

WHEREAS, If the State fails to meet the burden of Fordice, then the State must prove that its policies and practices have sound educational justification and cannot practicably be eliminated and established by less segregative means; and

WHEREAS, The unnecessary duplication of programs in Maryland, among other trespasses, spurred the filing of The Coalition for Equity and Excellence in Maryland Higher Education, et al. v. Maryland Higher Education Commission, et al. in 2006; and

WHEREAS, Attempts at reconciliation between the parties failed between 2006 and 20 2010; and

WHEREAS, In 2012, oral arguments in the Coalition case took place in the United States District Court; and

WHEREAS, In 2013, the Court found that the Coalition was unable to demonstrate that the State limited institutional missions of historically black colleges and universities in the State or that operational funding deficiencies were a continuation of practices that were segregative and traceable to the de jure era; and

WHEREAS, The Court also found that unnecessary duplication of programs at historically black colleges and universities in the State continues and is a policy traceable to de jure segregation in the State; and

WHEREAS, The Court directed the parties to mediate, although attempts at mediation failed between 2013 and 2015; and

WHEREAS, A remedies phase of the trial took place in 2017; and

WHEREAS, In 2017, the Court directed the parties to be subject to the authority of a Special Master who would develop a remedial plan; and

WHEREAS, The appointment of a Special Master may be delayed or frustrated by the fact that both parties have appealed and briefs are not due until March 2018; now, therefore,

- 4 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, 5 That:
- 6 (a) On or before June 1, 2018, the State Bar Association shall submit to the 7 President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Delegates the names of three 8 individuals who specialize in education law and who are willing to serve as a Special 9 Advisor in accordance with this section.
- 10 (b) Not more than 2 weeks after receiving the three names submitted under subsection (a) of this section, the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Delegates jointly shall select one of the individuals to serve as a Special Advisor in accordance with this section.
- 14 (c) (1) The Special Advisor shall develop a remedial plan that will resolve the 15 issues raised under The Coalition for Equity and Excellence in Maryland Higher Education, 16 et al. v. Maryland Higher Education Commission, et al.
- 17 (2) In developing the remedial plan required under paragraph (1) of this 18 subsection, the Special Advisor:
- 19 (i) shall consult with both the plaintiffs and the defendants in The 20 Coalition for Equity and Excellence in Maryland Higher Education, et al. v. Maryland 21 Higher Education Commission, et al.:
- 22 (ii) may consult with witnesses and consultants who were involved 23 with the case;
- 24 (iii) shall incorporate elements of both the plaintiffs' and defendants' 25 remedial plans submitted to the United States District Court as part of The Coalition for 26 Equity and Excellence in Maryland Higher Education, et al. v. Maryland Higher Education 27 Commission, et al.; and
- (iv) shall propose a set of new unique programs, or high-demand programs, or both, at historically black colleges and universities (Bowie State University, 30 Coppin State University, Morgan State University, and University of Maryland Eastern Shore) in the State that take into account each institution's strength, physical building capacity, and programmatic niches.
- 33 (3) The remedial plan developed under this subsection shall include:
- 34 (i) funding for a period to be used for student recruitment, financial aid, marketing, and related initiatives; and

HOUSE BILL 1062

- 1 (ii) a system of reporting and monitoring of the implementation of 2 the remedial plan developed under this subsection.
- 3 (d) The Special Advisor may not recommend program transfers or closings of 4 institutions of higher education without agreement from the affected institutions.
- 5 (e) On or before August 1, 2018, the Special Advisor shall submit the Special 6 Advisor's findings and recommendations to the Governor, the Department of Legislative 7 Services, and, in accordance with § 2–1246 of the State Government Article, the General 8 Assembly.
- 9 (f) On or before December 1, 2018, the Department of Legislative Services shall 10 draft legislation to implement any statutory changes that need to be made as the result of 11 the Special Advisor's findings and recommendations.
- SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act is an emergency measure, is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health or safety, has been passed by a yea and nay vote supported by three—fifths of all the members elected to each of the two Houses of the General Assembly, and shall take effect from the date it is enacted.