By: **The Speaker** Introduced and read first time: January 26, 2021 Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

Public Information Act – Personnel and Investigatory Records – Complaints Against Police Officers

- 4 FOR the purpose of requiring a custodian to allow inspection of certain records by the $\mathbf{5}$ United States Attorney, the Attorney General, the State Prosecutor, or a State's 6 Attorney; establishing that a record relating to a formal complaint of job-related 7 misconduct made against a police officer is not a personnel record under certain 8 provisions of the Public Information Act under certain circumstances; authorizing a 9 custodian to deny inspection of certain records involving a certain complaint of job-related misconduct made against a police officer only under certain 1011 circumstances; requiring a custodian to provide a certain person with a certain 12statement under certain circumstances; requiring each law enforcement agency to 13 annually submit certain information relating to use of force complaints to the 14 Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission; requiring the Commission to annually post on its website and submit to the General Assembly a compendium of 1516certain information; prohibiting the Governor's Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, 17and Victim Services from making certain grant funds available to a certain law 18 enforcement agency under certain circumstances; defining certain terms; and 19generally relating to personnel records and investigatory records under the Public 20Information Act.
- 21 BY renumbering
- 22 Article General Provisions
- 23 Section 4–101(i) and (j), respectively
- 24 to be Section 4–101(j) and (k), respectively
- 25 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 26 (2019 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement)
- 27 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 28 Article General Provisions
- 29 Section 4–101(a)

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



$egin{array}{c} 1 \ 2 \end{array}$	Annotated Code of Maryland (2019 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement)		
3 4 5 6 7	BY adding to Article – General Provisions Section 4–101(i) Annotated Code of Maryland (2019 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement)		
	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, Article – General Provisions Section 4–311 and 4–351 Annotated Code of Maryland (2019 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement)		
$13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17$	Article – Public Safety Section 3–514 Annotated Code of Maryland		
18 19 20	That Section(s) 4-101(i) and (j), respectively, of Article - General Provisions of the		
$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:		
23	Article – General Provisions		
24	4–101.		
25	(a) In this title the following words have the meanings indicated.		
$\frac{26}{27}$	(I) "POLICE OFFICER" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 3–201 OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY ARTICLE.		
28	4-311.		
$29 \\ 30 \\ 31$	(A) IN THIS SECTION, "ADMINISTRATIVELY CHARGED" MEANS THAT A POLICE OFFICER HAS BEEN FORMALLY ACCUSED OF MISCONDUCT IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING.		

[(a)] (B) Subject to subsection [(b)] (C) of this section, a custodian shall deny
 inspection of a personnel record of an individual, including an application, a performance
 rating, or scholastic achievement information.

 $\mathbf{2}$

1	[(b)] (C)	A custodian shall allow inspection by:	
2	(1)	the person in interest;	
$\frac{3}{4}$	(2) individual; [or]	an elected or appointed official who supervises the work of the	
$5\\6$	(3) of the portion of th	an employee organization described in Title 6 of the Education Article ne personnel record that contains the individual's:	
7		(i) home address;	
8		(ii) home telephone number; and	
9		(iii) personal cell phone number;	
10	(4)	THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY;	
11	(5)	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL;	
12	(6)	THE STATE PROSECUTOR; OR	
13	(7)	A STATE'S ATTORNEY.	
$14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17$	MISCONDUCT MADE AGAINST A POLICE OFFICER, INCLUDING AN INVESTIGATION RECORD, A HEARING RECORD, OR A DISCIPLINARY DECISION, IS NOT A PERSONNEL		
18 19	(1) FIREARM;	THE ALLEGED MISCONDUCT INVOLVES THE DISCHARGE OF A	
$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 21 \end{array}$	(2) RESULTING IN D	THE ALLEGED MISCONDUCT INVOLVES THE USE OF FORCE EATH OR SERIOUS BODILY INJURY; OR	
22	(3)	THE POLICE OFFICER WAS ADMINISTRATIVELY CHARGED WITH:	
23		(I) COMMITTING A SEXUAL ASSAULT;	
24 25 26 27	FALSIFYING, OR	(II) ENGAGING IN DISHONESTY, COMMITTING PERJURY, STATEMENTS, FILING FALSE REPORTS, OR DESTROYING, CONCEALING EVIDENCE DIRECTLY RELATING TO THE REPORTING, OR PROSECUTION OF A CRIME:	

27 INVESTIGATION, OR PROSECUTION OF A CRIME;

1 (III) ENGAGING IN PROHIBITED DISCRIMINATION DIRECTLY $\mathbf{2}$ **RELATING TO THE REPORTING, INVESTIGATION, OR PROSECUTION OF A CRIME; OR** 3 (IV) IMPROPERLY USING FORCE AGAINST A MEMBER OF THE 4 PUBLIC. $\mathbf{5}$ 4 - 351.Subject to [subsection (b)] SUBSECTIONS (B) AND (C) of this section, AND 6 (a) 7 EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION, a custodian may deny 8 inspection of: 9 records of investigations conducted by the Attorney General, a State's (1)Attorney, a municipal or county attorney, a police department, or a sheriff; 10 11 (2)an investigatory file compiled for any other law enforcement, judicial, 12correctional, or prosecution purpose; or 13 (3)records that contain intelligence information or security procedures of the Attorney General, a State's Attorney, a municipal or county attorney, a police 1415department, a State or local correctional facility, or a sheriff. 16 A custodian may deny inspection by a person in interest only to the extent (b) 17that the inspection would: interfere with a valid and proper law enforcement proceeding; 18 (1)19 deprive another person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial (2)adjudication; 2021constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; (3)22disclose the identity of a confidential source; (4)23disclose an investigative technique or procedure; (5)24prejudice an investigation; or (6)endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. 25(7)26**(C)** A CUSTODIAN MAY DENY INSPECTION OF A RECORD DESCRIBED IN § 274-311(D) OF THIS SUBTITLE BY A PERSON OTHER THAN A PERSON LISTED IN SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT THE INSPECTION 2829WOULD:

4

1 (1) INTERFERE WITH A VALID AND PROPER LAW ENFORCEMENT 2 PROCEEDING;

3 (2) DEPRIVE ANOTHER PERSON OF A RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL OR AN
 4 IMPARTIAL ADJUDICATION;

- 5 (3) CONSTITUTE AN UNWARRANTED INVASION OF PERSONAL 6 PRIVACY;
- 7 (4) DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF A CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE;
- 8 (5) DISCLOSE AN INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUE OR PROCEDURE;
- 9 (6) PREJUDICE AN INVESTIGATION; OR
- 10 (7) ENDANGER THE LIFE OR PHYSICAL SAFETY OF AN INDIVIDUAL.

11 (D) A CUSTODIAN SHALL ALLOW INSPECTION OF A RECORD DESCRIBED IN § 12 4-311(D) OF THIS SUBTITLE BY:

- 13 (1) THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY;
- 14 (2) THE ATTORNEY GENERAL;
- 15 (3) THE STATE PROSECUTOR; OR
- 16 (4) A STATE'S ATTORNEY.

17 (E) IF A PERSON REQUESTS INSPECTION OF RECORDS RELATING TO A 18 FORMAL COMPLAINT OF JOB-RELATED MISCONDUCT MADE AGAINST A POLICE 19 OFFICER AND THE REQUEST IS DENIED, THE CUSTODIAN SHALL PROVIDE THE 20 PERSON WITH A STATEMENT OF THE OUTCOME OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THE 21 COMPLAINT.

22

Article – Public Safety

23 3–514.

24 (A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 25 INDICATED.

26 (2) "ADMINISTRATIVELY CHARGED" MEANS THAT A POLICE OFFICER 27 HAS BEEN FORMALLY ACCUSED OF MISCONDUCT IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE 28 PROCEEDING.

1 (3) "EXONERATED" MEANS THAT A POLICE OFFICER ACTED IN 2 ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW AND AGENCY POLICY.

3 (4) "LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 4 3-201 OF THIS TITLE.

5 (5) "NOT CHARGED" MEANS THAT A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN 6 MADE NOT TO ADMINISTRATIVELY CHARGE A POLICE OFFICER IN CONNECTION 7 WITH ALLEGED MISCONDUCT.

8 (6) "POLICE OFFICER" HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 3–201 OF THIS 9 TITLE.

10 (7) "UNFOUNDED" MEANS THAT THE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST A 11 POLICE OFFICER ARE NOT SUPPORTED BY FACT.

12 **(B)** Each law enforcement agency shall require a [law enforcement] **POLICE** 13 officer who was involved in a use of force incident in the line of duty to file an incident 14 report regarding the use of force by the end of the officer's shift unless the officer is disabled.

15 (C) (1) ON OR BEFORE MARCH 1 EACH YEAR, EACH LAW ENFORCEMENT 16 AGENCY SHALL SUBMIT TO THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS 17 COMMISSION THE NUMBER OF USE OF FORCE COMPLAINTS MADE AGAINST ITS 18 POLICE OFFICERS DURING THE PREVIOUS CALENDAR YEAR, AGGREGATED BY 19 NUMBERS OF COMPLAINTS ADMINISTRATIVELY CHARGED, NOT CHARGED, 20 UNFOUNDED, AND EXONERATED.

(2) ON OR BEFORE JULY 15 EACH YEAR, THE MARYLAND POLICE
 TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION SHALL POST ON ITS WEBSITE AND SUBMIT
 TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 2–1257 OF THE STATE
 GOVERNMENT ARTICLE, A COMPENDIUM OF THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY LAW
 ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION.

(3) IF A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY HAS NOT SUBMITTED THE
REPORT REQUIRED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION BY JULY 1 FOR
THE PREVIOUS CALENDAR YEAR, THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF CRIME
PREVENTION, YOUTH, AND VICTIM SERVICES MAY NOT MAKE ANY GRANT FUNDS
AVAILABLE TO THAT LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July
 1, 2021.