## **HOUSE BILL 759**

G1 1lr1912

By: Delegate Kaiser

Introduced and read first time: January 29, 2021

Assigned to: Ways and Means

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

House action: Adopted

Read second time: March 4, 2021

CHAPTER

1 AN ACT concerning

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

## 2 Election Law - Postelection Tabulation Audits - Risk-Limiting Audits 3 Risk-Limiting Audits Workgroup

FOR the purpose of requiring that the State Board of Elections conduct a certain automated software audit in collaboration with the local boards of elections; altering the scope of the automated software audit to be of the electronic images of not more than a certain percentage of ballots east in an election, rather than of all ballots east: requiring the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct a certain risk-limiting audit after each statewide election; repealing a requirement that the State Board conduct a certain manual audit after each statewide general election; repealing the authority of the State Board to conduct a certain manual audit after each statewide primary election; repealing certain provisions of law governing the conduct of a certain manual audit; requiring the State Board, in collaboration with the local boards, to conduct a risk-limiting audit of at least one statewide contest, at least one countywide or other local contest in each county, and any other contests selected for audit by the State Board after each statewide election; requiring that a risk-limiting audit manually examine certain paper records or batches of certain paper records in a certain manner, be completed before certification of the election results, and be observable by the public to a certain extent; requiring the official result of an election to be altered to match the result found by a risk-limiting audit if the risk-limiting audit finds that the electronic count is incorrect; requiring the State Board to post a certain report on its website concerning a risk-limiting audit within a certain period of time; requiring that certain regulations adopted by the State Board be comprehensive; requiring that certain regulations include certain eriteria, the risk limit, and the audit method; requiring the State Administrator of

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

35

36

4 vears earlier.

<del>(ii)</del>

Elections to convene a Risk-Limiting Audits Workgroup; requiring the Workgroup to consist of certain persons selected by the State Administrator; requiring the State Administrator, or the State Administrator's designee, to serve as chair of the Workgroup; requiring that the first meeting of the Workgroup be held on or before a certain date; requiring the Workgroup to draft proposed text of certain risk-limiting audit regulations; requiring the State Board to adopt certain regulations on or before a certain date; defining certain terms; altering a certain definition; repealing a certain definition; making conforming changes; and generally relating to postelection tabulation audits a plan to conduct a risk-limiting audit of certain contests after each statewide election and proposed legislation for consideration by the General Assembly that would enact the plan; requiring the plan for conducting risk-limiting audits drafted by the Workgroup to include certain requirements and certain elements; requiring the Workgroup to submit its plan for conducting risk-limiting audits and its proposed legislation that would enact the plan to certain committees of the General Assembly on or before a certain date; defining certain terms; and generally relating to a Risk-Limiting Audits Workgroup.

16 17 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, 18 Article - Election Law Section 11-309 19 20 Annotated Code of Maryland 21(2017 Replacement Volume and 2020 Supplement) 22 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, 23 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: Article - Election Law 24  $\frac{11-309}{1}$ 25 26 <del>(a)</del> <del>(1)</del> In this section the following words have the meanings indicated. 27 (2)"ELECTRONIC COUNT" MEANS THE VOTE TOTALS PRODUCED BY 28 THE VOTING SYSTEM. "Manual [audit"] COUNT" means inspection of voter-verifiable 29 <del>[(2)] (3)</del> 30 paper records by hand and eye to obtain vote totals in a contest I that are compared to the vote totals produced for that contest by the electronic voting system! 31 "Previous comparable general election" means: 32 in a presidential election year, the presidential election held 4 33 <del>(i)</del> 34 vears earlier; and

in a gubernatorial election year, the gubernatorial election held

1	(4) "Risk-limiting audit" means a postelection audit
2	PROCEDURE THAT EMPLOYS STATISTICAL METHODS TO ENSURE A LARGE,
3	PREDETERMINED MINIMUM CHANCE OF REQUIRING A FULL MANUAL COUNT OF
4	VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL MANUAL
5	COUNT OF THE VOTER VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A DIFFERENT
6	OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT.
7 8	[(4)] (5) "Voter-verifiable paper record" has the meaning stated in § 9-102 of this article.
9	(b) Following each statewide [general] election, the State Board, IN
10	COLLABORATION WITH THE LOCAL BOARDS, shall conduct an audit of the accuracy of
11	the voting system's tabulation of votes by completing:
12	(1) an automated software audit of the electronic images of [all] NOT
13	MORE THAN 25% OF THE ballots east in the election; and
14	(2) a [manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records] RISK-LIMITING
15	AUDIT-in accordance with subsection-[(d)] (C) of this section.
16	(c) Following each statewide primary election, the State Board:
17	(1) shall complete an automated software audit of the electronic images of
18	all ballots east in the election; and
10	all ballots date in the closulon, and
19	(2) may complete a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in a
20	manner prescribed by the State Board.
21	(d) (1) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall
22	complete a manual audit of:
23	(i) at least 2% of precincts statewide, including:
24	1. at least one randomly chosen precinct in each county; and
25	2. additional precincts selected by the State Board; and
26	(ii) a number of votes equal to at least 1% of the statewide total in
$\frac{27}{27}$	the previous comparable general election of each of the following, including at least a
28	minimum number of each of the following in each county, as prescribed by the State Board:
29	1. early votes;
30	2. absentee votes; and

1				<del>3.</del>	<del>provisional</del>	<del>votes.</del>				
2 3	election.	<del>(2)</del>	The n	<del>nanual</del>	audit shall t	e complete	ed within 1	<del>20 days a</del>	fter the gene	<del>eral</del>
4		<del>(3)</del>	If the	<del>-manus</del>	al audit show	<del>vs a discre</del> j	<del>pancy, the </del>	<del>State Boa</del>	<del>rd may:</del>	
5			<del>(i)</del>	expan	<del>d the manus</del>	<del>ıl audit; ar</del>	<del>1d</del>			
6 7	<del>discrepancy.</del>	₹	<del>(ii)</del>	<del>take a</del>	<del>any other a</del>	<del>ctions it (</del>	<del>considers r</del>	<del>1ecessary</del>	to resolve	<del>-the</del>
8	<del>post on its w</del>	<del>(4)</del> <del>rebsite</del>			ays after the describes:	<del>-conclusior</del>	<del>a of the auc</del>	<del>lit, the St</del>	<del>ate Board s</del> l	<del>hall</del>
10	<del>in each cour</del>	<del>ity and</del>	<del>(i)</del> the m		ecincts and in which the					<del>udit</del>
2			<del>(ii)</del>	the re	<del>sults of the r</del>	<del>nanual au</del>	<del>dit; and</del>			
13 14	discrepancy	was re	<del>(iii)</del> solved		<del>liscrepancy</del>	<del>shown by</del>	the man	<del>ual audit</del>	and how	<del>-the</del>
15 16	manual aud	<del>(5)</del> <del>it proc</del> e			oard shall al ent practical		ı <del>blic observ</del>	<del>ration of c</del>	each part of	<del>-the</del>
17	<del>(e)</del>	<del>An au</del>	<del>dit un</del>	<del>der thi</del>	s section:					
18		<del>(1)</del>	<del>may 1</del>	<del>10t hav</del>	e any effect (	<del>on the cert</del>	ified election	<del>on results</del>	<del>; and</del>	
19 20	elections.]	<del>(2)</del>	shall	<del>be used</del>	l to improve (	t <del>he voting (</del>	<del>system and</del>	<del>l voting pı</del>	<del>rocess for fut</del>	<del>ure</del>
21 22 23	<del>(C)</del> COLLABOR AUDIT OF:				<del>G EACH STA</del> <del>LOCAL-BO</del> /					
24			<del>(I)</del>	AT LE	AST ONE ST	<del>'ATEWIDE</del>	CONTEST	<u>•</u>		
25 26	EACH COUN	<del>VTY; Al</del>	<del>(II)</del> <del>VD</del>	AT LE	AST ONE CO	<del>)UNTYWH</del>	<del>DE OR OTH</del>	<del>IER LOCA</del>	AL CONTEST	<del>I IN</del>
27 28	Board.		<del>(III)</del>	ANY 0	OTHER CONT	PESTS SEL	<del>.ECTED FO</del>	R AUDIT	BY THE STA	<del>ATE</del>
) Q		(9)	A DIC		HTING AHDI	T CHAII.				

1	(I) MANUALLY EXAMINE RANDOMLY CHOSEN INDIVIDUAL
2	VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS OR BATCHES OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER
3	RECORDS UNTIL THERE IS SUFFICIENTLY STRONG STATISTICAL EVIDENCE THAT A
4	FULL MANUAL COUNT OF THE AUDITED CONTEST WOULD CONFIRM THE
5	ELECTRONIC COUNT, OR UNTIL THERE HAS BEEN A FULL MANUAL COUNT;
6	(II) BE COMPLETED BEFORE CERTIFICATION OF THE ELECTION
7	RESULTS; AND
8	(HI) BE OBSERVABLE BY THE PUBLIC TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT
9	<del>PRACTICABLE.</del>
10	(3) IF A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT FINDS THAT THE ELECTRONIC COUNT
11	IS INCORRECT, THE OFFICIAL RESULT OF THE ELECTION SHALL BE ALTERED TO
12	MATCH THE RESULT FOUND BY THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT:
1,4	MITOH THE RESCRIT COND BY THE RISK BIMITING ROBIT.
13	(4) WITHIN 10 DAYS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE RISK-LIMITING
14	AUDIT, THE STATE BOARD SHALL POST ON THE STATE BOARD'S WEBSITE A REPORT
15	THAT DESCRIBES THE AUDIT PROCESS AND THE RESULTS OF THE AUDIT.
16	(f) (D) (1) The State Board shall adopt COMPREHENSIVE regulations to
17	carry out this section.
10	(9) The provide an opposite things of the county (1) of this
18	(2) THE REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS
19	SUBSECTION TO CARRY OUT RISK-LIMITING AUDITS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
20	SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE:
21	(I) CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE CONTESTS TO BE
22	AUDITED;
23	(II) THE RISK LIMIT; AND
24	(HI) THE AUDIT METHOD.
25	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:
96	(a) (1) In this section the following would have the magnings indicated
26	(a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.
27	(2) "Electronic count" means the vote totals produced by the voting system.
28	(3) "Manual count" means inspection of voter-verifiable paper records by
29	hand and eye to obtain vote totals in a contest.

1	(4) "Risk limit" means the small, predetermined maximum chance that a
2 3 4	risk—limiting audit will not require a full manual count of voter—verifiable paper records in an audited contest if a full manual count of the voter—verifiable paper records would find a different outcome than the outcome determined by the electronic count.
5 6 7 8 9	(2) (5) "Risk-limiting audit" has the meaning stated in § 11-309 of the Election Law Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this Act means a postelection audit procedure that employs statistical methods to ensure a large, predetermined minimum chance of requiring a full manual count of voter-verifiable paper records in an audited contest if a full manual count of the voter-verifiable paper records would find a different outcome than the outcome determined by the electronic count.
11	(3) (6) "State Administrator" means the State Administrator of Elections.
12	(4) (7) "State Board" means the State Board of Elections.
13 14	(8) "Voter-verifiable paper record" has the meaning stated in § 9–102 of the Election Law Article.
15 16	(b) (1) The State Administrator shall convene a Risk–Limiting Audits Workgroup.
17 18	(2) The Workgroup shall consist of the following persons selected by the State Administrator:
19	(i) experts in the theory and practice of risk-limiting audits;
20	(ii) at least one representative of the voting system vendor; and
21	(iii) local election officials.
22 23	(3) The State Administrator, or the State Administrator's designee, shall serve as chair of the Workgroup.
24 25	(4) The first meeting of the Workgroup shall be held on or before June 10, 2021.
26	(5) The Workgroup shall:
27 28 29	(i) draft <del>proposed text of the comprehensive risk-limiting audit regulations required under subsection (c) of this section for consideration by the State Board; and</del>
30 31 32	(ii) a plan to conduct a risk-limiting audit of at least one statewide contest and at least one countywide or other local contest in each county after each statewide election;

1 2 3	(ii) <u>draft proposed legislation for consideration by the General Assembly during the 2022 regular session that would enact the plan for conducting risk-limiting audits after each statewide election; and</u>
4 5	(iii) meet periodically thereafter to make recommendations to the State Board to revise and improve the risk-limiting audit process as appropriate.
6 7 8 9	(c) The State Board shall adopt the comprehensive regulations required under § 11–309(d) of the Election Law Article, as enacted by Section 1 of this Act, on or before January 1, 2022 The plan for conducting risk-limiting audits drafted by the Workgroup shall:
10 11 12 13 14	(1) require manual examination of randomly chosen individual voter—verifiable paper records or batches of voter—verifiable paper records until the maximum chance of a full manual count finding a different outcome than the outcome determined by the electronic count is no larger than the risk limit, or until there has been a full manual count;
15	(2) require a risk-limiting audit to:
16	(i) be completed before certification of the election results; and
17	(ii) be observable by the public to the maximum extent practicable;
18 19 20	(3) require that, if a risk-limiting audit finds that the election outcome determined by the electronic count is incorrect, the official result of the election be altered to match the outcome determined by the risk-limiting audit;
21 22	(4) require that a public report concerning the risk-limiting audit process and the results of the risk-limiting audit be released after each statewide election; and
23	(5) include:
24	(i) <u>criteria for determining the contests to be audited;</u>
25	(ii) the risk limit; and
26	(iii) the audit method.
27 28 29 30 31	(d) On or before December 17, 2021, the Risk–Limiting Audits Workgroup shall submit its plan for conducting risk–limiting audits and its proposed legislation that would enact the plan to the Senate Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee and the Committee on Ways and Means in accordance with § 2–1257 of the State Government Article.
32	SECTION $\frac{3}{2}$ . AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect

33

June 1, 2021.