HOUSE BILL 572

G1 HB 745/22 – W&M

By: **Delegates Kaiser and Wilkins** Introduced and read first time: February 3, 2023 Assigned to: Ways and Means

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Election Law – Postelection Tabulation Audits – Risk–Limiting Audits

- 3 FOR the purpose of requiring the State Board of Elections, in collaboration with the local 4 boards of elections, to conduct a risk-limiting audit after each statewide election; $\mathbf{5}$ authorizing, rather than requiring, the State Board, in collaboration with the local 6 boards, to conduct an automated software audit after a statewide election; repealing 7 a requirement to conduct a manual audit after each statewide general election; 8 requiring the State Board, in collaboration with certain local boards, to conduct pilot 9 risk-limiting audits during a certain period; and generally relating to postelection tabulation audits. 10
- 11 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 12 Article Election Law
- 13 Section 11–309
- 14 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 15 (2022 Replacement Volume and 2022 Supplement)
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 18 Article Election Law
- 19 11–309.
- 20 (a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.

21 (2) "AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT" MEANS AN AUDIT OF 22 ELECTRONIC IMAGES OF BALLOTS CAST IN AN ELECTION USING SOFTWARE THAT IS 23 INDEPENDENT OF THE VOTING SYSTEM.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law. HOUSE BILL 572

1 (3) "ELECTRONIC COUNT" MEANS THE VOTE TOTALS PRODUCED BY 2 THE VOTING SYSTEM.

3 [(2)] (4) "Manual [audit"] COUNT" means inspection of voter-verifiable 4 paper records by hand and eye to obtain vote totals in a contest [that are compared to the 5 vote totals produced for that contest by the electronic voting system].

6

[(3) "Previous comparable general election" means:

7 (i) in a presidential election year, the presidential election held 4
8 years earlier; and

9 (ii) in a gubernatorial election year, the gubernatorial election held 10 4 years earlier.]

11 (5) "RISK LIMIT" MEANS THE SMALL, PREDETERMINED MAXIMUM 12 CHANCE THAT A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT WILL NOT REQUIRE A FULL MANUAL COUNT 13 OF VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL 14 MANUAL COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A 15 DIFFERENT OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC 16 COUNT.

17(6) **"RISK-LIMITING** AUDIT" MEANS A POSTELECTION AUDIT 18 PROCEDURE THAT EMPLOYS STATISTICAL METHODS TO ENSURE A LARGE, 19 PREDETERMINED MINIMUM CHANCE OF REQUIRING A FULL MANUAL COUNT OF 20VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS IN AN AUDITED CONTEST IF A FULL MANUAL 21COUNT OF THE VOTER-VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS WOULD FIND A DIFFERENT 22OUTCOME THAN THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE ELECTRONIC COUNT.

23 [(4)] (7) "Voter-verifiable paper record" has the meaning stated in § 24 9-102 of this article.

25 **[**(b) Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall conduct an 26 audit of the accuracy of the voting system's tabulation of votes by completing:

(1) an automated software audit of the electronic images of all ballots castin the election; and

29 (2) a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in accordance with 30 subsection (d) of this section.

31 (c) Following each statewide primary election, the State Board:

(1) shall complete an automated software audit of the electronic images of
 all ballots cast in the election; and

 $\mathbf{2}$

HOUSE BILL 572

may complete a manual audit of voter-verifiable paper records in a 1 (2) $\mathbf{2}$ manner prescribed by the State Board. 3 (d) (1)Following each statewide general election, the State Board shall 4 complete a manual audit of: $\mathbf{5}$ (i) at least 2% of precincts statewide, including: 6 1. at least one randomly chosen precinct in each county; and additional precincts selected by the State Board; and 7 2. 8 (ii) a number of votes equal to at least 1% of the statewide total in 9 the previous comparable general election of each of the following, including at least a minimum number of each of the following in each county, as prescribed by the State Board: 10 11 1. early votes; 2.12 absentee votes: and 13 3. provisional votes. 14 (2)The manual audit shall be completed within 120 days after the general 15election. 16 If the manual audit shows a discrepancy, the State Board may: (3)17(i) expand the manual audit; and 18 (ii) take any other actions it considers necessary to resolve the 19 discrepancy. 20Within 14 days after the conclusion of the audit, the State Board shall (4)21post on its website a report that describes: 22the precincts and number of votes selected for the manual audit (i) in each county and the manner in which the precincts and votes were selected; 2324the results of the manual audit; and (ii) 25(iiii) any discrepancy shown by the manual audit and how the 26discrepancy was resolved. 27The State Board shall allow for public observation of each part of the (5)28manual audit process to the extent practicable.

4			HOUSE BILL 572		
1	(e)	(e) An audit under this section:			
2		(1) n	nay n	ot have any effect on the certified election results; and	
$\frac{3}{4}$	elections.]	(2) s	hall b	be used to improve the voting system and voting process for future	
5 6 7 8		ATION W	VITH	OWING EACH STATEWIDE ELECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN THE LOCAL BOARDS, SHALL AUDIT THE ACCURACY OF THE LATION OF VOTES BY COMPLETING A RISK-LIMITING AUDIT	
9		(I)	AT LEAST ONE STATEWIDE CONTEST;	
$10 \\ 11 \\ 12$	(II) AT LEAST ONE LOCAL CONTEST IN EACH COUNTY, WHICH MAY BE A COUNTYWIDE CONTEST OR A LOCAL CONTEST ON THE BALLOT IN PART OF A COUNTY; AND				
$\begin{array}{c} 13\\14\end{array}$	BOARD.	(III)	ANY OTHER CONTESTS SELECTED FOR AUDIT BY THE STATE	
$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 16\\ 17\end{array}$	(2) (I) SUBJECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, THE STATE BOARD SHALL SELECT THE SPECIFIC CONTESTS TO BE AUDITED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION.				
18 19 20	(II) A CONTEST FOR AN OFFICE FOR WHICH THE TERM BEGINS IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER MAY NOT BE SELECTED FOR AUDIT UNLESS THE ELECTION DIRECTOR FOR THE COUNTY AGREES TO AUDIT THE CONTEST.				
21		(3) A	A RIS	K–LIMITING AUDIT SHALL:	
$22 \\ 23 \\ 24$	(I) MANUALLY EXAMINE RANDOMLY CHOSEN INDIVIDUAL VOTER–VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS OR BATCHES OF VOTER–VERIFIABLE PAPER RECORDS UNTIL THE RISK LIMIT IS MET;				
$\frac{25}{26}$	RESULTS; A	`	II)	BE COMPLETED BEFORE CERTIFICATION OF THE ELECTION	
$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 28 \end{array}$	PRACTICAE	`	III)	BE OBSERVABLE BY THE PUBLIC TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT	
29 30 31 32		ED BY TH ION SHA	HE EI	RISK–LIMITING AUDIT FINDS THAT THE ELECTION OUTCOME LECTRONIC COUNT IS INCORRECT, THE OFFICIAL RESULT OF BE ALTERED TO MATCH THE OUTCOME DETERMINED BY THE	

1 (5) WITHIN 10 DAYS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE RISK-LIMITING 2 AUDIT, THE STATE BOARD SHALL POST ON THE STATE BOARD'S WEBSITE A REPORT 3 THAT DESCRIBES THE AUDIT PROCESS AND THE RESULTS OF THE AUDIT.

4 (C) IN ADDITION TO THE RISK-LIMITING AUDIT REQUIRED UNDER 5 SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE STATE BOARD, IN COLLABORATION WITH 6 THE LOCAL BOARDS, MAY CONDUCT AN AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AUDIT AFTER A 7 STATEWIDE ELECTION.

8 [(f)] (D) (1) The State Board shall adopt regulations to carry out this section.

9 (2) THE REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS 10 SUBSECTION TO CARRY OUT RISK-LIMITING AUDITS IN ACCORDANCE WITH 11 SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION SHALL INCLUDE:

- 12 (I) CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE CONTESTS TO BE 13 AUDITED;
- 14 (II) THE RISK LIMIT; AND
- 15 (III) THE AUDIT METHOD.

16 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:

17 (a) During calendar year 2023, the State Board of Elections, in collaboration with 18 the appropriate local boards of elections, shall conduct pilot risk–limiting audits in at least 19 two counties of at least two contests that were on the November 2022 general election 20 ballot.

- 21 (b) The State Board shall:
- 22 (1) select the contests to be audited; and
- 23 (2) determine how the pilot risk–limiting audits are to be conducted.

24 (c) A pilot risk-limiting audit may not have any effect on the certified election 25 results.

(d) On or before December 1, 2023, the State Board shall submit a report on the
pilot risk-limiting audits to the Senate Education, Energy, and the Environment
Committee and the House Ways and Means Committee, in accordance with § 2–1257 of the
State Government Article.

30 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect June
 31 1, 2023.