

Bill Number: SB591

Scott D. Shellenberger, State's Attorney for Baltimore County

Opposed

WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF SCOTT D. SHELLENBERGER,
STATE'S ATTORNEY FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY,
IN OPPOSITION OF SENATE BILL 591
MARYLAND'S SECOND LOOK ACT

I write in opposition to Senate Bill 591, Maryland's Second Look Act, as creating yet another post-conviction right that further drags victims to court and prevents any finality to a criminal case.

Right after a jury or Judge finds a Defendant guilty, Maryland law currently permits numerous ways for a Defendant to challenge his conviction and sentence. Here are the current rights:

1. Motion for new trial
2. Motion to modify or reduce sentence (motion can be held for five years)
3. If the modification is based upon illegal sentence, fraud, mistake or irregularity, there is no time limit
4. Three Judge panel to reduce or modify
5. Appeal to the Court of Special Appeals
6. Ask for appeal to the Court of Appeals
7. Post-Conviction (sometimes they get more than one)
8. Writ of Corum Nobis
9. Writ of Habeas Corpus
10. Writ of Actual Innocence
11. Motion to vacate judgement (passed last year)
12. Post-Conviction DNA testing
13. The parole system which can review a sentence more than once.

Based on the above list, this Bill should not be called the Maryland Second Look Act. It should be called The Maryland 14th Look Act.

When does it end for victims of crime?

When can I look at the victim of a crime and say it is over?

It never ends and this bill will add one more event over which the State and Victim have no control.

Senate Bill 591 is an attempt to create another parole commission. Parole exists to let Defendants out of jail early if they do all the right things in jail. Why are we creating something that already exists on top of the 12 ways a Defendant can challenge their conviction and sentence through the Judiciary?

I urge an unfavorable report to Senate Bill 591 as Defendants have so many rights now they do not need or deserve one more.