TESTIMONY PRESENTED TO THE HOUSE ECONOMIC MATTERS COMMITTEE

HOUSE BILL 708 – COMPREHENSIVE CLIMATE SOLUTIONS Sponsor – Delegates Barve and Stein

March 4, 2022

DONALD C. FRY PRESIDENT & CEO GREATER BALTIMORE COMMITTEE

Position: Oppose

The Greater Baltimore Committee appreciates and supports the need to establish plans and standards to address the climate crisis. A collaborative approach between government, the private sector, and citizens is essential to meet the challenges brought about by climate change. The GBC membership, comprised of businesses, nonprofit organizations, and educational institutions, recognizes that our institutions will not thrive with ecological and public health problems brought about by our changing environment. Extreme weather disasters are becoming more frequent, imposing real costs on companies and the communities they support. Climate change threatens facilities and operations, supply and distribution chains, and access to electricity and water. It also impairs employees' access to employment and impacts customers from buying products or services.

Legislation passed in Maryland to address climate change should be ambitious but achievable, consist of an incremental framework that provides for significant greenhouse gas reductions over a reasonable period of time, and does not impose excessive costs on businesses to meet the standards. Requirements should also not vary greatly from any federal requirements in order to prevent a patchwork of conflicting regulatory requirements. Provisions to provide generous financial assistance in the form of grants or low interest loans should be made available to businesses that are required to make costly investments in new technology. Unfortunately, House Bill 708 does not meet this description.

House Bill 708 calls for a 60% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2032. Although this is a laudable goal, it would appear that requiring such a reduction may be an overreach based on the best advice provided from the state's own environmental agency.

Current state law calls for a 40% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030. Last year, an analysis by Maryland's Department of the Environment confirmed that a 50% reduction by 2030 was feasible, with some additional policy decisions. The pending legislation calls for increasing the current statutory reduction by one-half, an increase from 40%-60%.

According to data from the Center for Climate and Energy Solutions, a global climate policy think tank, setting the standard to reduce the state's greenhouse gas emissions at 60% would be one of the most aggressive measures in the country. Although laudable and ambitious, this may create a standard that is not achievable.

The Maryland Strategic Energy Investment Fund is an appropriation designed to invest in the promotion, development, and implementation of cost-effective energy efficiency and conservation programs, projects, and activities. Within that fund, \$7 million is allocated for access to capital for small, minority, women or veteran

owned businesses in the clean energy industry; \$1.25 million for grants to pre-apprenticeship, youth apprenticeship, and registered apprenticeship programs to establish career paths in the clean energy industry; and \$6 million for grants to youth apprenticeship and registered apprenticeship jobs training programs. House Bill 708 would stipulate that funding can only be used for a project that uses fossil fuel if it can be demonstrated that the lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions for the project are less than an all–electric alternative. The new requirement would go into effect on June 1, 2022, threatening current and planned projects that may not yet meet the all-electric requirement.

The added cost of significantly altering business operations to meet these aggressive new goals could jeopardize a company's ability to remain profitable and competitive. This would result in businesses looking to the state to subsidize the cost through financial assistance in the form of grants or low interest loans to meet the new state greenhouse gas standards.

The Greater Baltimore Committee believes that addressing climate concerns requires commitment from all parties, but the state must set reasonable and attainable goals and acknowledge realistic expectations regarding the cost of compliance for businesses. Commercial and industrial companies are important economic drivers and job creators in Maryland. Maryland businesses are still struggling from the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic recession, and adding costly new requirements too quickly could hamper economic growth and job creation.

The Greater Baltimore Committee report entitled <u>Gaining A Competitive Edge</u> outlines eight key pillars that promote economic growth and job creation. At least three of the pillars that are identified in the report are challenged by the passage of the climate control legislation as introduced:

1) Government leadership that unites with business as a partner.

Maryland leaders must set a welcoming tone that communicates positive support for business, respect for the private sector as a partner, not an adversary, and reflects a strategic plan for business growth and job creation.

2) Regulatory policies that are streamlined, stable, and predictable.

Maryland must project to businesses within and outside the state that its government regulatory policies are reasonable, relevant, free of surprises or redundancy, and considerate of businesses' sense of urgency.

3) Competitive costs of doing business.

Public policies must reflect a government predisposition to nurture business growth and to avoid arbitrarily or disproportionately imposing additional overhead upon the business sector.

For the reasons set forth above, the Greater Baltimore Committee urges the Maryland General Assembly to give due consideration to the business competitiveness and cost concerns outlined above and enact amendments to address those areas outlined prior to the passage of climate change legislation. As such, the GBC respectfully requests that the Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee report House Bill 708 unfavorably as currently written.

The Greater Baltimore Committee (GBC) is a non-partisan, independent, regional business advocacy organization comprised of hundreds of businesses -- large, medium and small -- educational institutions, nonprofit organizations and foundations located in Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard counties as well as Baltimore City. The GBC is a 67-year-old, private-sector membership organization with a rich legacy of working with government to find solutions to problems that negatively affect our competitiveness and viability.