

Program Name: CME
Funding Stream: RTC Waiver (1915)
Program Summary: Provide intensive wraparound services to all MA eligible youth/children that are at risk of RTC level of care
Target Population: MA eligible youth that are at risk of RTC level of care
FY10 Funding:

Performance Measures	FY10 Actual	FY11 Target ¹	FY12 Target ²	
What/How Much We Do:	7/1/2010 – 12/31/2010			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of children referred to CME** ▪ Number of youth screened for eligibility for service** ▪ Number of children screened eligible ▪ Number of children enrolled in the CME <p><i>**Includes youth who are pending DEWS approval for the 1915 Waiver</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ By gender <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Female Male ○ By age <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under 6 6-10 11-13 14-17 18-21 ▪ By race/ethnicity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> African American/ Not Hispanic or Latino African American/ Hispanic or Latino African American/Not Reported Asian/Pacific Islander/ Not Hispanic or Latino Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic or Latino Alaskan Native/ Not Hispanic or Latino 	<p>26</p> <p>26</p> <p>12</p> <p>12</p> <p>7</p> <p>5</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p> <p>1</p> <p>10</p> <p>1</p> <p>4</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p> <p>1</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p>			

¹ Targets are based on FY09 funding of \$1,000,000 & policies and procedures related to implementation of 1915 waiver.

² Targets are based on FY09 funding of \$1,000,000 & policies and procedures related to implementation of 1915 waiver.

Performance Measures	FY10 Actual	FY11 Target ¹	FY12 Target ²	
Alaskan Native/ Hispanic or Latino	0			
Biracial/ Not Hispanic or Latino	2			
Biracial/ Hispanic or Latino	0			
Caucasian/ Not Hispanic or Latino	5			
Caucasian/ Hispanic or Latino	0			
Caucasian/ Not Reported	0			
Some Other Race/ Hispanic or Latino	0			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ By agency involvement (e.g child welfare) 				
Child Welfare	2			
Community Agency	2			
Community Professional				
Core Service Agency/DMH				
Department of Juvenile Services				
School	2			
Family	6			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of youth served 	28			
<i>(Number youth with engagement who received wraparound services during the report timeframe)</i>				
How Well We Do It:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #/% if enrolled children/youth with a safety and crisis plan completed within 10 calendar days of enrollment 	18 64.29%			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #/% if enrolled children/youth with a Child and Family Team Meeting convened within 30 days of enrollment 	21 75.00%			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #/% if enrolled children/youth with a Plan of Care within 30 days of enrollment 	14 50.00%			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #/% if enrolled children/youth enrolled in services/supports specified in the POC 	22 78.57%			

Performance Measures	FY10 Actual	FY11 Target ¹	FY12 Target ²	
Is Anyone Better Off?				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ #/% children/youth served moved to or maintaining a lesser restrictive setting compared to entry at <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3 months after implementation of Plan of Care (N=26) 21 80.8% ○ 6 months after implementation of Plan of Care (N=22) 14 63.6% ○ 9 months after implementation of Plan of Care (N=11) 11 100% ○ 12 months after implementation of Plan of Care (N=3) 2 66.7% ○ Discharge (N=8) 0 0% ▪ #/% of children/youth who attended at least 80% of school days (when school is in session), work days or training classes 28 71.43% ▪ Average number of informal supports per family at <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3 months after implementation of Plan of Care 2.27 ○ 6 months after implementation of Plan of Care 2.91 ○ 9 months after implementation of Plan of Care 3.16 ○ 12 months after implementation of Plan of Care 3.00 ○ Discharge 2.13 • #/% of children/youth enrolled who were referred to the Department of Juvenile Justice or were arrested 1 3.57% • #/% of children/youth showing improved clinical/functional improvements bases on CANs (N = 19) 11 57.8% • Satisfaction of family/youth enrolled for 6 months or more <p><i>**Satisfaction surveys are still in process.</i></p>				

Performance Measures	FY10 Actual	FY11 Target ¹	FY12 Target ²	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average cost per your served (for youth enrolled 6 months or more) 	76.63			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The following are specific performance measures for LDSS support functions for Place Matters youth: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ #/% of FIM attended ○ #/% of services successfully brokers ○ # of youth with whom the CME is actively working ○ Satisfaction of LDSS managers and staff ○ #/% of Place Matters youth in permanent arrangements (not in group care) 				