

Kim Coble Executive Director March 7, 2024

2024 Board of Directors

Lynn Heller, Chair The Hon. Nancy Kopp, Treasurer Kimberly Armstrong Candace Dodson-Reed Verna Harrison Melanie Hartwig-Davis Charles Hernick The Hon. Steve Lafferty Patrick Miller Bonnie L. Norman Katherine (Kitty) Thomas

OPPOSITION: HB1360 - School Bus Transition - Propane-Powered School Buses -Grant Program, Fund, and Purchase

Chair Korman and Members of the Committee:

Maryland LCV opposes HB1360 - School Bus Transition – Propane–Powered School Buses – Grant Program, Fund, and Purchase.

In 2019, the General Assembly took an important step by passing HB1255, which established the Zero-Emission Vehicle School Bus Transition Grant program. This program was designed to aid the transition from diesel-fueled school buses to zero-emission electric buses. Its primary goals were to safeguard the health of schoolchildren and communities while also combatting the escalating climate crisis. Any proposed alterations to this program to include propane-powered buses would contradict these goals. Such modifications would perpetuate the exposure of children to harmful contaminants and exacerbate the already critical climate crisis. It is imperative to uphold the original intent of the grant program to effectively address these pressing issues.

Propane, a fossil fuel, emits harmful pollutants that are similar to those produced by diesel combustion, including nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds, which pose significant health risks. The emissions from propane buses are comparable to or even exceed those of current diesel models¹, contributing to health hazards for children, communities, and the climate. Notably, propane buses release higher levels of carbon monoxide and ozone-causing toxins from their tailpipes, exposing students and drivers to these pollutants. By allowing for propane buses through this bill, we effectively commit to this technology for at least the next 12 years, subjecting children to these risks throughout their entire school journey.

Propane buses emit higher levels of carbon emissions per mile than electric buses throughout their entire life cycles, exacerbating the climate crisis and jeopardizing the welfare of future generations². The harmful emissions from fossil fuel-powered

¹Todd, A. and Zepka, B. (no date) *Clearing the air on emissions from propane-burning school buses, Electric School Bus Initiative*. Available at:

https://electricschoolbusinitiative.org/clearing-air-emissions-propane-burning-school-buses#:~:text=Propane%2Dburning%20school %20buses%20release,fossil%20fuel%20onboard%20the%20vehicle (Accessed: 06 March 2024).

² The evidence is clear: Electric School buses are the best choice to reduce emissions (no date) Electric School Bus Initiative. Available at: https://electricschoolbusinitiative.org/evidence-clear-electric-school-buses-are-best-choice-reduce-emissions (Accessed: 06 March 2024).

school buses contribute to the disproportionate burden of air pollution endured by communities of color. According to the American Lung Association, the burden of living under unhealthy air is not shared equally, and although people of color are 41% of the overall population of the U.S., they are 54% of the nearly 120 million people living in counties with at least one failing grade³. Considering that Black and low-income students are more likely to rely on school buses, reducing tailpipe emissions becomes imperative for advancing equity.

Zero-emission electric school buses are the safest alternative for the health of school children and the climate. Which is why the resources in the dedicated fund should be reserved for only electric school buses. In Maryland, approximately one in ten children suffer from asthma, and this rate is higher among minority groups.⁴ Asthma is a leading chronic illness among children in the United States and it's also one of the leading causes of school absenteeism.⁵ In Maryland, 19.2 percent of parents reported that their child missed 1-2 days of school because of asthma during the past year and 9.7 percent said their child missed over seven days due to asthma.⁶ Children riding in zero-emissions buses experience lower exposure to air pollution, less pulmonary inflammation, more rapid lung growth over time, and reduced absenteeism.⁷

On December 28, 2023, The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) released Maryland's Climate Pollution Reduction Plan, outlining a comprehensive framework to reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions by 60% by 2031, with a net-zero target by 2045. The plan underscores the urgency of transitioning a significant portion of Maryland's vehicle fleet, including school buses, to zero-emission models. HB1360 runs contrary to this goal.

Every day over 650,000 children in Maryland take one of the approximately 7,200 diesel school buses to school in Maryland. It's imperative to safeguard their health and secure their future by moving away from fossil fuel technologies. Transitioning from diesel to propane, another fossil fuel, fails to address the lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions concern. Instead, it perpetuates reliance on unsustainable practices, harming children and communities and undermining the state's climate goals.

For these reasons, Maryland LCV urges an UNFAVORABLE report on this bill.

³ Key findings: State of the Air (no date) State of the Air | American Lung Association. Available at:

https://www.lung.org/research/sota/key-findings (Accessed: 06 March 2024).

⁴ *Maryland asthma surveillance report 2012*. Available at: https://health.maryland.gov/phpa/mch/Documents/Asthma in Maryland 2012.pdf (Accessed: 06 March 2024).

⁵ Asthma (2022) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/healthyschools/asthma/index.htm (Accessed: 06 March 2024).

⁶ *Maryland asthma surveillance report 2012*. Available at: https://health.maryland.gov/phpa/mch/Documents/Asthma in Maryland 2012.pdf (Accessed: 06 March 2024).

⁷ Adopting Clean Fuels and Technologies on School Buses. Pollution and Health Impacts in Children American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care MedicineVolume 191, Issue 12 June 15, 2015. Pages 1345-1480