Dear Chair Pena-Melnyk, Vice Chair Cullison and Members of the Health and Government Operations Committee:

I am writing to provide clarification of the Maryland Pharmacists Associations (MPhAs) position and Letter of Information submitted on HB0171 State Board of Pharmacy - Membership - Veterinary Pharmacist.

I was unable to be present for the bill hearing today but did have a chance to watch the discussion. Our statement of information was based on the bill language, which references a <u>veterinary pharmacist</u>. Veterinary pharmacists are licensed pharmacists who specialize in the dispensing of medications for animals. Our statement was to inform the committee that in current law there is a pathway for a <u>veterinary pharmacist</u> to be nominated to serve on the Board of Pharmacy.

We have not indicated in any communications, verbal or written, that we are in support of or indifferent to a veterinarian serving on the Board of Pharmacy. Veterinarians are not veterinary pharmacists. As such, we are opposed to a veterinarian being appointed to fill a pharmacist's seat on the Board on the Pharmacy.

Thank you.

Aliyah















My working day may be different from your working day. Should you receive correspondence from me outside your working hours, please do not feel obliged to reply until you are at work.

Confidentiality Notice: This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the addressee, any review, disclosure, or dissemination of this information by you is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please delete it, destroy all copies, and notify me at the above number.