

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfU0l1WSdNHoXUz2uYdtt4zf-ztmwuTz-rdKJ59WbJstj1Ylw/viewform

March 03, 2025

## **HB 2 - FAV**

Annotated Code - Terminology - Clergy and Churches, Faith Institutions, and Places of Worship

Dear Chair Anne Healey, Vice-Chair Marvin E. Holmes Jr., and Members of the Rules and Executive Nominations Committee,

This bill eliminates sectarian language in our laws by replacing "church" with either "faith institution" or "place of worship" and replacing "clergyman" with "member of the clergy". For the same reasons Christians would dislike our laws referring to their house of worship or faith institutions as a "mosque", non-Christians dislike our laws referring to their house of worship or faith institutions as a "church". The etymology of the word church is from old English cirice, circe meaning "place of assemblage set aside for Christian worship; the body of Christian believers, Christians collectively; ecclesiastical authority or power". The extensive use of the Christian word "church", along with occasional clumsy addition of phrases such as "or synagogue", "or other", "or religious denomination", "of any denomination", etc., when actualling referring to either a faith institution or a house of worship in our laws, is simultaneously confusing and snobbish. Secular Maryland thanks Senator Keagan for sponsoring this bill and calls on all members of this General Assembly to promptly enact this long overdue and common sense rewording of our laws. Maybe in the future we can go one step further than this bill and modify our laws so that both religious and secular institutions and citizens are treated more alike and our law avoid repeatedly treating members of clergy, houses of worship, and faith institutions differently from everyone else.

Some churches in particular, and some religious institutions that may not consider themselves to be a church more generally, and also some more secular institutions, promote pernicious ideas. This is equally true under the existing laws as it will be if the laws are modified as proposed by this bill. This is because federal law that applies to the states forbids government from discriminating between Christian and non-Christian religions regardless of the biased wording of state laws. We need to counter pernicious

ideas with counter speech, and by targeting negative actions and serious ongoing threats, not with laws excluding some non-Christian based religions that do not have explicit government approval from first amendment protections for the purpose of legally discriminating against some minority religious institutions and practices that some lawmakers do not approve of.

Make no mistake about this, the Satanic Temple in particular is a good group of law respecting citizens. The Satanic Temple was founded in 2013 as a form of political protest. It emerged in opposition to the George W. Bush administration's White House Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives, aiming to challenge religious favoritism in government policies. The group advocates for the separation of church and state, secularism, and individual liberties through activism and legal challenges. Unlike the Church of Satan, The Satanic Temple is non-theistic and uses Satan as a symbol of rebellion against tyranny and injustice. Its mission includes promoting empathy, bodily autonomy, and scientific reasoning, as outlined in its "Seven Tenets". That this is one of religious institutions being targeted by some critics of this bill illustrates why elected lawmakers should not be trusted to decide which religious institutions are legally protected and which are not in state statutes.

Respectfully, Mathew Goldstein 3838 Early Glow Ln Bowie, MD 20716