

Testimony on HB 1222 - Favorable with Amendments
HB 1222- Public Safety - Immigration Enforcement (Maryland Values Act)
Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee

March 27, 2025

Dear Honorable Chair Smith, and Members of the Committee,

I am submitting **favorable with amendment** testimony in support of **HB 1222- Public Safety - Immigration Enforcement (Maryland Values Act)**

This bill is about upholding Maryland's values—fairness, dignity, and justice for all. The Maryland Values Act affirms that our state should not be in the business of tearing families apart, undermining public trust, or funneling state and local resources into a federal deportation agenda. Instead, Maryland should stand firm in its commitment to community safety, due process, and equal treatment under the law - by ending 287(g).

The 287(g) program has a well-documented history of racial profiling, discrimination, and wrongful detentions. It diverts local law enforcement from their primary duty of protecting public safety, forcing them to act as immigration agents rather than community protectors. This erodes trust, particularly among immigrant communities, making people less likely to report crimes or seek help when needed, such as in domestic violence cases. Ending 287(g) ensures Maryland's resources are used to foster public safety—not help the federal deportation agenda.

It was bad enough when three counties in Maryland had 287g programs. In the last month, that has doubled, which spreads the negative consequences to more communities and to those passing through them. And we know that the current federal administration wants to expand 287g programs, which are problematic as is, to include investigation and interrogation, further diverting our law enforcement personnel from their local duties. Under the earlier 287g programs, we've seen a husband and father deported after a flat tire because of a civil immigration violation.

As part of the Maryland Immigrant Justice Table, along with CASA, I support a clean bill that removes the amendments added to the bill in the Judiciary Committee that require notification and transfer to ICE. The sole purpose of this legislation is to end 287(g) agreements in Maryland, not to create or mandate new forms of collaboration with ICE. Local jurisdictions already have the discretion to engage with ICE if they choose; ending 287(g) agreements does not limit that existing authority. I strongly support a clean bill that aligns with the original intentions of the legislation - to simply terminate 287(g) agreements in Maryland. This is what will bring Maryland closer to our values.

I adamantly urge the committee to provide a favorable report with amendments on HB 1222.

Marianne Follingstad

Rockville, MD