E80E Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards

Operating Budget Data

(\$ in Thousands)

	FY 13 Actual	FY 14 Working	FY 15 Allowance	FY 14-15 <u>Change</u>	% Change Prior Year
General Fund	\$982	\$1,056	\$1,071	\$15	1.4%
Contingent & Back of Bill Reductions	0	-18	-7	10	
Adjusted General Fund	\$982	\$1,039	\$1,064	\$25	2.4%
Special Fund	7	0	0	0	
Adjusted Special Fund	\$7	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Adjusted Grand Total	\$989	\$1,039	\$1,064	\$25	2.4%

• The fiscal 2015 allowance increases by \$25,152 net of contingent and across-the-board reductions.

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.

Personnel Data

	FY 13 Actual	FY 14 Working	FY 15 Allowance	FY 14-15 Change
Regular Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00	0.00
Contractual FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Personnel	9.00	9.00	9.00	0.00
Vacancy Data: Regular Positions				
Turnover and Necessary Vacancies, Exc	luding New			
Positions		0.00	0.00%	
Positions and Percentage Vacant as of 12	2/31/13	1.00	11.11%	

- There are no new positions in the fiscal 2015 allowance.
- Turnover is budgeted at 0.0% in the fiscal 2015 allowance. As of December 31, 2013, there was 1 position vacant, which equates to a vacancy rate of 11.11%.

Analysis in Brief

Major Trends

Carry-forward Caseload Declines: The clearance rate for cases in calendar 2012 was 106%, and the number of cases pending at year-end declined to 7,093, the lowest total since calendar 2009.

Reversals by the Maryland Tax Court Increase: The Maryland Tax Court reversed 13% of the boards' decisions in calendar 2012, up from 10% in calendar 2011.

Recommended Actions

1. Concur with Governor's allowance.

E80E

Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards

Operating Budget Analysis

Program Description

The Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards (PTAAB) hear appeals in matters relating to the assessment of property throughout the State. There is one board in each county and Baltimore City. Each board has four members (three members and one alternate) who are appointed by the Governor for five-year terms. The first appeal of an assessment goes to the State Department of Assessments and Taxation which determines the original assessment. PTAAB are the second level of appeal, with subsequent appeals going to the Maryland Tax Court (MTC). Further appeals may be made through the judicial system.

PTAAB have the following goals:

- to conduct appeals in a timely and efficient manner; and
- to render fair and accurate decisions.

Performance Analysis: Managing for Results

1. Carry-forward Caseload Declines

One of PTAAB's primary goals is to conduct appeals in a timely and efficient fashion. As shown in **Exhibit 1**, PTAAB report that more cases were heard than cases received in calendar 2012, which resulted in a 106% clearance rate. This is the second year with a clearance rate above 100%, and as a result the number of carried forward cases decreased to 7,093, which is the lowest total since calendar 2008. PTAAB are further projecting a continued decline in cases pending at year-end through calendar 2014.

Exhibit 1
Timeliness and Efficiency Measures
Calendar 2007-2014 Est.

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	Est. <u>2013</u>	Est. <u>2014</u>
Appeals Filed	8,963	14,062	19,520	15,231	13,684	12,670	12,500	11,500
Appeals Heard	7,281	8,618	11,971	12,231	14,414	13,446	13,500	13,500
Clearance Rate	81%	61%	61%	80%	105%	106%	108%	117%
Appeals Pending at Year-end	4,000	5,993	10,130	7,242	7,852	7,093	6,093	4,000

Source: Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards

2. Reversals by the Maryland Tax Court Increase

The other primary goal for PTAAB is to render fair and accurate decisions, which the boards determine based upon the percentage of appeals filed annually with MTC and the percentage of board appeals reversed by MTC. As shown in **Exhibit 2**, 10% of the boards' decisions were appealed to MTC in calendar 2012. Of those cases, approximately 13% were reversed by MTC, which is an increase from 10% in calendar 2011. However, PTAAB expect this percentage to return to 10% going forward.

Exhibit 2 Appeal Rates for PTAAB Calendar 2007-2014 Est.

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	Est. <u>2013</u>	Est. <u>2014</u>
Appeals filed with Tax Court	7%	7%	8%	7%	10%	10%	8%	8%
Appeals Reversed by Tax Court	9%	12%	12%	15%	10%	13%	10%	10%

PTAAB: Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards

Source: Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards

Fiscal 2014 Actions

Cost Containment

There are three across-the-board withdrawn appropriations that offset the increase in deficiency appropriations. This includes reductions to employee/retiree health insurance, funding for a new Statewide Personnel information technology (IT) system, and retirement reinvestment. These actions are fully explained in the analyses of the Department of Budget and Management (DBM) – Personnel, the Department of Information Technology (DoIT), and the State Retirement Agency (SRA), respectively.

Proposed Budget

As shown in **Exhibit 3**, the fiscal 2015 allowance for PTAAB increases by \$25,152 above the fiscal 2014 working appropriation net of contingent and across-the-board reductions. Most of the increases are for nonpersonnel-related expenditures.

Exhibit 3 Proposed Budget Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards (\$ in Thousands)

How Much It Grows:	General <u>Fund</u>	<u>Total</u>	
2014 Working Appropriation	\$1,039	\$1,039	
2015 Allowance	<u>1,064</u>	<u>1,064</u>	
Amount Change	\$25	\$25	
Percent Change	2.4%	2.4%	
Where It Goes:			
Personnel Expenses			
Annualized salary increases			\$19
Employee retirement contributions			4
Other fringe benefit adjustments			1
Increments and other compensation			-13
Employee and retiree health insurance			-29
Other Changes			
New vehicles			18
Printer costs			11

E80E - Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards

Where It Goes:

Total	\$25
Travel	6
New computers	8

Note: The fiscal 2014 working appropriation reflects negative deficiencies and contingent reductions. The fiscal 2015 allowance reflects back of the bill and contingent reductions. Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.

Cost Containment

There is one across-the-board reduction and one contingent reduction reflected in the Governor's spending plan for the fiscal 2015 allowance. This affects funding for employee/retiree health insurance and retirement reinvestment. These actions are fully explained in the analyses of the DBM – Personnel and SRA.

Personnel

For personnel-related expenditures, the largest increase is for the annualization of the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) and increments from fiscal 2014, which increase the allowance by \$18,904. However, personnel-related expenses overall decrease by \$17,575, largely due to lower payments for employee and retiree health insurance premiums, which decrease by \$28,639.

Other Changes

Most of the fiscal 2015 allowance increases for PTAAB are for nonpersonnel-related expenses, the largest being \$18,327 for PTAAB to replace one of their vehicles which has reached over 100,000 miles driven. Other increases include \$10,500 for printer, copier, and postage machine leases due for renewal, \$8,300 for new computers to replace aging systems, and \$6,301 for increasing travel costs.

Recommended Actions

Concur with Governor's allowance. 1.

Current and Prior Year Budgets

Current and Prior Year Budgets Property Tax Assessment Appeals Board (\$ in Thousands)

	General <u>Fund</u>	Special <u>Fund</u>	Federal <u>Fund</u>	Reimb. Fund	Total
Fiscal 2013	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Legislative Appropriation	\$979	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$979
Deficiency Appropriation	0	0	0	0	0
Budget Amendments	20	7	0	0	27
Reversions and Cancellations	-16	0	0	0	-16
Actual Expenditures	\$982	\$7	\$0	\$0	\$989
Fiscal 2014					
Legislative Appropriation	\$1,043	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,043
Budget Amendments	14	0	0	0	14
Working Appropriation	\$1,056	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,056

Note: The fiscal 2014 working appropriation does not include deficiencies or contingent reductions. Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.

Fiscal 2013

PTAAB completed fiscal 2013 \$10,363 above the legislative appropriation. Budget amendments added \$19,641 in general funds for unanticipated annual leave payouts and \$51 in general funds for telecommunications costs. A total of \$6,995 in special funds was also added for the COLA from the Budget Restoration Fund. These increases were offset by \$16,324 in reversions, including \$13,677 for an unspent allocation from DoIT and \$2,647 related to the assessed fee for the development of a new Statewide Personnel System, which spent approximately 48% of this major IT project's appropriated budget.

Fiscal 2014

To date, the fiscal 2014 working appropriation is \$13,796 above the legislative appropriation due to budget amendments adding funds for the COLA and increment payments.

Audit Findings

Audit Period for Last Audit:	August 21, 2009 – July 29, 2012
Issue Date:	October 2012
Number of Findings:	0
Number of Repeat Findings:	0
% of Repeat Findings:	0%
Rating: (if applicable)	n/a

The audit did not disclose any findings.

Object/Fund Difference Report Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards

FY 14 Working FY 15 FY 13 FY 14 - FY 15 Percent Object/Fund Actual Appropriation Allowance **Amount Change** Change **Positions** 01 Regular 9.00 9.00 9.00 0.00 0% 9.00 9.00 9.00 **Total Positions** 0.00 0% **Objects** Salaries and Wages \$ 847,708 \$ 904.316 \$ 878,771 -\$ 25,545 -2.8% Technical and Spec. Fees 0 190 190 0 0% 03 Communication 17,926 17,972 18,901 929 5.2% 04 Travel 12,995 9,200 15,501 6,301 68.5% Fuel and Utilities 1,800 1,600 -200 -11.1% 06 0 11,907 Motor Vehicles 12,220 29,197 16,977 138.9% 08 Contractual Services 22,483 28,496 38,005 9,509 33.4% Supplies and Materials 09 5,794 7,204 6,000 -1,204 -16.7% Equipment – Replacement 4,500 12,800 8,300 184.4% 13 Fixed Charges 70,446 70,447 70,277 -170 -0.2%**Total Objects** \$ 989,259 \$ 1,056,345 \$1,071,242 \$ 14,897 1.4% **Funds** 01 General Fund \$ 1,071,242 \$ 14,897 \$ 982,264 \$ 1,056,345 1.4% Special Fund 6,995 0.0% \$1,071,242 **Total Funds** \$ 989,259 \$ 1,056,345 \$ 14,897 1.4%

Note: The fiscal 2014 appropriation does not include deficiencies. The fiscal 2015 allowance does not include contingent reductions.

E80E – Property Tax Assessment Appeals Boards