

MARYLAND REGISTER

## Proposed Action on Regulations

<b>Transmittal Sheet</b>  <b>PROPOSED OR REPROPOSED</b>  <b>Actions on Regulations</b>	<b>Date Filed with AELR Committee</b>	<b>TO BE COMPLETED BY DSD</b>
	02/10/2016	Date Filed with Division of State Documents
		Document Number
		Date of Publication in MD Register

**1. Desired date of publication in Maryland Register: 3/18/2016**

**2. COMAR Codification**

**Title Subtitle Chapter Regulation**

10 44 20 02

**3. Name of Promulgating Authority**

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

**4. Name of Regulations Coordinator Telephone Number**  
Michele Phinney 410-767-5623

**Mailing Address**

201 W. Preston Street

**City State Zip Code**  
Baltimore MD 21201

**Email**  
michele.phinney@maryland.gov

**5. Name of Person to Call About this Document Telephone No.**  
Murray Sherman 410-402-8530

**Email Address**  
murray.sherman@maryland.gov



**Title 10**  
**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE**  
**Subtitle 44 BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS**

**10.44.20 Fees**

Authority: Health Occupations Article, § 4-505, Annotated Code of Maryland

**Notice of Proposed Action**

[]

The Secretary of Health and Mental Hygiene proposes to amend Regulation .02 under COMAR 10.44.20 Fees.

This action was considered by the Board of Dental Examiners at a public meeting held on February 3, 2016 notice of which was given under the Notice of Public Meetings link on the Board's website pursuant to General Provisions Article, §3-302(c), Annotated Code of Maryland.

**Statement of Purpose**

The purpose of this action is to create a fee for a one-time 1-year dental radiation technologist certificate in 2017 for those dental radiation technologists whose certificates end in an even number, resulting in a staggered renewal cycle for all certificate holders.

**Comparison to Federal Standards**

There is no corresponding federal standard to this proposed action.

**Estimate of Economic Impact**

The proposed action has no economic impact.

**Economic Impact on Small Businesses**

The proposed action has minimal or no economic impact on small businesses.

**Impact on Individuals with Disabilities**

The proposed action has no impact on individuals with disabilities.

**Opportunity for Public Comment**

Comments may be sent to Michele Phinney, Director, Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 201 West Preston Street, Room 512, Baltimore, MD 21201, or call 410-767-6499; TTY:800-735-2258, or email to [dhmh.regs@maryland.gov](mailto:dhmh.regs@maryland.gov), or fax to 410-767-6483. Comments will be accepted through April 18, 2016. A public hearing has not been scheduled.

## Economic Impact Statement Part C

- A. Fiscal Year in which regulations will become effective: FY 2016
- B. Does the budget for the fiscal year in which regulations become effective contain funds to implement the regulations?
- C. If 'yes', state whether general, special (exact name), or federal funds will be used:
- D. If 'no', identify the source(s) of funds necessary for implementation of these regulations:
- E. If these regulations have no economic impact under Part A, indicate reason briefly: There will not be an economic impact because the proposed fee, which is a decrease of one-half of the usual fee, will be for a certificate for one year instead of two.
- F. If these regulations have minimal or no economic impact on small businesses under Part B, indicate the reason and attach small business worksheet.
- See E. above.
- G. Small Business Worksheet:

Attached Document:

---

# Title 10

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE

### Subtitle 44 BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS

#### 10.44.20 Fees

Authority: Health Occupations Article, § 4-505, Annotated Code of Maryland

10.44.20.02 (January 19, 2016)

##### .02 Fee Schedule.

The following fees are established by the Board:

A.—G. (text unchanged)

H. Dental radiation technologist certification fee:

(1) (text unchanged)

(2) *For the renewal period ending March 1, 2017, a one-time 1- year certificate for dental radiation technologists whose certificates end in an even number ... \$34;*

[(2)] (3)—[(4)] (5) (text unchanged)

I.—II. (text unchanged)

**VAN T. MITCHELL**

**Secretary of Health and Mental Hygiene**

**FEE JUSTIFICATION FOR  
PROPOSED COMAR 10.44.20**

(1) Explain/justify why an increase or decrease is necessary:

There is a one-time decrease in creating a one-time 1-year dental radiation technologist certificate fee for those dental radiation technologists whose certificates end in an even number to allow the Board to implement a staggered renewal process for all dental radiation technologists. There will not be a true decrease in fees or revenues because the proposed fee, which is a decrease of one-half of the usual fee, will be for a certificate for one year instead of two. Previously, all dental radiation technologists renewed their 2-year certificates in odd numbered years. COMAR 10.44.19 Dental Radiation Technologist was recently amended to create a one-time 1-year dental radiation technologist certificate in 2017 for those dental radiation technologists whose certificate ended in an even number. The result will be that all dental radiation technologists will ultimately be on a staggered renewal period rather than having all dental radiation technologists renew their certificates at the same time biennially.

(2) How much money is needed to operate effectively or to eliminate an operating fund deficit?

N/A.

(3) In what year was the most recent fee increase?

N/A.

(4) Is the fee revenue retained by the Proposing Unit or passed through to a national organization that administers a uniform licensing exam?

N/A.

(5) Describe any measures taken to mitigate the need for increased revenue:

N/A.

(6) Describe any special circumstances that have had an adverse impact on the Proposing Unit's operating expenses.

N/A.

(7) Describe any consideration given by the Proposing Unit as to the hardship a fee increase may have on the regulated profession.

N/A.

(8) Describe any efforts to solicit the opinions of licensees regarding the Proposing Unit's effectiveness and performance.

N/A.

