Unofficial Copy 1996 Regular Session (PRE-FILED)

E2 6lr0647

HB 264/94 - JUD

\_\_\_\_\_

**By: Delegate M. Burns**Requested: October 19, 1995

Introduced and read first time: January 10, 1996

Assigned to: Judiciary

## A BILL ENTITLED

## 1 AN ACT concerning

## 2 Homicide - Prosecution - "Year and a Day" Rule Abolished

- 3 FOR the purpose of abolishing the common-law rule of "year and a day"; allowing a
- 4 prosecution for murder or manslaughter to be instituted regardless of the time
- 5 elapsed between the infliction of the fatal injury and the death of the victim;
- 6 requiring the State to prove, by a certain standard, that the death of the victim
- 7 resulted from the injury inflicted by the accused; and generally relating to the
- 8 prosecution of homicides.

## 9 BY adding to

- 10 Article 27 Crimes and Punishments
- 11 Section 415 to be under the new subheading "Homicide Prosecution"
- 12 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 13 (1992 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement)
- 14 Preamble
- WHEREAS, Under the current law, the common-law rule of "year and a day" bars
- 16 prosecution for murder or manslaughter unless the victim dies within a year and day from
- 17 the time the fatal injury was inflicted; and
- 18 WHEREAS, The conception of the "year and a day" rule may be traced back to
- 19 medieval times when the deficiencies of medical science often made it extremely difficult
- 20 to prove the cause of death whenever a considerable lapse of time intervened between the
- 21 mortal act or omission and the death itself; and
- WHEREAS, This 700 year old common-law rule is now outdated, and in light of
- 23 medical advances in lifesaving techniques and the improvements in forensics technology,
- 24 there is no sound reason for retaining the rule today; and
- 25 WHEREAS, The State of Maryland is one of only three remaining jurisdictions in
- 26 the United States that has not yet abolished the rule and of these remaining jurisdictions,
- 27 is the only one that has not yet addressed the common-law rule of "yearand a day" by
- 28 statute; and

- 1 WHEREAS, The Court of Appeals of Maryland held in State v. Minster, 302 Md.
- 2 240 (1985), and State v. Brown, 21 Md. App. 91 (1974), that any change to the common
- 3 law "year and a day" rule should be made by the General Assembly; now, therefore,
- 4 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 5 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 6 Article 27 Crimes and Punishments
- 7 HOMICIDE PROSECUTION
- 8 415.
- 9 (A) A PROSECUTION FOR MURDER OR MANSLAUGHTER, WHETHER AT
- 10 COMMON LAW OR UNDER ARTICLE 27, §§ 407 THROUGH 411, § 387, § 388, OR § 388A,
- 11 MAY BE INSTITUTED REGARDLESS OF THE TIME ELAPSED BETWEEN THE
- 12 INFLICTION OF THE FATAL INJURY AND THE DEATH OF THE VICTIM.
- 13 (B) IN ANY PROSECUTION FOR MURDER OR MANSLAUGHTER, AS DESCRIBED
- 14 IN SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION, THE STATE MUST PROVE BEYOND A
- 15 REASONABLE DOUBT THAT THE DEATH OF THE VICTIM WAS A DIRECT RESULT OF
- 16 THE INJURY INFLICTED BY THE ACCUSED.
- 17 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED. That this Act shall be construed
- 18 only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have any effect on or
- 19 application to any offenses that were committed before the effective date of this Act.
- 20 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 21 October 1, 1996.