Unofficial Copy D5 1996 Regular Session 6lr0220

By: Delegates Grosfeld, Conroy, Healey, Cummings, Valderrama, Howard, Hixson, Kopp, Heller, R. Baker, Pendergrass, Hecht, Cadden, Hurson, Workman, Petzold, Franchot, Cryor, Frush, Goldwater, Pitkin, Menes, Bobo, Parker, Snodgrass, Mandel, Brinkley, Turner, T. Murphy, Hutchins, Hubbard, Stup, Shriver, Faulkner, Ciliberti, Mossburg, McIntosh, Genn, Jacobs, Dypski, and Marriott

Introduced and read first time: January 25, 1996

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Domestic Violence - Enforcement of Out-of-State Protection Orders

- 3 FOR the purpose of requiring that certain protection orders issued by courts of other
- 4 states or Indian tribes be accorded full faith and credit by a court of this State and
- 5 enforced as orders of this State under certain circumstances; providing certain
- 6 exceptions; defining a certain term; requiring a law enforcement officer to arrest
- and take into custody a person whom the officer has probable cause to believe is in
- 8 violation of a protection order issued by a court of another state or an Indian tribe;
- 9 and generally relating to enforcement of protection orders issued bycourts of other
- 10 states and Indian tribes.
- 11 BY adding to
- 12 Article Family Law
- 13 Section 4-508.1
- 14 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 15 (1991 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement)
- 16 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 17 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

18 4-508.1

- 19 (A) (1) IN THIS SECTION, "PROTECTION ORDER" MEANS AN INJUNCTION OR
- 20 OTHER ORDER ISSUED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREVENTING VIOLENT OR
- 21 THREATENING ACTS OR HARASSMENT AGAINST, CONTACT OR COMMUNICATION
- 22 WITH, OR PHYSICAL PROXIMITY TO ANOTHER PERSON.
- 23 (2) "PROTECTION ORDER" INCLUDES A TEMPORARY OR FINAL ORDER:
- 24 (I) ISSUED BY:
- 25 1. A CIVIL COURT IN RESPONSE TO A COMPLAINT, PETITION,
- 26 OR MOTION FILED BY OR ON BEHALF OF A PERSON SEEKING PROTECTION; OR

2

3 PENDENTE LITE ORDER IN ANOTHER PROCEEDING. 4 (3) "PROTECTION ORDER" DOES NOT INCLUDE A SUPPORT OR CHILD SCIPPORT OR CHILD SUPPORT OR CHILD SCIPPORT OR CH		
3 PENDENTE LITE ORDER IN ANOTHER PROCEEDING. 4 (3) "PROTECTION ORDER" DOES NOT INCLUDE A SUPPORT OR CHILD SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION, A TO THE SECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE OR AN INDIAN TO SHALL BE ACCORDED FULL FAITH AND CREDIT BY A COURT OF THIS STATE AN ENFORCED AS IF IT WERE AN ORDER OF THIS STATE IF: 10 (1) THE COURT THAT ISSUED THE ORDER HAD JURISDICTION OVE THE PARTIES AND SUBJECT MATTER UNDER THE LAW OF THAT STATE OR INDICATED TRIBE; AND 13 (2) REASONABLE NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD WAS A TO THE PERSON AGAINST WHOM THE ORDER WAS SOUGHT SUFFICIENT TO TO PROTECT THAT PERSON'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS. 16 (C) A PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A STATE OR TRIBAL COURT AGAIN PERSON WHO HAS PETITIONED, FILED A COMPLAINT, OR OTHERWISE FILED A WRITTEN PLEADING FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FURTHER THE PARTIES OF THE PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR 20 (1) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION, COMPLAINT, OR OTHER WRITTEN PLEADING SEEKING A PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR	1	2. A CRIMINAL COURT; AND
5 CUSTODY ORDER. 6 (B) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION, A 7 PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE OR AN INDIAN TI 8 SHALL BE ACCORDED FULL FAITH AND CREDIT BY A COURT OF THIS STATE AN 9 ENFORCED AS IF IT WERE AN ORDER OF THIS STATE IF: 10 (1) THE COURT THAT ISSUED THE ORDER HAD JURISDICTION OVE 11 THE PARTIES AND SUBJECT MATTER UNDER THE LAW OF THAT STATE OR INDI 12 TRIBE; AND 13 (2) REASONABLE NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD WAS 14 TO THE PERSON AGAINST WHOM THE ORDER WAS SOUGHT SUFFICIENT TO 15 PROTECT THAT PERSON'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS. 16 (C) A PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A STATE OR TRIBAL COURT AGAIN 17 PERSON WHO HAS PETITIONED, FILED A COMPLAINT, OR OTHERWISE FILED A 18 WRITTEN PLEADING FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FOR THE PAITH AND CREDIT IF: 20 (1) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION, COMPLAINT, OR OTHER WRITT 21 PLEADING SEEKING A PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR		(II) OBTAINED BY FILING AN INDEPENDENT ACTION OR AS A PENDENTE LITE ORDER IN ANOTHER PROCEEDING.
7 PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE OR AN INDIAN TI 8 SHALL BE ACCORDED FULL FAITH AND CREDIT BY A COURT OF THIS STATE AN 9 ENFORCED AS IF IT WERE AN ORDER OF THIS STATE IF: 10 (1) THE COURT THAT ISSUED THE ORDER HAD JURISDICTION OVE 11 THE PARTIES AND SUBJECT MATTER UNDER THE LAW OF THAT STATE OR INDI 12 TRIBE; AND 13 (2) REASONABLE NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD WAS OF THAT PERSON AGAINST WHOM THE ORDER WAS SOUGHT SUFFICIENT TO 15 PROTECT THAT PERSON'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS. 16 (C) A PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A STATE OR TRIBAL COURT AGAIN 17 PERSON WHO HAS PETITIONED, FILED A COMPLAINT, OR OTHERWISE FILED A 18 WRITTEN PLEADING FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FOR PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR 20 (1) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION, COMPLAINT, OR OTHER WRITE 21 PLEADING SEEKING A PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR		(3) "PROTECTION ORDER" DOES NOT INCLUDE A SUPPORT OR CHILD CUSTODY ORDER.
11 THE PARTIES AND SUBJECT MATTER UNDER THE LAW OF THAT STATE OR INDI- 12 TRIBE; AND 13 (2) REASONABLE NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD WAS OF THE PERSON AGAINST WHOM THE ORDER WAS SOUGHT SUFFICIENT TO 15 PROTECT THAT PERSON'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS. 16 (C) A PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A STATE OR TRIBAL COURT AGAIN 17 PERSON WHO HAS PETITIONED, FILED A COMPLAINT, OR OTHERWISE FILED A 18 WRITTEN PLEADING FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FUR FAITH AND CREDIT IF: 20 (1) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION, COMPLAINT, OR OTHER WRITE 19 PLEADING SEEKING A PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR 22 (2) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION WAS FILED AND THE COURT IN 18 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION OR THE PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION WAS FILED AND THE COURT IN 18 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION WAS FILED AND THE COURT IN 18 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION WAS FILED AND THE COURT IN 18 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION OR PROTECTION WAS FILED AND THE COURT IN 18 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION OR	7	PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE OR AN INDIAN TRIBE SHALL BE ACCORDED FULL FAITH AND CREDIT BY A COURT OF THIS STATE AND
14 TO THE PERSON AGAINST WHOM THE ORDER WAS SOUGHT SUFFICIENT TO 15 PROTECT THAT PERSON'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS. 16 (C) A PROTECTION ORDER ISSUED BY A STATE OR TRIBAL COURT AGAIN 17 PERSON WHO HAS PETITIONED, FILED A COMPLAINT, OR OTHERWISE FILED A 18 WRITTEN PLEADING FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FOR 19 FAITH AND CREDIT IF: 20 (1) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION, COMPLAINT, OR OTHER WRITH 21 PLEADING SEEKING A PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR 22 (2) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION WAS FILED AND THE COURT IN 23 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION	11	THE PARTIES AND SUBJECT MATTER UNDER THE LAW OF THAT STATE OR INDIAN
17 PERSON WHO HAS PETITIONED, FILED A COMPLAINT, OR OTHERWISE FILED A 18 WRITTEN PLEADING FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FU 19 FAITH AND CREDIT IF: 20 (1) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION, COMPLAINT, OR OTHER WRIT 21 PLEADING SEEKING A PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR 22 (2) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION WAS FILED AND THE COURT I 23 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION	14	TO THE PERSON AGAINST WHOM THE ORDER WAS SOUGHT SUFFICIENT TO
21 PLEADING SEEKING A PROTECTION ORDER WAS NOT FILED; OR 22 (2) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION WAS FILED AND THE COURT I 23 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTEC	17 18	PERSON WHO HAS PETITIONED, FILED A COMPLAINT, OR OTHERWISE FILED A WRITTEN PLEADING FOR PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE IS NOT ENTITLED TO FULL
23 NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTE		(-)
24 ORDER.	23	(2) A CROSS OR COUNTER PETITION WAS FILED AND THE COURT DID NOT MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS THAT EACH PARTY WAS ENTITLED TO A PROTECTION ORDER.

- 25 (D) A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER SHALL ARREST WITH OR WITHOUT A
- 26 WARRANT AND TAKE INTO CUSTODY A PERSON WHOM THE OFFICER HAS
- 27 PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE IS IN VIOLATION OF A PROTECTION ORDER THAT
- 28 WAS ISSUED BY A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE OR AN INDIAN TRIBE AND IS IN
- 29 EFFECT AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION.
- 30 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 31 October 1, 1996.