## HOUSE BILL 472

Unofficial Copy R1 1996 Regular Session 6lr1766

## **By: Delegate Getty**

Introduced and read first time: January 31, 1996 Assigned to: Ways and Means Reassigned: Appropriations, February 6, 1996

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted Read second time: March 23, 1996

CHAPTER \_\_\_\_

1 AN ACT concerning

## 2 Transportation - Privatization of Public Bus Transportation - Study and Report

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the Department of Transportation to study the feasibility

- 4 of privatizing public bus transportation services and to report its findings and
- 5 recommendations to the General Assembly by a certain date; requiring the
- 6 Department to study and report on certain matters; providing for the effective date
- 7 of this Act; providing for the abrogation of this Act; and generallyrelating to the
- 8 privatization of public bus transportation.

9 BY adding to

- 10 Article Transportation
- 11 Section 7-102.2
- 12 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 13 (1993 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement)
- 14 Preamble

15 WHEREAS, Public transportation services are provided to assist the

- 16 transit-dependent and the poor, to provide travel options for all, to relieve congestion,
- 17 and to minimize automobile pollution; and

18 WHEREAS, Protection of both the public transit riders and taxpayers requires that19 public transportation service be provided at the lowest possible cost consistent with

- 20 service quality and safety standards; and
- 21 WHEREAS, Private transportation providers have been used under competitive
- 22 contracts to provide public transportation services at lower costs and with lower annual
- 23 cost increases; and

1 WHEREAS, Decisions on whether a public transportation service shouldbe 2 operated by a public agency or a private company should be made on economic and 3 service quality considerations rather than institutional considerations; and

4 WHEREAS, Obtaining cost effective public transportation services requires a 5 competitive environment and a mechanism for competitive contracting of such services; 6 and

7 WHEREAS, The public trust and public convenience may be better served by
8 placing public bus transportation into the competitive marketplace of our free enterprise
9 system; now, therefore,

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OFMARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

12 Article - Transportation

13 7-102.2.

14 (A) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL EXAMINE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS15 REGARDING THE PRIVATIZATION OF PUBLIC BUS TRANSPORTATION SERVICES.

16 (B) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL EXAMINE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS17 WITH REGARD TO:

18 (1) THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF PRIVATIZATION INCLUDING:

(I) THE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS OF CHANGING OVER TO PRIVATE
 TRANSPORTATION PROVIDERS AND THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH OVERSIGHT OF A
 PRIVATE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM;

(II) THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF PRIVATIZATION ON FEDERALFUNDING OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS; AND

24 (III) THE POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS RESULTING FROM FISCAL
25 IMPACT OF PRIVATIZATION OVER THE SHORT AND LONG TERM;

26 (2) THE IMPACT OF PRIVATIZATION ON THE QUALITY OF PASSENGER27 SERVICES;

(3) THE AVAILABILITY OF EXISTING OR POTENTIAL PRIVATE
TRANSPORTATION PROVIDERS CAPABLE OF DELIVERING THE REQUIRED
TRANSPORTATION SERVICES;

## 31 (4) THE IMPACT OF PRIVATIZATION ON PUBLIC SAFETY; AND

32 (5) THE ADMINISTRATIVE FEASIBILITY OF OVERSEEING AND33 MAINTAINING A PRIVATE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.

34 (C) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REPORT ITS FINDINGS AND
35 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 2-1312
36 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE BY JANUARY 1, 1997.

37 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect38 June 1, 1996. It shall remain effective until January 1, 1997, and, with no further action

2

- 1 required by the General Assembly, this Act shall be abrogated and of nofurther force
- 2 and effect.