Unofficial Copy R5 HB 183/95 - CGM 1996 Regular Session 6lr1321

By: Delegates Workman, Bobo, Hecht, Conroy, Kagan, Turner, Kach, Clagett, Mandel, Donoghue, Goldwater, and Kopp Introduced and read first time: January 31, 1996 Assigned to: Commerce and Government Matters

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Vehicle Laws - Use of Headlamps

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the driver of a vehicle to light the vehicle's headlamps or

- 4 parking lights or fog lights when the vehicle's windshield wipers are being operated
- 5 under certain conditions; specifying that a violation of this Act isnot a moving
- 6 violation for certain purposes; providing that if a person is convicted of violating this
- 7 Act the conviction may not be considered evidence of negligence or contributory
- 8 negligence, limit liability of a party or insurer, or diminish recovery for damages
- 9 arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or operation of a motor vehicle;
- 10 establishing a certain penalty; permitting the enforcement of this Act only as a
- 11 secondary violation; and generally relating to a requirement that headlamps or
- 12 parking lights or fog lights be lighted at certain times.
- 13 BY adding to
- 14 Article Transportation
- 15 Section 22-201.2
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 17 (1992 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement)

18 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF

- 19 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 20 Article Transportation

21 22-201.2.

(A) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS SUBTITLE, IF A
DRIVER OF A VEHICLE ON A HIGHWAY OPERATES THE VEHICLE'S WINDSHIELD
WIPERS FOR A CONTINUOUS PERIOD OF TIME BECAUSE OF IMPAIRED VISIBILITY
RESULTING FROM UNFAVORABLE ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS, THE DRIVER SHALL
LIGHT THE VEHICLE'S HEADLAMPS OR PARKING LIGHTS OR FOG LIGHTS.

27 (B) A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION IS NOT CONSIDERED A MOVING28 VIOLATION FOR PURPOSES OF § 16-402 OF THIS ARTICLE.

29 (C) (1) IF A PERSON IS CONVICTED UNDER THIS SECTION, THE CONVICTION30 MAY NOT:

1 (I) BE CONSIDERED EVIDENCE OF NEGLIGENCE;

2

2 (II) BE CONSIDERED EVIDENCE OF CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE;

3 (III) LIMIT LIABILITY OF A PARTY OR AN INSURER; OR

4 (IV) DIMINISH RECOVERY FOR DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THE 5 OWNERSHIP, MAINTENANCE, OR OPERATION OF A MOTOR VEHICLE.

6 (2) SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS
7 SUBSECTION, A PARTY, WITNESS, OR COUNSEL MAY NOT MAKE REFERENCE TO A
8 VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION.

9 (3) NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS SUBSECTION MAY BE CONSTRUED TO
10 PROHIBIT THE RIGHT OF A PERSON TO INSTITUTE A CIVIL ACTION FOR DAMAGES
11 AGAINST A DEALER, MANUFACTURER, DISTRIBUTOR, FACTORY BRANCH, OR
12 OTHER APPROPRIATE ENTITY ARISING OUT OF AN INCIDENT THAT INVOLVES A
13 DEFECTIVELY INSTALLED OR DEFECTIVELY OPERATING HEADLAMP, PARKING
14 LIGHT OR FOG LIGHT.

15 (D) A PERSON WHO IS CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (A) OF 16 THIS SECTION IS SUBJECT TO A FINE NOT TO EXCEED \$25.

(E) A POLICE OFFICER MAY ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION
 ONLY AS A SECONDARY ACTION WHEN THE POLICE OFFICER DETAINS A DRIVER OF
 A MOTOR VEHICLE FOR A SUSPECTED VIOLATION OF ANOTHER PROVISION OF THE
 CODE.

21 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 22 October 1, 1996.