Unofficial Copy R7 1996 Regular Session 6lr2250

By: Delegates Kach, McClenahan, Wood, Arnick, Crumlin, Donoghue, Boston, V. Mitchell, Bozman, W. Baker, Mossburg, Minnick, Walkup, La Vay, Love, Eckardt, Rudolph, Kittleman, Kirk, Harrison, Krysiak, Kelly, and Schade

Introduced and read first time: February 2, 1996

Assigned to: Economic Matters

A BILL ENTITLED

| | concerning |
|--|------------|
| | |

2 Vehicle Laws - Uninsured Motorists - Waiver of Right to Noneconomic Damages

| 3 | FOR the purpose of establishing that an individual driving a motor vehicle that is not |
|----|--|
| 4 | covered by insurance is considered to have waived the right to recover noneconomic |
| 5 | loss damages under certain circumstances; exempting certain individuals from the |
| 6 | waiver under certain circumstances; creating a rebuttable presumption that an |
| 7 | individual knows that the motor vehicle is not covered by insurance under certain |
| 8 | circumstances; prohibiting an individual who is considered to have waived the right |
| 9 | to noneconomic loss damages from presenting certain evidence to the trier of fact; |
| 10 | prohibiting any party from informing the trier of fact of the waiverand the effect the |
| 11 | waiver may have on the individual's recovery; making stylistic changes; making |
| 12 | technical changes; and generally relating to operating a motor vehicle that does not |
| 13 | have insurance coverage. |
| | |

- 14 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 15 Article Transportation
- 16 Section 17-107
- 17 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 18 (1992 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement)
- 19 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 20 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

21 Article - Transportation

- 22 17-107.
- 23 (a) [A person] AN INDIVIDUAL who knows or has reason to know that a motor 24 vehicle is not covered by the required security may not:
- 25 (1) Drive the vehicle; or
- 26 (2) If [he] THE INDIVIDUAL is an owner of the vehicle, knowingly permit 27 another [person] INDIVIDUAL to drive it.

| 3 4 | (b) (1) In [any] A prosecution under subsection (a) of this section the introduction of the official records of the [Motor Vehicle] Administration showing the absence of a record that the vehicle is covered by the security required under § 17-104 of this subtitle shall be prima facie evidence that [a person] AN INDIVIDUAL knows or has reason to know that a motor vehicle is not covered by the required security. |
|----------------|---|
| | (2) The introduction of evidence of the records of the Administration may not limit the introduction of other evidence bearing upon whether the vehicle was covered by the required security. |
| | (c) An owner or lessee of [any] A motor vehicle registered under Title 13 of this article may not raise the defense of sovereign or governmental immunityas described under § 5-399.4 of the Courts [and Judicial Proceedings] Article. |
| 14 15 16 | (D) (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS INJURED IN A VEHICULAR ACCIDENT WHILE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE IN VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION IS CONSIDERED TO HAVE WAIVED THE RIGHT TO RECOVER FOR NONECONOMIC LOSS IN AN ACTION AGAINST A PERSON OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE THAT IS COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY. |
| 18 | (2) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO: |
| | (I) AN INDIVIDUAL WHO DOES NOT KNOW OR HAVE REASON TO KNOW THAT THE MOTOR VEHICLE IS NOT COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY; OR |
| 22 23 | (II) AN INDIVIDUAL WHOSE INJURIES ARE CAUSED BY AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS: |
| 24 25 | 1. OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE IN VIOLATION OF \S 21-902 OF THIS ARTICLE; |
| 26 27 | 2. CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 27, \S 388A OF THE CODE IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACCIDENT; OR |
| 28 29 | 3. CONVICTED OF VEHICULAR ASSAULT IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACCIDENT. |
| 32 33 | (3) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SUBSECTION, THERE IS A REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION THAT AN INDIVIDUAL KNOWS OR HAS REASON TO KNOW THAT A MOTOR VEHICLE IS NOT COVERED BY THE REQUIRED SECURITY IF THE SECURITY PREVIOUSLY IN EFFECT HAD LAPSED, TERMINATED, OR WAS INEFFECTIVE FOR A PERIOD OF AT LEAST 30 DAYS BEFORE THE ACCIDENT. |
| | (4) (I) A PERSON CONSIDERED UNDER THIS SUBSECTION TO HAVE WAIVED THE RIGHT TO RECOVER NONECONOMIC LOSSES MAY NOT PRESENT EVIDENCE OF NONECONOMIC LOSSES TO THE TRIER OF FACT; AND |
| | (II) THE TRIER OF FACT MAY NOT BE INFORMED OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE WAIVER OR ITS EFFECT ON THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE INDIVIDUAL'S RECOVERY. |

3

1 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect

2 October 1, 1996.