HOUSE BILL 1368

1996 Regular Session 6lr2243

Unofficial Copy D4 HB 686/95 - JUD

By: Delegate Montague

Introduced and read first time: February 23, 1996

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Family Law - Shared Parenting

- 3 FOR the purpose of establishing that, in a contested child custody proceeding, a court
- 4 may determine a certain parenting arrangement; specifying the court's authority in
- 5 determining a parenting arrangement for a child; establishing certain factors a court
- 6 may consider to determine a certain parenting arrangement; providing that shared
- 7 custody shall be construed in a certain manner; providing that the provisions of this
- 8 Act are not intended to establish a presumption in favor of sole custody, traditional 9
- joint custody, or shared parenting joint custody in appropriate cases; providing that
- 10 a court shall allow a parent to participate at least to some degree in certain decision
- making unless the court makes certain findings; defining certain terms; declaring 11
- 12 the legislative intent and purpose of this Act; providing for the prospective
- application of this Act; clarifying the application of certain terms; and generally 13
- 14 relating to parenting arrangements in contested child custody proceedings.
- 15 BY adding to
- Article Family Law 16
- 17 Section 9-501 through 9-504, inclusive, to be under the new subtitle "Subtitle 5.
- 18 Shared Parenting"
- 19 Annotated Code of Maryland
- (1991 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement) 20
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 21
- 22 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 23 **Article - Family Law**
- 24 SUBTITLE 5. SHARED PARENTING.
- 25 9-501.
- (A) IN THIS SUBTITLE THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 26
- 27 INDICATED.
- (B) "ACCESS" MEANS RESIDENTIAL CONTACT, INCLUDING TELEPHONE 28
- 29 CONTACT.

- (C) "SHARED PARENTING" MEANS A PART OR PARTS OF ANY AGREEMENT, 2 COURT ORDER, OR DECREE THAT DEFINES AND DELINEATES EACH PARENT'S 3 LEGAL RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES FOR A MINOR CHILD FOR PROVIDING 4 SHELTER, CARE, NURTURING, DECISION MAKING, AND ACCESS. 5 (D) "RESIDENTIAL CONTACT" MEANS THE RIGHT AND OBLIGATION TO 6 PROVIDE A HOME AND TO MAKE ROUTINE PARENTING DECISIONS CONCERNING 7 SHELTER, CARE, AND NURTURING FOR A MINOR CHILD. 8 9-502. 9 (A) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY DECLARES THAT: 10 (1) THE BEST INTEREST OF CHILDREN IS SERVED IF BOTH PARENTS ARE 11 ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THEIR LIVES, EVEN IF THE PARENTS ARE SEPARATED OR 12 DIVORCED; (2) DECISIONS MADE IN AN ADVERSARIAL CUSTODY OR VISITATION 13 14 PROCEEDING ARE NOT ALWAYS CONSISTENT WITH THAT BEST INTEREST; (3) AN AWARD OF CUSTODY OR VISITATION TO ONE PARENT OFTEN 15 16 INTENSIFIES CONFLICTS BETWEEN PARENTS BECAUSE OF THE PERCEPTION THAT 17 ONE PARENT HAS EITHER "WON" OR "LOST" THEIR CHILD; 18 (4) SOLE OR JOINT CUSTODY DOES NOT ALWAYS PROVIDE THE 19 LATITUDE TO FACILITATE SHARED PARENTING AND SPECIFICALLY MATCH THE 20 SKILLS AND INTERESTS OF A PARENT WITH THE CORRESPONDING NEEDS OF A 21 CHILD: 22. (5) PARENTS MAY NOT BE ABLE TO AGREE TO TRADITIONAL JOINT 23 CUSTODY IN WHICH BOTH PARENTS MUST AGREE ON DECISIONS FOR THE CHILD 24 AND YET THE CHILD NEEDS BOTH PARENTS TO RETAIN SOME DECISION MAKING 25 AUTHORITY: (6) NO CARING AND CAPABLE PARENT SHOULD BE INTERPRETED AS 26 27 "VISITING" THE PARENT'S CHILD SINCE VISITATION IS OFTEN INTERPRETED AS 28 DIMINISHED RESPONSIBILITY AND "SECOND CLASS" STATUS AS IT RELATES TO A 29 CHILD AND OFTEN RESULTS IN ABANDONMENT, NEGLECT, AND NONSUPPORT OF A 30 CHILD BY THE PARENT WITH ONLY "VISITATION" RIGHTS. 31 (B) THE PURPOSES OF THIS SUBTITLE ARE TO: (1) MAXIMIZE CONTINUED CONTACT WITH AND RESPONSIBILITY OF 32. 33 EACH PARENT IN RAISING CHILDREN AFTER SEPARATION OR DIVORCE: 34 (2) IN APPROPRIATE CASES, ALLOW A COURT TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF 35 EACH PARENT'S RESOURCES, SKILLS, AND INTERESTS IN ORDER TO BEST PROVIDE 36 FOR A CHILD'S NEEDS AND CUSTOMIZE A PARENTING ARRANGEMENT TO ALLOW 37 EACH PARENT TO PARTICIPATE IN RAISING A CHILD AFTER SEPARATION OR 38 DIVORCE; AND
- 39 (3) PROVIDE AN OPTIONAL APPLICATION OF TRADITIONAL JOINT 40 CUSTODY THROUGH WHICH, EVEN THOUGH THE PARENTS DO NOT AGREE TO JOINT

HOUSE BILL 1368
1 DECISION MAKING, EACH PARENT CAN BE ASSIGNED CERTAIN DECISION MAKING 2 RESPONSIBILITY WHEN A COURT STRUCTURES AN ORDER IN A CONTESTED 3 CUSTODY PROCEEDING.
4 9-503.
5 (A) (1) IN CONTESTED CUSTODY PROCEEDINGS, A COURT MAY DETERMINE 6 A SHARED PARENTING ARRANGEMENT FOR EACH CHILD.
7 (2) IN DETERMINING A SHARED PARENTING ARRANGEMENT, THE 8 COURT MAY DECIDE:
9 (I) THE CHILD'S RESIDENTIAL CONTACT, INCLUDING SPECIFIC 10 DAYS OR AMOUNT OF TIME A CHILD WILL SPEND WITH EACH PARENT, THE USE AND 11 POSSESSION OF THE FAMILY HOME AND PROPERTY, AND THE TIMES AND 12 CIRCUMSTANCES OF COMMUNICATION BETWEEN EACH PARENT AND CHILD;
(II) EACH PARENT'S SHARED OR INDIVIDUAL PARENTAL 14 RESPONSIBILITY, INCLUDING DECISIONS REGARDING MEDICAL OR MENTAL 15 HEALTH CARE, EDUCATION, RELIGIOUS TRAINING, DISCIPLINE, SPORTS, 16 EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES, AND THE CULTURAL AND RECREATIONAL NEEDS 17 OF THE CHILD;
18 (III) THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF EACH PARENT FOR THE 19 TRANSPORTATION NEEDS OF A CHILD;
20 (IV) THE AMOUNT AND CONDITIONS OF CHILD SUPPORT FROM 21 EACH PARENT PURSUANT TO LAW; AND
22 (V) WHETHER, AND TO WHAT EXTENT, EACH PARENT'S DECISION 23 MAKING AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO EACH SPECIFIC ASPECT OF THE CHILD'S 24 NEEDS OVERLAPS WITH THE OTHER PARENT'S DECISION MAKING AUTHORITY.
25 (B) IN DETERMINING A SHARED PARENTING ARRANGEMENT, THE COURT 26 MAY CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FACTORS:
27 (1) THE PRESENT AND FUTURE NEEDS OF THE CHILD;
28 (2) FACH PARENT'S PROPOSED PARENTAL PLAN-

(3) EACH PARENT'S HISTORY OF CARING FOR THE NEEDS OF THE

(5) THE FITNESS, CHARACTER, AND REPUTATION OF A PARENT

(7) THE AGE, BACKGROUND, AND SEX OF A CHILD;

32 IMPLEMENT DECISIONS CONCERNING THE NEEDS OF THE CHILD;

34 INCLUDING HISTORY OF ABUSE AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE;

(4) THE ABILITY OF EACH PARENT TO DETERMINE, INVESTIGATE, AND

(6) THE POTENTIALITY OF MAINTAINING POSITIVE AND COOPERATIVE

29

33

37

30 CHILD;

36 FAMILY RELATIONS;

- 1 (8) THE EXTENT TO WHICH A PARENT PARTICIPATED IN PARENTING 2 EDUCATION PROGRAMS;
- 3 (9) THE DESIRE OF THE PARENTS AND ANY PARENTAL AGREEMENT 4 BETWEEN THEM; AND
- 5 (10) THE PREFERENCE OF A CHILD IF THE CHILD IS OF SUFFICIENT AGE 6 AND CAPACITY TO FORM A RATIONAL JUDGMENT.
- 7 (C) SHARED CUSTODY SHALL BE CONSTRUED AS AN OPTIONAL APPLICATION 8 OF TRADITIONAL JOINT CUSTODY WITH REGARD TO OTHER LAWS AND CASES
- 9 REQUIRING THE APPLICATION OF THE WORD "CUSTODY".
- 10 (D) NOTHING IN THIS SECTION IS INTENDED TO ESTABLISH A PRESUMPTION
- $11\,$ IN FAVOR OF SOLE CUSTODY, TRADITIONAL JOINT CUSTODY, OR SHARED
- 12 PARENTING JOINT CUSTODY.
- 13 (E) THE COURT SHALL PROVIDE THAT EACH PARENT PARTICIPATE IN SOME
- 14 DEGREE IN DECISION MAKING IN AT LEAST SOME AREA OF THE CHILD'S LIFE.
- 15 UNLESS THE COURT FINDS THAT THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD IS NOT SERVED
- 16 BY SUCH PARTICIPATION.

17 9-504.

- 18 THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO ALTER THE
- 19 PROVISIONS, CONSIDERATIONS, AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE TERMS "CUSTODY"
- 20 AND "VISITATION" AS DEFINED AND INTERPRETED IN THE CODE AND CASE LAW.
- 21 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be construed
- 22 only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have any effect on or
- 23 application to any cause of action arising before the effective date of this Act.
- 24 SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 25 October 1, 1996.