Unofficial Copy L1 1996 Regular Session 6lr2984

By: Delegate Curran

Introduced and read first time: February 29, 1996 Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

1 A House Joint Resolution concerning

2 Governing Local Governments Into the 21st Century

- 3 FOR the purpose of informing county and municipal governments in Maryland about the
- 4 concept of performance-based governance with its reliance on performance
- 5 measuring for purposes of guiding their practices and policies through the fiscal
- 6 uncertainties of the 1990's and strengthening public accountability.
- 7 WHEREAS, Implications attendant to the economic uncertainty of tomorrow
- 8 necessitate a commitment of preparedness on the part of county and municipal
- 9 governments to successfully meet the challenges of the 21st Century; and
- WHEREAS, Sluggish economic growth, reduced federal and State financial
- 11 assistance, increased demands for services and public intolerance for additional taxes and
- 12 fees underscore the importance and timeliness of further enhancing governmental
- 13 efficiency and effectiveness, responsiveness, and accountability in theeyes of the public;
- 14 and
- 15 WHEREAS, Emerging as a common denominator throughout the country is a shift
- 16 towards a new approach of governing a functional transformation mirroring the private
- 17 sector and it is called, performance-based governance with its reliance on performance
- 18 measuring; and
- 19 WHEREAS, Performance-based governance enlightens the public to what
- 20 government is doing with their tax dollars by (1) identifying a preferred vision for the
- 21 future; (2) formulating goals to achieve the vision which are accomplished via realization
- 22 of attendant objectives; (3) utilizing quantifiable performance measures (benchmarks) to
- 23 gauge, monitor and report progress through the year 2000 and beyond; and (4) structuring
- 24 policies and resource allocation decisions that are responsive to and consistent with the
- 25 vision, goals and objectives; and
- 26 WHEREAS, Performance-based governance represents a fundamental departure
- 27 from typical governance because it is predicated upon an outcome oriented management
- 28 strategy; that is, the articulation of quantifiable data useful in assessing not only how a
- 29 government entity utilized its resources, but also what its citizens are receiving for the use
- 30 of public funds and how efficiently and effectively those funds are being used; and
- WHEREAS, Harford County held a symposium on September 28, 1995 for the
- 32 purpose of encouraging jurisdictional benchmarking so proven strategies of improving

2

- 1 operational efficiency and effectiveness could be shared with one another in the spirit of
- 2 a progressive local government partnership for the future; and
- WHEREAS, The State's Legislative Auditor (Office of Legislative Audits,
- 4 Department of Fiscal Services) recommended in a special report to the Joint Budget and
- 5 Audit Committee on May 9, 1995, entitled Use of Performance Measures to Improve
- 6 Accountability, that the State should shift towards performance measuring through
- 7 statutory directive; and
- 8 WHEREAS, Beyond Maryland, (1) the United States Congress mandated that all
- 9 federal agencies via the 1993 Government Performance and Results Act effect
- 10 performance measuring by the year 2000; (2) that 18 states have enactedlegislation to
- 11 adopt reforms that stress accountability for results through the resource allocation
- 12 process; and (3) that the Government Finance Officers Association foundin a recent
- 13 study of 554 budgets for counties and cities across the country that 330 or 60% utilize
- 14 performance measures; and
- 15 WHEREAS, Performance-based governance will not solve all the fiscal difficulties
- 16 facing local governments nor should overnight results be expected; however, it is
- 17 reflective of the local governments' ongoing commitment to identify anddocument the
- 18 programs, policies and practices that govern their usage of scarce financial resources; and
- 19 WHEREAS, County and municipal governments in Maryland have always taken
- 20 pride in being on the "cutting edge" with respect to the efficient delivery of public
- 21 services and responsiveness to the concerns of their citizenry; and
- WHEREAS, Local governments' pursuit of performance-based governance with its
- 23 reliance on performance measuring is envisioned to bolster public confidence, improve
- 24 operational efficiency and strengthen accountability in ways that continue to make local
- 25 governments in Maryland efficiency-related models worthy of emulation; and
- WHEREAS, This Resolution should not be construed, either directly orindirectly,
- 27 as a State mandate for county and municipal governments to initiate performance-based
- 28 governance; but, instead, to familiarize and inform local governments about the concept
- 29 so they can determine whether or not it is suitable for guiding their practices and policies
- 30 through the economic uncertainties of the 1990's; now, therefore, be it
- 31 RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That local
- 32 governments of the State are encouraged to consider the concept of performance-based
- 33 governance with its reliance on performance measuring for its applicability and usage in
- 34 guiding their practices and policies in order to greet the 21st Centurywith a competitive
- 35 advantage; and be it further
- RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded by the Department of
- 37 Legislative Reference to the Honorable Parris N. Glendening, Governor of Maryland; the
- 38 Honorable Thomas V. Mike Miller, Jr., President of the Senate of Maryland; the
- 39 Honorable Casper R. Taylor, Jr., Speaker of the House of Delegates; the Maryland
- 40 Association of Counties; and the Maryland Municipal League.