By: Delegate Curran

Introduced and read first time: February 29, 1996 Assigned to: Rules and Executive Nominations Re-referred to: Commerce and Government Matters, March 4, 1996

Committee Report: Favorable House action: Adopted Read second time: March 24, 1996

RESOLUTION NO.

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION ____

1 A House Joint Resolution concerning

2 Governing Local Governments Into the 21st Century

3 FOR the purpose of informing county and municipal governments in Maryland about the

- 4 concept of performance-based governance with its reliance on performance
- 5 measuring for purposes of guiding their practices and policies through the fiscal

6 uncertainties of the 1990's and strengthening public accountability.

7 WHEREAS, Implications attendant to the economic uncertainty of tomorrow
8 necessitate a commitment of preparedness on the part of county and municipal
9 governments to successfully meet the challenges of the 21st Century; and

10 WHEREAS, Sluggish economic growth, reduced federal and State financial

11 assistance, increased demands for services and public intolerance for additional taxes and

12 fees underscore the importance and timeliness of further enhancing governmental

13 efficiency and effectiveness, responsiveness, and accountability in theeyes of the public;14 and

15 WHEREAS, Emerging as a common denominator throughout the country is a shift 16 towards a new approach of governing - a functional transformation mirroring the private 17 sector - and it is called, performance-based governance with its reliance on performance 18 measuring; and

WHEREAS, Performance-based governance enlightens the public to what government is doing with their tax dollars by (1) identifying a preferred vision for the future; (2) formulating goals to achieve the vision which are accomplished via realization of attendant objectives; (3) utilizing quantifiable performance measures (benchmarks) to gauge, monitor and report progress through the year 2000 and beyond; and (4) structuring policies and resource allocation decisions that are responsive to and consistent with the vision, goals and objectives; and

RESOLUTION NO.

2

WHEREAS, Performance-based governance represents a fundamental departure
 from typical governance because it is predicated upon an outcome oriented management
 strategy; that is, the articulation of quantifiable data useful in assessing not only how a
 government entity utilized its resources, but also what its citizens are receiving for the use
 of public funds and how efficiently and effectively those funds are being used; and

6 WHEREAS, Harford County held a symposium on September 28, 1995 for the
7 purpose of encouraging jurisdictional benchmarking so proven strategies of improving
8 operational efficiency and effectiveness could be shared with one another in the spirit of
9 a progressive local government partnership for the future; and

WHEREAS, The State's Legislative Auditor (Office of Legislative Audits,
Department of Fiscal Services) recommended in a special report to the Joint Budget and
Audit Committee on May 9, 1995, entitled Use of Performance Measures toImprove
Accountability, that the State should shift towards performance measuring through
statutory directive; and

WHEREAS, Beyond Maryland, (1) the United States Congress mandated that all
federal agencies - via the 1993 Government Performance and Results Act - effect
performance measuring by the year 2000; (2) that 18 states have enactedlegislation to
adopt reforms that stress accountability for results through the resource allocation
process; and (3) that the Government Finance Officers Association foundin a recent
study of 554 budgets for counties and cities across the country that 330 or 60% utilize
performance measures; and

WHEREAS, Performance-based governance will not solve all the fiscal difficulties facing local governments nor should overnight results be expected; however, it is reflective of the local governments' ongoing commitment to identify anddocument the programs, policies and practices that govern their usage of scarce financial resources; and

WHEREAS, County and municipal governments in Maryland have always taken
pride in being on the "cutting edge" with respect to the efficient delivery of public
services and responsiveness to the concerns of their citizenry; and

WHEREAS, Local governments' pursuit of performance-based governance with its reliance on performance measuring is envisioned to bolster public confidence, improve operational efficiency and strengthen accountability in ways that continue to make local governments in Maryland efficiency-related models worthy of emulation; and

WHEREAS, This Resolution should not be construed, either directly orindirectly,
as a State mandate for county and municipal governments to initiate performance-based
governance; but, instead, to familiarize and inform local governments about the concept
so they can determine whether or not it is suitable for guiding their practices and policies
through the economic uncertainties of the 1990's; now, therefore, be it

38 RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That local 39 governments of the State are encouraged to consider the concept of performance-based 40 governance with its reliance on performance measuring for its applicability and usage in 41 guiding their practices and policies in order to greet the 21st Centurywith a competitive 42 advantage; and be it further **RESOLUTION NO.**

3

- 1 RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded by the Department of
- 2 Legislative Reference to the Honorable Parris N. Glendening, Governor of Maryland; the
- 3 Honorable Thomas V. Mike Miller, Jr., President of the Senate of Maryland; the
- 4 Honorable Casper R. Taylor, Jr., Speaker of the House of Delegates; the Maryland
- 5 Association of Counties; and the Maryland Municipal League.