Unofficial Copy R6 SB 107/95 - JPR

By: Senator Dorman

Introduced and read first time: January 15, 1996 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Vehicle Laws - Use of Headlamps

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the driver of a vehicle to light the vehicle's headlamps

- 4 when the vehicle's windshield wipers are being operated under certain conditions;
- 5 specifying that a violation of this Act is not a moving violation for certain purposes;
- 6 providing that, if a person is convicted of violating this Act, the conviction may not
- 7 be considered evidence of negligence or contributory negligence, limit liability of a
- 8 party or insurer, or diminish recovery for damages arising out of the ownership,
- 9 maintenance, or operation of a motor vehicle; establishing a certainpenalty;
- 10 permitting the enforcement of this Act only as a secondary violation; and generally
- 11 relating to a requirement that headlamps be lighted at certain times.

12 BY adding to

- 13 Article Transportation
- 14 Section 22-201.2
- 15 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 16 (1992 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement)
- 17 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF
- 18 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

19 Article - Transportation

20 22-201.2.

(A) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS SUBTITLE, IF A
DRIVER OF A VEHICLE ON A HIGHWAY OPERATES THE VEHICLE'S WINDSHIELD
WIPERS FOR A CONTINUOUS PERIOD OF TIME BECAUSE OF IMPAIRED VISIBILITY
RESULTING FROM UNFAVORABLE ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS, THE DRIVER SHALL
LIGHT THE VEHICLE'S HEADLAMPS.

26 (B) A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION IS NOT CONSIDERED A MOVING27 VIOLATION FOR PURPOSES OF § 16-402 OF THIS ARTICLE.

28 (C) (1) IF A PERSON IS CONVICTED UNDER THIS SECTION, THE CONVICTION29 MAY NOT:

30

(I) BE CONSIDERED EVIDENCE OF NEGLIGENCE;

1996 Regular Session 6lr1060 1 (II) BE CONSIDERED EVIDENCE OF CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE;

(III) LIMIT LIABILITY OF A PARTY OR AN INSURER; OR

3 (IV) DIMINISH RECOVERY FOR DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THE 4 OWNERSHIP, MAINTENANCE, OR OPERATION OF A MOTOR VEHICLE.

5 (2) SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS
6 SUBSECTION, A PARTY, WITNESS, OR COUNSEL MAY NOT MAKE REFERENCE TO A
7 VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION.

8 (3) NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS SUBSECTION MAY BE CONSTRUED TO
9 PROHIBIT THE RIGHT OF A PERSON TO INSTITUTE A CIVIL ACTION FOR DAMAGES
10 AGAINST A DEALER, MANUFACTURER, DISTRIBUTOR, FACTORY BRANCH, OR
11 OTHER APPROPRIATE ENTITY ARISING OUT OF AN INCIDENT THAT INVOLVES A
12 DEFECTIVELY INSTALLED OR DEFECTIVELY OPERATING HEADLAMP.

13 (D) A PERSON WHO IS CONVICTED OF A VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (A) OF14 THIS SECTION IS SUBJECT TO A FINE NOT TO EXCEED \$25.

15 (E) A POLICE OFFICER MAY ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION
16 ONLY AS A SECONDARY ACTION WHEN THE POLICE OFFICER DETAINS A DRIVER OF
17 A MOTOR VEHICLE FOR A SUSPECTED VIOLATION OF ANOTHER PROVISION OF THE
18 CODE.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effectOctober 1, 1996.

2

2