Unofficial Copy R6 SB 322/95 - JPR 1996 Regular Session 6lr1949

By: Senators Haines, Della, Hafer, Munson, Stoltzfus, Astle, Colburn, Craig, Stone, and

Ferguson

Introduced and read first time: January 29, 1996

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

•	4 T T	100	
I	AN	ACT	concerning

2	Vehicle 1	Laws - M	lotorcycle	Helmets	- Minors
---	-----------	----------	------------	---------	----------

- 3 FOR the purpose of applying to minors only a certain provision of law that prohibits
- 4 operating or riding on a motorcycle unless the operator or passengeris wearing
- 5 certain protective headgear; repealing certain evidentiary and procedural standards
- and certain provisions relating to certain civil actions; and generally relating to the 6
- use of protective headgear when operating or riding on a motorcycle. 7
- 8 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- Article Transportation 9
- 10 Section 21-1306(b)
- 11 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 12 (1992 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement)
- 13 BY repealing
- Article Transportation 14
- Section 21-1306(e) 15
- 16 Annotated Code of Maryland
- (1992 Replacement Volume and 1995 Supplement) 17
- SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 18
- 19 MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

20 **Article - Transportation**

- 21 21-1306.
- 22 (b) [An individual] A MINOR may not operate or ride on a motorcycle unless the
- 23 [individual] MINOR is wearing protective headgear that meets the standards established
- 24 by the Administrator.
- 25 [(e) (1) The failure of an individual to wear protective headgear required under
- 26 subsection (b) of this section may not:
- 27 (i) Be considered evidence of negligence;
- 28 (ii) Be considered evidence of contributory negligence;

2

1	(iii) Limit liability of a party or an insurer; or
1	(iii) Elimit hability of a party of all hisures, of
2	(iv) Diminish recovery for damages arising out of the ownership,
3	maintenance, or operation of a motorcycle.
4	(2) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of this subsection, a party,
	witness, or counsel may not make reference to protective headgear during a trial of a civil
6	action that involves property damage, personal injury, or death if the damage, injury, or
	death is not related to the design, manufacture, supplying, or repair of protective
8	headgear.
9	(3) (i) Nothing contained in this subsection may be construed to prohibit the
	right of a person to institute a civil action for damages against a dealer, manufacturer,
11	distributor, factory branch, or other appropriate entity or person arising out of an
12	incident that involves protective headgear alleged to be defectively designed,
13	manufactured, or repaired.
14	(ii) In a civil action described under subparagraph (i) ofthis paragraph
15	in which 2 or more parties are named as joint tort-feasors, interpleaded as defendants, or
16	impleaded as defendants, and at least 1 of the joint tort-feasors or defendants is not
17	involved in the design, manufacture, supplying, or repair of protectiveheadgear, a court
	shall order on a motion of any party separate trials to accomplish the ends of justice.]
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

19 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 20 October 1, 1996.